

APPENDIX A
NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Portland General Electric Company

Docket No. 50-344

As a result of the inspection conducted on August 9 through September 3, 1982, and in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy (10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C), 47 FR 9887 (March 9, 1982), the following violation was identified:

Technical Specification 3.3.2.1 requires that: "The Engineered Safety Features Actuation System (ESFAS) instrumentation channels and interlocks shown in Table 3.3-3 shall be OPERABLE..." and Table 3.3-3, item 1.b, requires that the automatic actuation logic of the safety injection system be operable in Modes 1, 2, 3, and 4. The corresponding action statement states that "With the number of OPERABLE Channels one less than the Total Number of Channels, be in Hot Standby within 6 hours and in COLD SHUTDOWN within the next 30 hours;..."

Contrary to the above, during the period August 18 through August 20, 1982, for a total of approximately 43 hours, the facility was in Modes 4 and 3 with the automatic actuation logic for the safety injection system blocked for both trains A and B. In addition to the above, facility procedure AO-3-14, "Safety-Related Equipment Outages," was not utilized to control the blocking of the safety injection logic trains as required to assure that the systems and components are operable consistent with the mode of operation of the facility.

This is a Severity Level III Violation (Supplement I).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Portland General Electric Company is hereby required to submit to this office within twenty days of the date of this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including: (1) admission or denial of the alleged item of noncompliance; (2) the reasons for the item of noncompliance if admitted; (3) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved; (4) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further items of noncompliance; and (5) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Under the authority of Section 182 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, this response shall be submitted under oath or affirmation. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

The responses directed by this letter and the accompanying Notice are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

OCT 6 1982

Dated _____

ORIGINAL SIGNED BY
R. T. DODDS

R. T. Dodds, Chief
Reactor Project Section 1