



Alabama Power

the southern electric system

March 7, 1983

50-348

Director NRR
Nuclear Reactor Regulations
USNRC
Phillips Building
7920 Norfolk Avenue
Bethesda, MD 20014

Dear Sir:

In accordance with Alabama Power Company Administrative Procedure FNP-0-AP-4, Control of Plant Documents and Records, attached is a memo and Procedure Request Form voiding FNP-0-EIP-20, Recovery - EOF.


Please remove and destroy all copies of this procedure from your files.

Please sign and return the Document Transmittal Forms as soon as possible to the following address:

Alabama Power Company
Farley Nuclear Plant
Box 470
Ashford, AL 36312
ATTN: Document Control Supervisor

If you should have any questions, please call me at (205) 899-5156 Ext. 422.

Sincerely,


Joey B. Hudspeth
FNP Document Control Supervisor

JBH:hrc

cc: Larry W. Enfinger
File

X005

To: Director NRR (Docket Nos. 50-348 & 50-364) Date: 3-7-81

Remarks: VOID procedures

TRANSMITTED				RETURN REQUESTED			REMARKS
TITLE AND/OR NUMBER	NO. CPS.	REV. NO.	COPY NO.	NO. CPS.	REV. NO.	COPY NO.	
<u>FNP-O-EIP-20</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>1</u>	<u>038 thru 047</u>				<u>memo</u>

INSTRUCTIONS TO ADDRESSEE

- Verify the documents received agree with the above description.
 - Incorporate the transmitted documents into your files.
 - Documents or portions thereof presently in your possession which are superseded by the documents hereby transmitted must be clearly marked "VOID" or destroyed. If return of superseded documents is requested in the above "Return Requested" column, attach the superseded documents to this form prior to returning it.
- Sign in the space below indicating that you have completed these instructions and return the routing copy of this form to the below address.

WHITE - ROUTING COPY
YELLOW - SUSPENSE COPY

Alabama Power Company
P. O. Drawer 470
Ashford, Al 36312
Attention: Document Control Supervisor

Signature Of Addressee

INTER DEPARTMENT MEMORANDUM

TO MONA COBB & File

TOWN —

AT _____

DATE 2-26-83

SUBJECT Voiding FNP-0-EIP-20

FNP-0-EIP-20
IS VOIDED.

per attached approvals on procedure request form.

SIGNED Jerry L. Husbeth

PROCEDURE REQUEST FORM

FNP-0-AP-1

1. Procedure Number FNP-0-EIP-20 Revision Number _____
Procedure Title Recovery-EOF

- Safety Related Non-Safety Related
- New Procedure Request
- Procedure Revision, New Revision Number _____
Change of Intent
- Temporary Procedure Change, Effective until next permanent
change, TCN _____
- Temporary Procedure Change, Req'd. by Plant Conditions, TCN _____
- Temporary Procedure Change, One Time Use

XXX VOID THIS PROCEDURE IN ITS ENTIRETY

2. Change Summary

2.1 Procedure Page Numbers Affected by Change
N/A

2.2 Description of Changes
N/A

2.3 Reason for Change
FNP-0-EIP-20 is no longer needed since GO-EIP-115. De-escalation of Emergency Classification and Recovery Initiation, has been issued.

3. Prepared By *Elvira Garcia*, C/HP Technician, 2-22-83
Signature Title Date

4. Reviewed By *W. J. Bispert*, Sector Supervisor, 2-22-83
Signature Title Date

5. Cross-Disciplinary/PORC Review

Group	Signature	Title	Date
PORC	<u><i>W. J. Bispert</i></u>	<u>PM</u>	<u>2-24-83</u>

6. Temporary Change Approval (Signature/Date)

- Member Group Staff _____
- Shift Foreman _____
- Senior Reactor Operator _____
- Plant Manager _____

7. Final Approval (Signature/Date, required within 60 days of temporary approval)

- Group Supervisor *W. J. Bispert* / _____
- Plant Superintendent *W. J. Bispert* / 2-23-83
- MSAER _____
- Vice President - _____
- Nuclear Generation _____
- Plant Manager _____

FARLEY NUCLEAR PLANT
 NUCLEAR SAFETY EVALUATION CHECK LIST

- (1) UNIT Shared
- (2) CHECK LIST APPLICABLE TO: FNP-0-EIP-20 Revision TCN
- (3) SAFETY EVALUATION - PART A

The procedure, procedure change or modification to which this evaluation is applicable represents:

- (3.1) Yes No X A change to the plant as described in the FSAR?
- (3.2) Yes No X A change to procedures as described in the FSAR?
- (3.3) Yes No X A test or experiment not described in the FSAR?
- (3.4) Yes No X A change to the Technical Specifications?

If the answer to any of the above questions is "Yes," complete Item (4) and attach a 10CFR50.59 evaluation. If the answer to all of the above is "No," omit Item (4) and Item (9).

(4) SAFETY EVALUATION - PART B

- (4.1) Yes No Will the probability of an accident previously evaluated in the FSAR be increased?
- (4.2) Yes No Will the consequences of an accident previously evaluated in the FSAR be increased?
- (4.3) Yes No May the possibility of an accident which is different than any already evaluated in the FSAR be created?
- (4.4) Yes No Will the probability of a malfunction of equipment important to safety previously evaluated in the FSAR be increased?
- (4.5) Yes No Will the consequences of a malfunction of equipment important to safety different than any already evaluated in the FSAR be increased?
- (4.6) Yes No May the possibility of a malfunction of equipment important to safety different than any already evaluated in the FSAR be created?
- (4.7) Yes No Will the margin of safety as defined in the basis to any Technical Specification be reduced?

If the answer to any of the above questions is "Yes," an unreviewed safety question is involved. Explain the basis for each answer provided in Section 4.

(5) REMARKS: (Attach additional pages if necessary) _____

- (6) PREPARED BY: Edmund DATE 2-22-83
- (7) REVIEWED BY: W. S. Whit DATE 2-22-83
- (8) PORC REVIEW: W. S. Whit DATE 2-24-83
- (9) NORB REVIEW: _____ DATE _____

Distribution

Original: Document Control File A21 6226