	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT
	CONTROL BLOCK: (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)
1	1 1 1 1 1 5 1 C 1 2 0 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
DN'T	REPORT L 6 0 5 0 0 0 3 7 3 7 1 0 0 5 8 2 8 1 0 1 9 8 2 9 EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10
0 2	
0 3	At 1430 on 10/5/82, CECo Project Engineer informed LaSalle of a potential safety
0 4	concern identified by the second level review committee of C. F. Braun (Independent
0 5	HVAC Review). The finding was that the installed configuration of HVAC duct hanger
0 6	1382 did not match design drawing M-1538. The ability of the VX system to perform
0 7	its design function was not affected by this finding. Safety related equip requiring
0 8	ventilation from this system was not degraded; safe plant operation was maintained (over)
0 9	SYSTEM CAUSE CODE SUBCODE COMPONENT CODE SUBCODE SUBCO
	17 REPORT VEAR 18 2
	TAKEN ACTION ON PLANT METHOD FORM SUB. SUPPLIER MANUFACTURER ON PLANT METHOD ON PLANT MANUFACTURER NAME AND PLANT MANUFACTURER MANUFA
1 0	
11	
1 2	
1 3	
114	an isolated error on the part of S&L and dwg M-1538 will be corrected prior to (over)
1 5	STATUS STATUS 30 METHOD OF DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION 32 B 28 0 2 0 29 NA D 31 C. F. Braun Review 80
1 6	RELEASED OF LEASE AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY 35 NA
1 7	NUMBER OF TYPE DESCRIPTION 39 NA NA NA NA NA
1 8	NOMBER DESCRIPTION NA NA B210260118 B21019 LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY 43 PDR ADDCK 05000373 PDR ADDCK 05000373
1 9	DI Z 42 NRC USE ONLY
210	ISSUED DESCRIPTION (45) NA 68 69 80-
	NAME OF PREPARER Jim Gieseker PHONE: 357-6761

I. LER NUMBER: 82-114/01T-0

11. LASALLE COUNTY STATION: Unit 1

111. DOCKET NUMBER: 050-373

IV. EVENT DESCRIPTION:

At 1430 on 10/5/82, CECo Project Engineering informed LaSalle Station of a potential safety concern identified by the second level review committee of C. F. Braun (Independent HVAC Review required by License NPF-11 Amendment 4, Condition 2.C.33.B). The finding was that the installed configuration on HVAC duct hanger 1382 did not match design drawing M-1538 (two interior vertical members were not installed). This is not a violation of the Technical Specification but is being reported in adherence to the requirements of C. W. Schroeder's letter to T. M. Novak dated 8/27/82.

V. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES OF THE OCCURRENCE:

The ability of the VX system to perform its design function was not affected by this finding. Safety related equipment requiring ventilation from this system was not degraded and safe plant operation was maintained at all times.

VI. CAUSE:

In reviewing CECo Field Change Request 30,893, Sargent & Lundy (S&L) performed design calculations based on the configuration of hanger 1382 without the two interior vertical support members. However, due to misinter tation of the FCR by the draftsman, the approved FCR was not correct, incorporated onto S&L drawing M-1538.

VII. CORRECTIVE ACTION:

Since the as-installed support configuration is acceptable, S&L drawing M-1538 will be revised to properly indicate the installed configuration prior to October 8, 1982. This appears to be an isolated error on the part of S&L.

Prepared by: Jim Gieseker .