

From: Habib, Donald
Sent: Monday, March 9, 2020 4:43 PM
To: Vogtle PEmails
Subject: 2020-03-09 Questions on LAR 19-019 DRAFT Supplement - PUBLIC.docx
Attachments: 2020-03-09 Questions on LAR 19-019 DRAFT Supplement - PUBLIC.docx

Hearing Identifier: Vogtle_COL_Docs_Public
Email Number: 544

Mail Envelope Properties (BN8PR09MB3524429FFEB560DD33A53EC697FE0)

Subject: 2020-03-09 Questions on LAR 19-019 DRAFT Supplement - PUBLIC.docx
Sent Date: 3/9/2020 4:42:33 PM
Received Date: 3/9/2020 4:42:37 PM
From: Habib, Donald

Created By: Donald.Habib@nrc.gov

Recipients:
"Vogtle PEmails" <Vogtle.PEmails@nrc.gov>
Tracking Status: None

Post Office: BN8PR09MB3524.namprd09.prod.outlook.com

Files	Size	Date & Time	
MESSAGE	3	3/9/2020 4:42:37 PM	
2020-03-09 Questions on LAR 19-019 DRAFT Supplement - PUBLIC.docx			33632

Options
Priority: Normal
Return Notification: No
Reply Requested: No
Sensitivity: Normal
Expiration Date:

Vogle Electric Generating Plant Units 3 and 4
License Amendment Request 19-019
Staff Audit Discussion Topics
March 6, 2020

1. In Draft Supplement (ERR), Enclosure 2, page 7 of 34, UFSAR Table 3H.5-3 Elevation 135'3" to 137'-0", Note 4, required area of steel listed is 3.09 in-sq./ft. However, the staff could not find in the table. Is this a typo or correction to the table value of 3.08 in-sq./ft? The value 3.09 in-sq/ft is also listed in the Enclosure 1, pages 8 and 16 of 42.
2. In Enclosure 2, page 4 of 34, Table 3.8.5-3, Note 5 lists Fig. 3.8.5-2, Sheets 3 to 6. The staff noted that in UFSAR Rev. 8 there is only one sheet associated to the Figure 3.8.5-2. Should the draft supplement reference Figure 3.8.5-3, Sheets 3 to 7?
3. On Table 3H.5-9, Sheet 1 and Sheet 2a of 3, the staff has confusion of interpretation of applied tolerance on the table's last column and Note 1. Please clarify intent of the tolerance in both places.
4. **Informal Question to SNC:** In response to staff audit questions dated January 29, 2020 (ADAMS Accession No. ML20029F008), UFSAR Table 3H.5-9 was revised to accurately reflect values from calculation APP-1278-GEF-084, Rev. 0. In the response (RFI APP-GW-GF-938), the applicant noted that an extended review had been performed on UFSAR Tables 3H.5-9 and 3H.5-15 which led to additional changes to the tables to reflect values from the latest calculations. It is unclear to the staff if an extent of condition review was conducted on the other UFSAR tables associated with LAR 19-019 that may also need to be updated to reflect the latest calculations.