1				سنست سيست النائل أناهم بالتاليف سيست				
	(Unde	rthe P	The same of the sa	R OMB REVIEW n Act and Executive Or	der 12291)			
Important — Read instructions (SF-83A) before completing this form. Submit the required number of copies of SF-83, together with the material for which review is requested to:				Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs Office of Management and Budget Washington, D.C. 20503				
Department Agency and Bureau/Office originating request				3 Name(s) and telephone number(s) of person(s) who can best answer questions reparding request Chip Cameron (301)443-5981				
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission								
2. 6-digit Agency/Bureau number (first part of 11-digit Treasury Account No.) 3. 1. 5. 0				4. 3-digit functional code (last part of 11-digit Treasury Account No.) 2 7 6				
10 CFR 35.27, Records of Teletherapy Inspections and Servicing				C. Is this a rulemaking submission under Section 3504(h) of P.L. 96-511? (Check one) 1 No (Section 3507 submission) 2 Yes, NPRM. Expected date of publication. 3 Yes, final rule. Expected date of publication.				
 A is any information collection (reporting or recordkeeping) involved? (Check one) 				Effective date:				
1 Yes and proposal is attached for review 2 Yes but proposal is not attached — skip to question D. 3 No — skip to question D. B. Are the respondents primarily educational agencies or institutions or is the purpose related to Federal education programs?				D. At what phase of rulemaking is this submission made? (Check one) 1 Not applicable 2 Major rule, at NPRM stage 3 Major Final rule for which no NPRM was published 4 Major Final rule, after publication of NPRM				
□ Yes X□ No				5 X Nonmajor rule at NPRM s * ,				
				6 Nonmajor rule, a	t Final stage		Maria de la compansión de	
	COMPLETES	HADED	PORTION IF INFORMAT	TION COLLECTION PROPO	SAL IS ATTACHED		- 1100	
7. Current (or former) OMB Number 3150-0010			8 Requested Expiration Date	12 Agency report form number(s) N/A				
Expiration Date 6/30/83			6/30/83	13. Are respondents only Federal agencies? ☐ Yes ☑ No				
9. Is proposed information the information collection		sted in	□ Yes 😨 No	14. Type of request (Cr 1 preliminary plan	eck one)			
10. Will this proposed in cause the agency to collection budget all amendment request	exceed its info owance? (If ye from agency f	ormatio es, attac nead.)	th □ Yes 🖔 No	ago) 3XX revision 4 □ extension (adjus		more	than 6 months	
11. Number of report for NON		for appr	oval	5 □ extens.on (no chi 6 □ reinstatement (e)	ange) spired within 6 months)			
15.		16.0	Classification of Chan	ge in Burden (explain in	supporting statemen	t)		
Approximate size of universe (if sample)	N/A			No of Responses	No of Reporting Hour	s C	Cost to the Public	
b Size of sample	N/A		a. In inventory	7,220	69,237	S	390,000	
c Estimated number of respondents or record keepers per year	84	t	As proposed	7,304	69,279	\$	600,000	
	04		Difference (b-a)	84	42	5	210,000	
d. Reports annually by each respondent (item 25)	1	Adju	Explanation of difference (indicate as many as apply) Adjustments					
e Total annual responses			d Correction-error	+	+	±.8	\$	

e. Correction-reestimate

84

f. Change in use

Program changes

g. Increase

h. Decrease

.5

42

! Estimated average number of hours per response

g Estimated total hours of annual burden in Fiscal Year (item 15e x 15f)

210,000

+ \$

+ 5

+ \$

- 5

42

18 Related report form(s) (give OMB number(s), IRCN(s), internal agency report form number(s) or symbol(s))	20. Catalog of Federal Domestic Assistance Program Number N/A			
	21. Small business or organization Til Yes X No			
None 19 Type of affected public (Check as many as apply)	22 Type of activity of affected public - indicate 3-digit Standard			
1 □ individuals or households 2 □ state or local governments	Industrial Classification (SIC) code(s) (up to 10) — if over 10, check			
3 🗆 farms				
▲ 🕱 businesses or other institutions (except farms)				
23. Brief description of affected public (e.g., "retail grocery stores." "S	tate education agencies," "households in 50 largest SMSAs")			
NRC teletherapy licensees				
24 Purpose (Check as many as apply. If more than one, indicate predominant by an asterisk) 1 application for benefits 2 program evaluation 3 general purpose statistics 4 regulatory or compliance 5 program planning or management 6 research 25 Frequency of Use	26. Collection method (Check as many as apply) 1 mail self-administered 2 other self-administered 3 telephone interview 4 personal interview 5 recordkeeping requirement: Required retention period: 5 years 6 other—describe: 27. Collection agent (Check one) 1 requesting Department/Agency 2 other Federal Department/Agency 3 private contractor 4 recordkeeping requirement 5 other—describe:			
Nonrecurring Recurring (check as many as apply) 2 □ on occasion 6 □ semiannually 3 □ weekly 7 □ annually 4 □ monthly 8 □ biennially 5 □ quarterly 9 ♥ other—describe every 5 years				
Authority for agency for information collection or rulemaking—indicate statute, regulation, judicial decree, etc. Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended	30. Do you promise confidentiality? (If yes, explain basis for pledge in supporting statement.) □ Yes 🖈 No			
29 Respondent's obligation to reply (Check as many as apply) 1 □ voluntary	31 Will the proposed information collection create a new or become part of an existing Privacy Act system of records? (If yes, attach Federal Register notice or proposed draft of notice.) □ Yes ▼ No			
2 ☐ required to obtain or retain benefit 3 ☐ mandatory—cite statute, not CFR (attach copy of statutory authority)	32 Cost to Federal Government of information collection or rulemaking \$ 1,120.00			
COMPLETE ITEMS 33 THRU 35	ONLY IF RULEMAKING SUBMISSION			
33 Compliance costs to the public 34 Is there a regulatory analysis attached?	impact 35. Is there a statutory or judicial deadline affecting issuance?			
S □ Yes □ No	☐ Yes. Enter date			
CERTIFICATION BY AUTHORIZED OFFICIALS SUBMITTING REQUEST—Vivew is necessary for the proper performance of the agency's functions, that consistent with need, and is consistent with applicable OMB and agency police.	the proposal represents the minimum public burden and Federal cost			
Patricia G. Norra Shory 9-21-82	SUBMITTING OFFICIAL DATE			

17. Abstract - Needs and Uses (50 words or less)

SUPPORTING STATEMENT

Proposed Amendment, 10 CFR Part 35.27
Requiring Records of Teletherapy Inspections and Servicing

1. Justification

It is proposed that all teletherapy licensees establish a program for the periodic inspection and servicing of teletherapy machines to assure proper functioning of the source exposure mechanism. Records of inspection and servicing would be retained for a period of five years. Current 10 CFR 35.25 would be modified and redesignated as 35.27.

The NRC is aware of several teletherapy unit malfunctions that had the potential for causing serious overexposures to patients and operating staff. Unshielded teletherapy sources can generate radiation fields on the order of hundreds of rems per minute in areas accessible to patients and operators. The malfunctions on record have involved the mechanism designed to control the source exposure status, and resulted from excessive wear, misalignment, inadequate lubrication, etc. The proposed amendments are intended to codify an existing licensing requirement for inspection and servicing programs which are believed to significantly reduce the frequency of occurrence of such malfunctions. Records of the required maintenance prodedures are necessary for better performance by the licensee and inspection and enforcement by the NRC.

Records of inspection will be used to assure that previously noted deficiencies have been corrected. This new recordkeeping requirement is an important component of an essential inspection and servicing program for NRC teletherapy licensees. The minimal cost is justified by a significant improvement in the radiation safety of patients and operators. The records provide inspectable evidence that an important radiation protection procedure has been performed. Information gained on the kinds of component problems discovered can be used by teletherapy manufacturers to effect better design and quality control. The gains in patient and operator radiation protection will significantly outweigh the economic impact on licensees.

2. Description of the Information Collection

The propose! requirement impacts approximately 420 teletherapy licensees. According to information available to the NRC, all of these licensees are currently complying with the requirement as per previously established licensing conditions.

The licensing condition and the proposed rule require inspection and servicing of the teletherapy source exposure mechanism whenever the source is replaced or at intervals not to exceed five years. Thus a record of the inspection and servicing will be established on the same frequency and retained for five years.

3. Time Schedule

The recordkeeping requirement is already in effect at all teletherapy licensees and is applicable to all new license applicants. Records of inspection and servicing of the teletherapy source exposure mechanism are not submitted to the NRC but are retained for a period of five years subject to routine inspection by the NRC.

4. Consultation Outside NRC

The proposed rule has been published in the <u>Federal Register</u> and affected licensees and applicants have been individually notified in order to solicit comments on the recordkeeping requirement.

5. Estimate of the Compliance Burden

Licensee cost for the inspection and servicing program is estimated at less than \$500.00 per teletherapy unit per year. For the 420 licensees, this mean a total estimated cost of \$210,000.00 annually. However, the cost of generating and maintaining a record of the inspection is a small fraction of this cost.

It is estimated that the time for generating and maintaining a record of the inspection is approximately one-half hour every five years for each teletherapy licensee. This is an average of 84 licensees and 42 hours annually for the entire group of 420 teletherapy operators.

6. Sensitive Questions

None

7. Estimate of Cost to Federal Government

Implementation of the program for inspection and servicing of teletherapy units will incur an inspection and enforcement effort by NRC. Inspections occur on a 2-3 years frequency and will now include approximately 10 minutes for review of the licensees' record. This is a total time cost to the Government of 28 hours per year for a total cost of \$1,120.00.