

ENCLOSURE 1

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

North Atlantic Energy Service Corporation
Seabrook Station, Unit 1

Docket No. 50-443
License No. NPF-86

During an NRC inspection conducted on April 12 - May 23, 1994, a violation of NRC requirements was identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violation is listed below:

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion II requires that plant workers be provided with indoctrination and training for activities affecting quality as necessary to assure that suitable proficiency is achieved and maintained. Furthermore, Seabrook Station Quality Assurance Manual, Section 2.2 specifies that support personnel shall meet the requirements of ANSI/ANS 3.1, which requires that maintenance workers receive instructions and demonstrate the performance capability for special complex systems and components. The training shall be based on a task analysis of the worker's assigned function.

Contrary to the above, on April 10, 1994, a maintenance worker demonstrated neither suitable proficiency, nor performance capability in the conduct of a safety-related activity. The worker improperly operated the containment personnel air-lock resulting in equipment damage and personnel injuries. There was no evidence that the maintenance worker had received adequate training for this job, which should have been based upon a comprehensive task analysis of all operational aspects of the assigned functions.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, North Atlantic Service Corporation is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region I, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation. This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a Demand for Information may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

DATED IN KING OF PRUSSIA, PENNSYLVANIA
THIS 6TH DAY OF JUNE, 1994

9406150110 940606
PDR ADOCK 05000443
Q PDR

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY