



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

JAN 19 1993

MEMORANDUM FOR: Hugh L. Thompson, Deputy Executive Director
for Nuclear Materials Safety Safeguards &
Operation Support, EDO
Robert M. Bernero, Director, NMSS
Carlton C. Kammerer, Director, OSP
Joseph J. Fouchard, Director, OPA
Michael F. Weber, LLDR/NMSS

FROM: Donald A. Cool, Chief, RPHEB/DRA/RES

SUBJECT: PRESENTATION FOR ENHANCED PARTICIPATORY WORKSHOP

Attached is a copy of the presentation viewgraphs for the workshop next week in Chicago. I would appreciate your comments, particularly on the use of the pictures representing the four objectives listed in the issues paper.

Use of the pictures was agreed upon during the workshop simulation, but, there remains a lingering question on how that might be interpreted.

I would appreciate your thoughts by C.O.B., Friday, January 22, 1993.

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Donald A. Cool".

Donald A. Cool, Chief
Radiation Protection and
Health Effects Branch
Division of Regulatory Applications
Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research

Attachment:
Presentation Viewgraphs

Enhanced Participatory Rulemaking Workshops



Dr. Donald A. Cool

Radiation Protection and Health Effects Branch



Rulemaking Issues Paper

■ Purpose of Issues Paper

- provide outline of issues to be addressed by NRC and EPA in developing radiological criteria for decommissioning



Rulemaking Issues Paper

■ Organization of Issues Paper

- primary issues
- secondary issues



Primary Issues

- Health and safety objectives
- Practicality considerations




Risk Limits






Risk Goals





Best Effort



Multiple horizontal lines provided for writing or drawing.



Return to Background





Secondary Issues

- Individuals or populations
- Reuse/recycle
- Time frames
- Pathway specific criteria
- Radon
- Previously buried materials



Supporting Information


- Modeling
- Site characterization
- Survey procedures
- GEIS




Alternative Regulatory Approaches



Risk Limits



Best Effort



Risk Goals



Return to Background

NAC Site Cleanup Criteria Workshop

Issues Discussion Matrix

waste management

Cross-cutting Issues	Protection of Human Health & Environment	Cost and Other Practical Considerations	Technologies for Implementation	Compatibility with Existing Regulatory Structure	Other Key Issues
Objectives					
Risk Limits					
Risk Goal					
Best Effort					
Return to Background					

SITE CLEANUP WORKSHOPS-ANTICIPATED QUESTIONS TO NRC STAFF

transcript
of paper

o What is the relationship of the site cleanup rulemaking to the BRC Policy/Isn't this an attempt to sneak through a BRC Policy?

No decision
on policy has
been set

o What are the implications of the BRC provision in the National Energy Policy Act for the site cleanup rulemaking?

o How and when will the NRC address the issues of the disposal of waste and the recycle of radioactive material from site cleanup efforts?

2nd question

o How and when will the issue of state compatibility in the site cleanup area be addressed?

o What is the EPA-NRC risk harmonization program and what are the implications for the site cleanup rulemaking?

risk goals to be established
as a result of these meetings

o How will the public be involved in efforts to establish the compliance methodologies, models, environmental impact statements, and other actions that are necessary supplements to the rulemaking? Yes the public will be involved. GEIS will be performed initiated about 2 months after the last workshop. EPA may be a cooperating agency.

NRC will provide
major milestone dates

o Will the NRC develop a draft text of the proposed rule for participant review? Will the draft proposed rule that is submitted to the Commission for review be provided to workshop participants? Yes but not for comment - provide proposed rule to the Agreement states in October 1983.

o Why isn't the EPA developing these rules? More work with EPA efficient use of resources EPA will be participating with NRC

o In what way, if any, will these rules be applicable to DOE sites? These apply to NRC licenses but may be applied to DOE sites

Wants to
do a rotation
to work on
EPR
1/19/93

From: Darrel G. Wiedeman (DGW)
To: MFW
Date: Wednesday, December 23, 1992 11:15 am
Subject: REGIONAL REPRESENTATION AT EPR

Mike: As I informed you earlier, I will represent the Region III
fuel facilities, materials, and contaminated sites programs during
EPR activities. Pat Loudin has been selected to represent the
reactors programs in Region III. I passed off copies of the EPR
information you E-Mailed to me to Mr. Loudin for his information.
At this time, as far as I know Pat and I are planning to attend
the simulation workshop on January 11-12 in Bethesda and the
workshop on January 27-28 in Chicago.

Darrel Wiedeman, Region III

cc: P. Loudin
R. Caniano
C. Pederson

R-3

9403030135

E-2

D. Wiedeman