### DUKE POWER COMPANY SPECIFICATION NO.: CNS-1206.00-02-100 DESIGN ENGINEERING DEPARTMENT WORKPLACE DOCUMENT CONTROL USAGE AUTHORIZATION Page 1 of 16

QA CONDITION I

NOTZTVIS	Mechani	ca!	Nucl	ear

SECTION: - Analysis

GROUP: Catawba Stress Analysis

TITLE: Catawba 1-2, Procedure for selection of type of piping branch connection. Duke Classes B, C, E, F, G; CNSA-D0C77-003

FORMAT DESCRIPTION (i.e., bound or loose leaf, choice of Workplace Procedure, Manual, Handbook, or other):

	Workplace Procedure		
NUMBER OF SHEETS:	12	al market by	
	ACONT.		•
DESIGN ENGINEERING	DEPARIMENT		
PREPARED BY:	Charles E Rolinson	DATE:	7-17-80
INSPECTED BY:	Richard A Cash	DATE:	7-17-80
APPROVED:	VA EIEID	DATE:	7-25-80
QUALITY ASSURANCE	DEPARTMENT		11
REVIEWED AND D	ESIGNATED QA CONDITION _/	BY: 1. 76.	Madly
	047	T. 8/4	(AD)

	PEV	INSPECTED	DATE	DE	PROVED	DATE	PAGES REVISED
	1	M.D. Pelk	8/7/31	X11 & 191	CA:	8-17-51	3, 4, 6, 7, 12 Add 7A
				1.	1		
) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) (							
			•				
0328021	2 83032	23					

SPECIFICATION NO.: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 2/20/75 REVISION 23 (5/28/81) Page 2 of 16

# RECORD OF REVISIONS

. .

Q

C

Rev. No.	Issue Date-	Ppd. by	Ckd. by.	App. by	Pages Revised and Description
0	7-16-80 8/19/81	CER 712JS	RIC	TLE	Original Issue 3, 4, 6, 7, 12, Add 7A (Rev. as noted)

SPECIFICATION NO.: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 2/20/75 REVISION 23 (5/28/81) Page 3 of 16

PROCEDURE FOR THE SELECTION OF TYPE OF PIPING BRANCH CONNECTION DUKE CLASSES B, C, E, F & G

TABLE OF CONTENTS

 Purpose of Procedure	e		
 Scope of Procedure			
 Description of Proc	edure		
 List of Tables			
Table 1	Branch	Туре	Selection
Table 2	Branch	Туре	Descriptio
Table 3.1	1/2" &	3/4"	Branch Pig

Table 2Branch Type DescriptionTable 3.11/2" & 3/4" Branch Pipe SizeTable 3.21" Branch Pipe SizeTable 3.31 1/2" Branch Pipe SizeTable 3.42" Branch Pipe Size

5.0

List of References

CNSA-DOC77-003

SPECIFICATION NO.: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 2/20/75 REVISION 23 (5/28/81) Page 4 of 16

## 1.0 PURPOSE OF PROCEDURE

The purpose of this procedure is to provide a document to enable the piping designer to select the preferred type of piping branch connection. The tables are based on pressure reinforcement considerations only and do not reflect any consideration of mechanical piping loads from other sources. If the piping designer specifies a branch connection that does not meet this workplace procedure, it will be his responsibility to document by calculation the acceptability of that branch connection.

> CNSA-DOC77-003 Page 1 of 12

SPECIFICATION NO.: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 2/20/75 REVISION 23 (5/28/81) Page 5 of 16

# 2.0 SCOPE OF PROCEDURE

This procedure covers branch connections for all Duke Class B,
C. E. F and G piping. The branch piping must intersect perpendicular to the run piping. The procedure is applicable for ASME
B & PVC Section III, 1974, through Winter 1976 Addenda; ANSI B31.1, 1973, through Winter 1976 Addenda, ANSI B16.11, 1973; ASME B & PVC
Section III, 1977, through Winter 1977 Addenda, ANSI B31.1, 1977
through Winter 1977 Addenda and ANSI B16.11, 1977.

The results reflected in the tables are derived in Duke Power Company Stress Analysis Group Calculation DNK-3-28-77 (File No. MCC 1206.02-54-0005).

> CNSA-DOC77-003 Page 2 of 12

SPECIFICATION NO.: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 2/20/75 REVISION 23 (5/28/81) Page 6 of 16

#### 3.0

### DESCRIPTION OF PROCEDURE

The attached tables give the types of branch connections based on pressure reinforcement considerations.

-Table 1 lists the preferred branch types in terms of Duke Class, branch size and run size. This table also refers to Table 3 which gives further definition of 2 inch and smaller connections into 2½ inch and larger run pipe.

Table 2 gives the description of the allowed branch connection types.

In almost every case, an alternate branch connection is allowed. The designer has the option of using the first alternate specified and may even use the alternate for the first alternate, and so on. However, the designer is cautioned that the greater the variation from the preferred connection, the greater the chance that the connection may be overstressed, due to thermal loads. Overstressed connections (as determined by piping stress analysis) would require revision to the piping system. The cost of such a change would, of course, be dependent on the state of construction at the time the overstress condition was discovered. The designer must indicate on the construction drawing the option that the Construction Department is to construct. Variations to this option must then be requested through the Variation Notice procedure.

Note that <u>area reinforcement must be verified</u> on all "pipe to pipe" connections (commonly referred to as fabricated tees), and on almost all connections of branch pipes < 2½" to run pipes > 24".

Any questions regarding these tables should be directed to R. W. Bonsall, Catawba Stress Analysis.

CNSA-DOC77-003 Page 3 of 12 1

SPECIFICATION NO.: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 2/20/75 REVISION 23, (5/28/81) Page 7 of 16

1

1

## EXCEPTIONS

4.0

- A. Large Temperature Changes at Fabricated Tee Connections Experience has shown that reinforced fabricated tee connections are highly stressed in the area of the pad-topipe weld joints when subjected to sudden and large changes in temperature. Cracking of these welds has occurred after being subjected to an undetermined number of cold-to-hot cycles resulting from steam being dumped into cold lines. It is, therefore, recommended that reinforced fabricated tee connections not be located downstream within 20 diameters of pressure isolation valves where such valves are capable of periodically suddenly releasing high temperature steam (approximately 500°F) into a normally cold line.
- B. Past Procedures and Calculations

Branch connections on the Catawba Nuclear Station sized prior to March 28, 1977 were designed according to the 1974 edition of the ASME B & PVC, Section III, Subparagraphs NC-3643.2(b), ND-3643.2(b), or ANSI B31.1-1973, Subparagraph 104.3.1, C.2 (Reference calculation: CNC-1206.00-02-1001). Branch connections sized on or after March 28, 1977 but before July 16, 1980, were designed to Stress Analysis Group Calculation DNK-3-28-77 (File MCC-1206-02-54-0005). All branch connections sized on or after July 16, 1980, shall be designed to this workplace procedure (CNSA-D0C77-003).

> CNSA-DOC77-003 Page 4 of 12

SPECIFICATION NO.: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 2/20/75 REVISION 23; (5/28/81) Page 8 of 16

.

# TABLE I

5

O

BRANCH TYPE SELECTION

CLASS	BRANCH	RUN	BRANCH TYPE
	≤ 2"	<u>≰</u> 2"	SWT
8,C,E,F&G	≤ 2"	21/2 - 36"	See Table #3
	≥ 21/2"	≥ 21/2"	BWT

CNSA-DOC77-003 Page 5 of 12

.

SPECIFICATION NO.: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 2/20/75 REVISION 23, (5/28/81) Page 9 of 16

1

# TABLE 2

# BRANCH TYPE DESCRIPTION

SWT Forged steel socket welding tee per ANSI B16.11. No alternate permitted except by the Stress Analyst.

- BWT Factory made wrought butt-welding tee per 23SI B16.9. BWT-R may be used as an alternate. See Note 3.
- BWT-R Factory made wrought butt-welding tee per ANSI B16.9 and a single butt-welding reducer per ANSI B16.9. BWSW may be used as an alternate. See Note 3.
- BWSW Forged butt-welding Sweepolet (Source Fitting). See Note 4. BWW may be used as alternate. See Note 8 for limitations.

Forged socket welding half coupling per ANSI B16.11 shaped to header O.D. and beveled for full penetration weld. SPWB may be used as an alternate provided wall thickness of run pipe is 2.5 inches and run pipe nominal size is 2 8 inches. See Note 2 and Note 10.

> **3HC - 3000#** half coupling **6HC - 6000#** half coupling

- SPWB Forged special welding boss as detailed on MDG-ES-2 and MDG-ES-3. BWSO may be used as an alternate. See Note 7.
- BWSO Forged butt-welding Sockolet (or Thredolet as applicable) (Source Fittings). See Note 5.
- BWW Forged butt-welding Weldolet (Source Fitting). See Note 6. FT may be used as an alternate. See Note 8 for limitations.

FT

HC

Fabricated Tee (pipe-to-pipe corner joint). See Note 1 and Note 9. 1

## NOTES

Branch to header size ratio and reinforcement calculations per (a) Fig. NC-3673.2(b)-1 and NC-3643 for Class B, (b) Fig. ND-3673.2(b)-1 and ND-3643 for Class C, and (c) Figure D-1 and Paragraph 104.3 for Classes E. F. and G must be performed for all sizes.

CNSA-D0C77-003 Page 6 of 12

SPECIFICATION NO.: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 2/20/75 REVISION 23, (5/28/81) Page 10 of 16

- (2) See Detail "R" on Drawing MC-1676-1.1, CN-1676-1.1, and P81-1676-1.1.
- (3) The branch to header size ratio will be limited to the availability of fittings as listed in manufacturers' catalogs.
- (4) Sweepolets will be used where the fitting sizes are not available and/or in situations where two header welds may be overcome by such design. For limitations of branch-to-run size ratio, see manufacturers' catalog. See Detail "AA" on Drawing MC-1676-1.2, CN-1676-1.1, and P81-1676-1.1.
- (5) See Detail "Y" on Drawing MC-1676-1.2, CN-1676-1.1 and P81-1676-1.1.
- (6) See Detail "Z" on Drawing MC-1676-1.2, CN-1676-1.1 and P81-1676-1.1.
- (7) See Detail "X" on Drawing MC-1676-1.2, CN-1676-1.1 and P81-1676-1.1.
- (8) For Class B, the use of BWW and FT is limited to branch sizes ≤ 4".
- (9) Fabricated tees requiring reinforcement, either for Stress Analysis Group's reduction of Stress Intensification Factors and/or Power Piping's qualification of nozzle welds for pressure stress, shall be sized using the following criteria:
  - t = thickness of reinforcing pad
  - t = nominal wall thickness of run pipe
  - t = 1.5 t

The width (w) of the pad should be the greater of:

- a. The width of the pad sized for pressure reinforcement by the Power Piping Section.
- b. One half the nominal cutside diameter of the branch pipe but not to be less than 1" or greater than 6".

This criteria should be used on nozzle welds that require reinforcing pads, effective January 21, 1981. (Ref. letter: CN-SA-81-0035)

1

SPECIFICATION NO.: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 2/20/75 REVISION 23, (5/28/31) Page 11 of 16

2

(10) Thermowells used on Catawba were designed to fit 3000 lb. half-couplings. By using the branch selection chart to size the thermowell connection, a 3000 lb. half-coupling may be acceptable for run piping with a thin wall, but a 6000 lb. half-coupling would be required for run piping with a wall thickness over a certain schedule. Since the branch selection chart is designed for typical branch connections, with branch connections being defined as branch pipe intersecting with run piping, it is preferred that the thermowell connections be sized using 3000 lb. half-couplings with a separate calculation to qualify the connection. The thermowell connections may be sized according to the branch selection chart, but this should be used as an alternate method. If a 6000 lb. half-coupling is used, the internal diameter of the coupling must be bored to the same diameter of a 3000 lb. half-coupling to allow for thermocouple installation. The only difference between the two half-couplings would be the outside diameter. (Ref. letter: CN-SA-81-0211)

> CNSA-DOC77-003 Page 7A of 12

SPECIFICATION NO.: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 2/20/75 REVISION 23, (5/28/81) TABLE 3.1 Page 12 of 16





NOTE: SEE TABLE 2 FOR BRANCH TYPE DESCRIPTION

.

00

CNSA-DOC77-003 Page 8 of 12

SPECIFICATION NQ.: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 . 2/20/75 REVISION 23, (5/28/81) Page 13 of 16





NOTE: SEE TABLE 2 FOR BRANCH TYPE DESCRIPTION

O

CNSA-DOC77-003 Page 9 of 12

SPECIFICATION NO .: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 2/20/75 REVISION 23, (5/28/81) Page 14 of 16

TABLE 3.3

1 BRANCH PIPE SIZE

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

C

CNSA-D0C77-003 Page 10 of 12

SPECIFICATION NO.: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 2/20/75 REVISION 23, (5/28/81) Page 15 of 16

# TABLE 3.4

# 2" BRANCH PIPE SIZE .

![](_page_14_Figure_3.jpeg)

0

CNSA-DOC77-003 Page 11 of 12

....

SPECIFICATION NO.: CNS-1206.00-02-1002 2/20/75 REVISION 23, (5/28/81) Page 16 of 16

## 5.0 . LIST OF REFERENCES

 ASME B & PVC, Section III, 1974, Through Winter 1976 Addenda.

2. ANSI B31.1, 1973, Through Winter 1976 Addenda.

3. ANSI B16.11, 1973

4. Duke Power Co. Stress Analysis Group Calculation DNK-3-28-77.

5. ASME B & PVC, Section III, 1977, through Winter 1977 addenda.

6. ANSI B31.1, 1977, through Winter 1977 Addenda.

7. ANSI B16.11, 1977.

- Letter to R. L. Williams from W. R. Selden, dated January 21, 1981 (CN-SA-81-0035).
- Letter to R. L. Williams from W. R. Selden, dated March 4, 1981 (CN-SA-81-0211).

10. Half coupling branch connection calculation CNC-1206.00-02-1001.

CNSA-00C77-003 Page 12 of 12 1