

426.2/CF/83/03/07/0

MAR 8 1983

- 1 -

DISTRIBUTION

NMSS r/f
WMLL r/f
WM s/f ✓
CFlory
DMartin
EFHawkins
REBrowning
WM-40
PDR

WM-40
PDR
(Return to WM, 623-SS)

WMLL: 426.2/B2217

Dr. N.A. Wogman
Radiological & Inorganic
Chemistry Section
Battelle Pacific Northwest
Laboratories
Post Office Box 999
Richland, WA 99352

Dear Dr. Wogman:

I have reviewed your monthly report for the Edgemont Cleanup Action Program (B-2217) for January, 1983 and have the following comments:

1. Radiological Engineering Assessment reports completed by ARIX for the state of South Dakota will be forwarded to Pete Jackson for processing into Radiological Assessment (RA) reports using the format authorized in my letter of February 25, 1983.
2. Your categorization of properties identified as having residual radioactive materials was acceptable. During a telecon with Pete Jackson on February 24, 1983 it was explained that the twenty properties in category V would mean that the required number of Radiological Assessments would be reduced by twenty. Property categorization will not need to be continued in future monthly reports.
3. As indicated in my letter of February 25, 1983 a first set of engineering assessment reports (radiological assessment report) consisting of eleven (11) reports should be submitted by March 10, 1983. Comments on the draft reports, which were contained in that letter, were telexed to Pete Jackson on March 1, 1983 for incorporation into the final reports. Contrary to my letter of February 25, 1983 the submittal dates for the succeeding groups of about 50 reports should be March 31, April 14, and April 28. This will permit report transmittals to DOE by April 1, 15, and 29 respectively. If these submittal dates cannot be met, you should contact me as soon as possible before each date.
4. Because it is included in the final standard for Ra-226 in soil,

OFC : : : : : : :

 NAME : : : : : : :

 DATE :83/03/07 : : : : : : :

a background for Ra-226 in soil will have to be determined and used in the Significance of Findings section of the RA reports. Although the actual background value will not need to be put in the RA reports, it will be reported in all cover letters used for transmitting RA reports to DOE. Therefore, you should use best available soil sampling data to estimate a background and report this data with the RA reports.

5. The projected costs to date in your financial statement should be changed to show that \$1,076K is projected for this project through FY83. At the current level of effort and project direction it is anticipated that \$30K will be expended per month through April before actual costs return to the projected levels. The projected cost and anticipated level of expenditure was discussed with you via telecon of March 2, 1983. With the uncosted obligations included the projected cost would amount to \$1105K by the end of FY83. Therefore, you should determine if the planned \$1,076K through FY83 will be sufficient and respond accordingly.

All other aspects of your January, 1983 report are adequate. The action taken by this letter are considered to be within the scope of the current contract (B2217). No changes to costs or final delivery of contracted products are authorized. Please notify me immediately if you believe this letter would result in changes to costs or final delivery of contract products.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By

Claude A. Flory
Low-Level Waste Licensing Branch

cc: P.O. Jackson, BPNL
J. Young, BPNL
R. Perkins, BPNL

OFC	: WMLL <i>CAF</i>	: WMUR <i>DM</i>	:	:	:	:
NAME	: CAFlory	: DMartin	:	:	:	:
DATE	: 83/03/07 cb	: 83/03/	:	:	:	: