

CONTROL BLOCK: _____ (1)

(PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

9 | L | L | S | C | I | _____ (2) | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | 0 | _____ (3) | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | _____ (4) | _____ (5)

LICENSEE CODE 14 15 LICENSE NUMBER 25 26 LICENSE TYPE JO 57 CAT 58

1 | _____ (6) | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | 3 | _____ (7) | 0 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 2 | _____ (8) | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 8 | 2 | _____ (9)

REPORT SOURCE 60 61 DOCKET NUMBER 68 69 EVENT DATE 74 75 REPORT DATE 80

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES (10)

2 | _____

3 | On September 19, 1982 the HI RAD alarm was received in the Control Room. The

4 | operator checked the chart recorder for the "A" RHR SW Radiation Monitor and noticed

5 | that it read downscale and declared the monitor inoperable in accordance with

6 | Technical Specification 3.3.7.10. Grab samples were taken every 8 hours until the

7 | monitor was operable. Safe operation of the plant was maintained at all times.

8 | _____

9 | _____

9 | SYSTEM CODE | CAUSE CODE | CAUSE SUBCODE | COMPONENT CODE | COMP. SUBCODE | VALVE SUBCODE

M | C | _____ (11) | E | _____ (12) | A | _____ (13) | I | N | S | T | R | U | _____ (14) | P | _____ (15) | Z | _____ (16)

LER/RO REPORT NUMBER | EVENT YEAR | SEQUENTIAL REPORT NO. | OCCURRENCE CODE | REPORT TYPE | REVISION NO.

8 | 2 | _____ (17) | 8 | 2 | _____ (21) | 1 | 0 | 3 | _____ (24) | 0 | 3 | _____ (27) | L | _____ (30) | 0 | _____ (32)

ACTION TAKEN | FUTURE ACTION | EFFECT ON PLANT | SHUTDOWN METHOD | HOURS | ATTACHMENT SUBMITTED | NPD-4 FORM SUB. | PRIME COMP. SUPPLIER | COMPONENT MANUFACTURER

X | _____ (18) | G | _____ (19) | Z | _____ (20) | Z | _____ (21) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | _____ (22) | Y | _____ (23) | N | _____ (24) | Z | _____ (25) | Z | 9 | 9 | 9 | _____ (26)

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS (27)

10 | _____

11 | The power supply to the monitor had tripped and caused the alarm. The power supply

12 | was reset and the monitor was declared operational on September 20, 1982. The

13 | LaSalle Annunciator Operating Procedure will be revised to check the reset button

14 | for the RHR SW Radiation Monitor.

15 | _____

5 | FACILITY STATUS | % POWER | OTHER STATUS | METHOD OF DISCOVERY | DISCOVERY DESCRIPTION

B | _____ (28) | 0 | 1 | 8 | _____ (29) | N | _____ (30) | A | _____ (31) | Alarm | _____ (32)

ACTIVITY CONTENT RELEASED OF RELEASE | AMOUNT OF ACTIVITY | LOCATION OF RELEASE

Z | _____ (33) | Z | _____ (34) | NA | _____ (35) | NA | _____ (36)

PERSONNEL EXPOSURES NUMBER | TYPE | DESCRIPTION

0 | 0 | 0 | _____ (37) | Z | _____ (38) | NA | _____ (39)

PERSONNEL INJURIES NUMBER | DESCRIPTION

0 | 0 | 0 | _____ (40) | _____ (41) | NA | _____ (42)

LOSS OF OR DAMAGE TO FACILITY TYPE | DESCRIPTION

Z | _____ (42) | _____ (43) | NA | _____ (44)

PUBLICITY ISSUED DESCRIPTION

N | _____ (44) | _____ (45) | NA | _____ (46)

B210190346 B21004
PDR ADOCK 05000373
S PDR

NRC USE ONLY

NAME OF PREPARER Diane L. Kay

PHONE: (815) 357-6761

- I. LER NUMBER: 82-103/03L-0
- II. LASALLE COUNTY STATION: UNIT 1
- III. DOCKET NUMBER: 050-373
- IV. EVENT DESCRIPTION:

On September 19, 1982 the HI RAD alarm was received in the control room. The operator checked the chart recorder for the "A" RHR SW Radiation Monitor and noticed that it read doconscale and declared the monitor inoperable. Technical Specification 3.3.7.10 requires this monitor to be operable at all times.

- V. PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES OF THE OCCURRENCE:

In accordance with Technical Specification 3.3.7.10 grab samples were taken every eight hours. Safe operation of the plant was maintained at all times.

- VI. CAUSE:

The power supply to the monitor had tripped and caused the alarms.

- VII. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:

The power supply was reset and the monitor was declared operational on September 20, 1982. The LaSalle Annunciator Operating Procedure will be revised to check the reset button for the RHR SW Radiation Monitor. An AIR has been initiated to track this procedure change.

Prepared by: Diane L. Kay