NRC Research and for Technical Assistance Rept

Page

INTERIM REPORT

Acession No.
ORNL/ED/EIS-183

Project Title: Environmental Assessments of Fuel Cycle Facilities

Subject of this Document: Monthly Progress Report

Type of Document: Monthly Progress Report

Author: M. J. Kelly

Date of Document: February 1983

Responsible NRC Individual and NRC Office or Division: Giorgio N. Gnugnoli Project Manager, Uranium Recovery Licensing Branch, Division of Waste Management

This document was prepared primarily for preliminary or internal use. It has not received full review and approval. Since there may be substantive changes, this document should not be considered final.

Prepared for
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555
Under Interagency Agreement 40-594-75
NRC FIN No. B0279

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY
Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830
operated by
UNION CARBIDE CORPORATION
for the
U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY

INTERIM REPORT

8304220320 830228 PDR RES 8304220320 PDR

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY MONTHLY REPORT TO URANIUM RECOVERY AND LICENSING BRANCH DIVISION OF WASTE MANAGEMENT

February 1983

A. Environmental Assessment Activities

None Presently Active.

B. In Situ Mining

Nine Mile Lake

This project is inactive.

Teton In Situ

Answers prepared for DES comments are being word processed for shipment to NRC in March.

C. Mines and Mills

Groundwater Mill Tailings

The status of work initiated on tailings pit study is attached.

Fed-Am Partners

Work on groundwater modeling was initiated this month.

Conoco Sand Rock

ORNL is awaiting NRC input.

Kerr-McGee

This project has been put on hold at the request of the PM. Applicant input needed.

D. Budget

Costs are reported on the attached tables.

E. Overdue Action of FCMS Regarding Laboratory Requests We have not received the SOEW from licensing.

F. Comments

The requested Generic 189 has been completed, but our experience has been that frequent recycle occurs. We, therefore, will cover in detail our present status on both the topical reports and the other generic programs as well as some potential funding problems.

Yopical Reports Cost, Status and Schedule

		Prior Year Cost	FY-83 Budget	Remain- ing Costs	
1.	Rock Cover vs. Vogetation	104	20	5	In Word Processing, mats by March 31.
2.	Cost Benefit Aspects of Long Term Performance	64	16	8	Draft at NRC. Remaining costs based on minimal rework since processing to mats is a minimum of 6K.
3.	Upward Geochemical Migration	34	0	0	Cancelled by mutual agreement.
4.	Radon Attenuation	54	34	25	Conclusions discussed with the PM 3 March 83, and draft to NRC in late May with prompt comments. Mats to NRC early September. (including another recycle)
5.	Techniques of Groundwater Restoration	61	5	0	Two drafts submitted. Cancelled by mutual agreement at 3 March 83 meeting.
6.	In situ Dewatering	50	12	8	Final draft to NRC by 1 April 83. NFC approval expected 1 May 83. If so, mats by mid-June.
7.	Tailings Consolidation Techniques and Requirements	48	12	8	Same schedule as Item 6.
8.	Land Ownership Requirements	17	35	15	Draft by 31 March 83. Schedule Dependent on NRC comments. Tentatively, mats by late July.
	Totals	432	134	69	Total cost 565K

We emphasize that costs to completion are tassed on minimal NRC changes.

Other Projects Cost and Status

Expected FY-83

9. Assessment of Long-Te Techniques Cost basis: CSU Peer Review Word Processing Bill Staub & Travel	42 13 12 15 82	82K	This project is progressing nicely. Bill Steep reports the peer review meeting want well. Unfortunately, John Nelson says extra work requested by R. Scarano may result in costs above that shown here.
Initial Estimator	52		

Did not include peer review, word processing or travel costs.

Other Projects Cost and Status

Expected FY-83

10. Groundwater Fluid Flow for Underdrain Systems Cost basis: CSU Idaho ORNL Technicul Word Processing		135K	This project is in month's activities	
Initial Estimate:	117			

Did not incude word processing or computer costs.

Expected FY-83

11. Analysis of Groundwater Excursion		192K	We have asked Bill a status report.	Staub to send you
Ccst basis: Idaho Bill Staub Word Processing	124K 25K 10K			
Idaho FY-83 late costs	33K 192K			

Did not include word processing and FY-82 holdover costs were unexpected.

Contractor Status on March 1, 1983

	Colorado State University	University of Idaho
Paid or Escrow Outstanding	25 67	27 155
FY-83 obligation	67 92	155 182
Project Costs		
Item 9	42	
Item 10	25	25 124
Licensing work	25	
FY-82 work extension		33
Totals	92	33

Note that 25K of CSU cost does not apply to generic work and is not included in your cost breakdown. The FY-82 carryover costs have been included in Item 11 since ORNL cannot transfer between FIN's. We suggest you take this up with licensing.

In summary, your estimated FY-83 costs are:

Topical Reports	134		
Long Term Stability	82		
Fluid Flow Modeling	135		
Excursion Analysis	192		
Total	543		
0111 45	210	-1	200
Bill through February	-218	plus 42K to licensing =	ZOUK
Minimum needed thru FY-83	325	carryover funding =	261K
Received in February	180	Net remaining	1K
Absolute shortage	145K		

Summary Recap

Items		FY-83 Thousands	Ren	naining Costs Thousands
1-8.	Topical Reports	134		69
9.	Assessment of Long-Term Stability	82		35
	CSU 42K(3)		to contractors	30
	Peer Review 13K			
10.	Groundwater Fluid Flow Modeling	135		
	CSU 25K		Not	221
	Idaho 25K		separated to contractor	180
11.	Analysis of Groundwater Excursions	192		
	FY-83 Idaho 124K			
	FY-82 Idaho 32K ⁽¹⁾			
	Total Cost	543	Funding Required	325
	Paid with carry over Funds thru February	218	Contractor Obligations	210
	Received with SEOW in February	180	ORNL Funds	115
	Minimum additional Funding required	145(2)	Expected Word Processing Costs Remaining ORNL Technical Effort	

- (1) As noted, this 33K was in Idaho FY-82 contract and was carried forward as obligated while they completed the FY-82 report. 27K has been paid.
- (2) If generic work continues to use CSU and U. of Idaho effort, forward financing will be required prior to September 1983 as well as a letter confirming used efforts.
- (3) CSU has been paid 25K thru February.

Please note that the monthly cost sheet has been brought up to date and is to be considered correct as of February 28, 1983.

There were major errors in the general and special accounts because of prior accounting foul ups.

m 1 Kelly M. J. Kelly Project Manager

MJK/baw

	Prior Years		Total	Project	Original	
	MY	Cost	MY	Cost	Estimate	
Kerr-McGee Powder River	2.50	222,470	2.50	222,470	None	
Nine-Mile Lake	1.46	137,075	1.46	137,075	150,000	
Groundwater Mill Tailings	0.48	237,028	1.16	341,674	NA	
Colorado State	0.15	77,468	0.29	119,360	NA	
Fed-Am Partners	1.83	194,861	1.87	200,488	150,000	
Conoco Sand Rock	1.96	209,934	2.07	222,506	150,000	
Teton In-Situ	1.43	181,982	1.60	204,982	150,000	
General & Special Accounts	7.2	919,810	0.65	72,301(3)	NA(2)	

Notes: (1) Accounts Closed.
(2) Consolidated account — previously General charges and Morton Ranch.
(3) Current year cost.

PROJECT COST AND MANPOWER BREAKDOWN FOR FEBRUARY 1983

	MM	Cost	Impact Section Hours	Science Division Hours	Subcon- tractor Hours	Radio- logical Hours	Technical Support Services Hours	Document Prepa- ration Costs	Consult.	Travel Costs
Conoco Sand Rock Cumulative FY-83	1.33	28,279	150	80	= ::	=	Ξ	1,124	= ::	::
Groundwater Mill Tailings(2) Cumulative FY-83	1.49 8.12	104,646 76,367	258 1,396	Ξ	:	=	::	899 899	13,429 27,130	4,628
Colorado State Cumulative FY-83	0.23 1.66	13,172 41,892	40 286	= :	- :	-:	= =		11,000 24,610	2,896
Kerr-McGee Cumulative FY-83	==	=	Ξ	Ξ	=			===		
Fed-Am Partners Cumulative FY-83	0.45 0.45	5,627 5,627	78 78	:	=	=	5	-	=	1,419 1,419
Teton In-Situ Cumulative FY-83	0.28 1.97	4,989	48 340	84			==	2,430 4,418	::	869
General and Special Accounts Cumulative FY-83(4)	2.19 7.81	(21,325) 72,301	252 707	40 140	==	=	88 506	150 7,115	Ξ	1,571 2,699
Current Month Totals FY-83 TOTALS	4.64 ⁽¹ 21.34	78,392 260,038	676 2,957	40 304	::	==	88 594	3,479 13,556	24,429 51,740	2,990 12,511

See comments for cost and status of Topical Reports.
 Idaho subcontract

 ⁽³⁾ See July 79 monthly report for general information regarding costs.
 (4) About \$7,300 of these costs are administrative—the remainder spent on topicals.

INTRA-LABORATORY CORRESPONDENCE

OAK RIDGE NATIONAL LABORATORY

March 1, 1983

TO: M. J. Kelly

FROM: F. G. Pin (6-1853)

SUBJECT: Activity Report

Minton, here is the February activity report for the A-9 and F.A.P. projects.

A-9

ORNL . Cross-sections and mesh drawing

. Completed mesh digitization

. Input files generation for computer code

CSU . Pursue of laboratory tests on samples

 Drawing of in-pit cross-sections and tailings distribution maps

U/I . Writing of final draft of hydrogeological setting section

All participants:

. Meeting and review of first phase of project.

. Planning for modeling phase.

F.A.P.

ORNL . Site visit

. Data collection and evaluation

. Meeting with subcontractor

. Rough draft of introduction chapter of report

U/I . Review of existing data

. Initialization of cross-section drawings

. K-1 backfill data collection

FGP:mo

INTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

- 1. R. C. Tepel
- 2. L. Voorhees
- 3. H. A. McLain
- 4. A. L. Lotts
- 5. S. G. Hildebrand
- 6. W. Fulkerson
- 7. M. J. Kelly
- 8. H. E. Zittel
- 9. Laboratory Records (RC)

EXTERNAL DISTRIBUTION

- Office of Assistant Manager for Energy Research and Development, Department of Energy, Oak Ridge Operations Office, Oak Ridge, TN 37830
- 11-12. Division of Technical Information and Document Control, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555
- 13-14. U.S. Department of Energy, Technical Information Center, P. O. Box 62, Oak Ridge, Tennessee 37830
- 15-29. Giorgio N. Gnugnoli, Low-Level Waste Licensing Bra., Division of Waste Management, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, D.C. 20555
 - 30. D. J. Loosley, Office of Nuclear Material Safety and Safeguards, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington D.C. 20555