ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

GPU Nuclear Corporation Oyster Creek Nuclear Generating Station Docket No. 50-219 License No. DPR-16

During an NRC inspection conducted April 19, 1994 - May 30, 1994, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C (1994), the violation is listed below:

Technical Specification 6.8.1 requires that written procedures shall be established, implemented, and maintained that meet or exceed the requirements of Regulatory Guide (RG) 1.33, including administrative procedures.

Station Procedure No. 119.5, "Loose Equipment Storage," provides the requirements for anchoring and storing loose equipment in the reactor building (RB) and turbine building (TB).

Contrary to the above, during the inspection period for April 19, 1994, through May 30, 1994, multiple examples of improperly anchored and stored loose equipment were identified both in the RB and TB.

This is a severity level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, GPU Nuclear Corporation is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region I, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at: King of Prussia, PA this 10th day of June 1994

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY