



UNITED STATES
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20555-0001

May 26, 1994

Docket No. 50-263

Mr. Roger O. Anderson, Director
Licensing and Management Issues
Northern States Power Company
414 Nicollet Mall
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401

Dear Mr. Anderson:

SUBJECT: STAFF EVALUATION OF THE MONTICELLO NUCLEAR GENERATING PLANT
INDIVIDUAL PLANT EXAMINATION (IPE) - INTERNAL EVENTS SUBMITTAL
(TAC NO. M74435)

The purpose of this letter is to transmit our evaluation of your IPE which you submitted on February 27, 1992, in response to Generic Letter 88-20, "Individual Plant Examination for Severe Accident Vulnerabilities." In addition, Northern States Power Company (NSP) responded to the staff's request for additional information by letter dated February 16, 1993.

Enclosed is the staff evaluation report (Enclosure 1) on the internal events portion of the Monticello IPE submittal. The staff's conclusions are based on a "step 1" review of the IPE submittal and associated information.

The IPE estimated the core damage frequency (CDF) to be $1.9E-05$ /yr for internal events excluding internal flooding and $2.6E-05$ /yr with internal flooding. The IPE identified station blackout as the dominant contributor to the overall CDF (46 percent), followed by internal flooding (26 percent), transients with loss of high pressure reactor inventory makeup (13 percent), ATWS (10 percent), and LOCA (5 percent).

You indicated in your submittal that insights derived from your application of IPE Methodology identified events that were of low frequency or had little impact. You also indicated that the flooding analysis used bounding and conservative assumptions and that all flood events required additional random failures for inadequate core cooling to occur, from which you concluded that flood initiators do not contribute significantly to the risk of core damage. Other staff observations are presented in the Summary of the Monticello IPE Submittal on Internal Events (Enclosure 2).

Monticello has a Mark I pressure suppression containment. In general, Mark I containments are susceptible to liner melt-through. Containment failure from liner melt-through was modeled in the containment event trees, but was assigned a zero probability of occurrence. This assignment is based on the assumption that the volume of debris likely to exit the vessel, given core melt and vessel penetration, would be 8.7 cu m. You estimated the total volume of the containment sumps and interconnecting piping, inside and outside the pedestal region, to be 9 cu m (318 cu ft), a volume sufficient to contain the expected debris.

9406100263 940526
PDR ADOCK 05000263
P PDR

IC FILE CENTER COPY

000003

DFOL
11

May 26, 1994

It should be noted, however, that the Boiling Water Reactor Owners Group (BWROG) has been actively engaged in identifying severe accident management strategies for licensees and, in particular, in developing procedural modifications to deal with the liner melt-through challenge to Mark I containments. A formal BWROG Emergency Procedures Guidelines (EPG) package is expected to be available for staff review by December 1994. The staff is following the issue and plans to make recommendations on a course of regulatory action as soon as appropriate alternatives are developed. You will be expected to follow NRC-sanctioned guidelines concerning the issue.

No specific unresolved safety issues or generic safety issues were proposed for resolution as part of the Monticello IPE submittal. The staff has concluded that NSP has met the intent of Generic Letter 88-20 for Monticello.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By Beth A. Wetzel

Beth A. Wetzel, Acting Project Manager
Project Directorate III-1
Division of Reactor Projects - III/IV
Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

Enclosures:

1. Staff Evaluation Report
2. Monticello IPE Summary Report

cc w/enclosures:
See next page

DISTRIBUTION:

Docket File
NRC & Local PDRs
PD31 Reading File
JRoe
JZwolinski
LBMarsh
CJamerson
BWetzel
M.P. Rubin, 8E23
J. Johnson, 12G18
R. Hernan, 14C7
C. Ader, NLS324
J. Flack, NLS324
OGC
ACRS (10)
M. Phillips, RIII

cc: Plant Service List

OFFICE	LA:PD31 <i>[Signature]</i>	PM:PD31 <i>[Signature]</i>	D:PD31 <i>[Signature]</i>
NAME	CJamerson	BWetzel:gll	LBMarsh
DATE	05/25/94	05/25/94	05/24/94

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

FILENAME:G:\WPDOCS\MONTICEL\MON74435.LTR

Mr. Roger O. Anderson, Director
Northern States Power Company

Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant

cc:

J. E. Silberg, Esquire
Shaw, Pittman, Potts and Trowbridge
2300 N Street, N. W.
Washington DC 20037

Lisa R. Tiegel
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division
Suite 200
520 Lafayette Road
St. Paul, Minnesota 55155

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Resident Inspector Office
2807 W. County Road 75
Monticello, Minnesota 55362

Site Licensing
Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant
Northern States Power Company
2807 West County Road 75
Monticello, Minnesota 55362

Site General Manager
Monticello Nuclear Generating Plant
Northern States Power Company
Monticello, Minnesota 55362

Robert Nelson, President
Minnesota Environmental Control
Citizens Association (MECCA)
1051 South McKnight Road
St. Paul, Minnesota 55119

Commissioner
Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
520 Lafayette Road
St. Paul, Minnesota 55119

Regional Administrator, Region III
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
801 Warrenville Road
Lisle, Illinois 60532-4351

Commissioner of Health
Minnesota Department of Health
717 Delaware Street, S. E.
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55440

Darla Groshens, Auditor/Treasurer
Wright County Government Center
10 NW Second Street
Buffalo, Minnesota 55313

Kris Sanda, Commissioner
Department of Public Service
121 Seventh Place East
Suite 200
St. Paul, Minnesota 55101-2145