Kin-Tech Division



September 13, 1982

Director, Region V Office of Inspection & Enforcement U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission 1990 N. California Blvd., Suite 202 Walnut Creek, CA 94596

Subject: Potential Reportable 10CFR21 Incident

Gentlemen:

A recent investigation by Pacific Scientific of mechanical shock arrestors tested by others indicates that damage has occurred during this testing.

Snubbers tested by others and deemed to be "good units" were retested by Pacific Scientific and would not pass our functional tests; disassembly found damaged and broken internal parts. These damaged snubbers had been "approved" for use by the testing facility and had been installed in a nuclear power generating facility. At our request, they were removed for retesting and examination by us and were found to be inoperative and damaged.

It is recommended that any Pacific Scientific shock arrestors tested and approved by testing sources other than Pacific Scientific Company be returned to us for retesting to assure their functional integrity has not been compromised as a result of improper testing.

Very truly yours,

PACIFIC SCIENTIFIC Kin-Tech Division

A. Hadnagy

Director, Technical Operations

PAH: jrc

PART 21 REPORT LOG SHEET

Date Verbal Notification Received -	me Received By - N
Date Information Placed in Daily Report -	
Name and Address of Person Providing Verba	
a) Name - N/A	
a) Name - N/A b) Company and Address - N/A	2
c) Telephone No NA	
Description of Problem - PACIFIC SC MECHANICAL SHOCK ARRESTOR	cientific Testing of
MecHANICAL SHOCK aRRESTOR	s INDICATES DAM
Nuclear Facilities Affected - NA	
Nuclear Facilities Affected - N/A	Date Received -
Date 5-day Written Report Due -	Date Received -
Date 5-day Written Report Due - Mail Written Report to HQ's and Other Affe	ected Regions
Date 5-day Written Report Due - Mail Written Report to HQ's and Other Affe a) Date Mailed to HQ's (Bill Mills) -	ected Regions
Date 5-day Written Report Due - Mail Written Report to HQ's and Other Affe a) Date Mailed to HQ's (Bill Mills) - b) Date Mailed to Other Regions -	Regions Mailed To -
Date 5-day Written Report Due - Mail Written Report to HQ's and Other Affe a) Date Mailed to HQ's (Bill Mills) - b) Date Mailed to Other Regions - Give Written Report to Each Region V Affec	Regions Mailed To -
Date 5-day Written Report Due - Mail Written Report to HQ's and Other Affe a) Date Mailed to HQ's (Bill Mills) - b) Date Mailed to Other Regions - Give Written Report to Each Region V Affec a) Date Given to Principal Inspector(s) -	Regions Mailed To -
Date 5-day Written Report Due - Mail Written Report to HQ's and Other Affe a) Date Mailed to HQ's (Bill Mills) - b) Date Mailed to Other Regions - Give Written Report to Each Region V Affec a) Date Given to Principal Inspector(s) - b) Name(s) of Inspectors Given To -	Regions Mailed To -
Date 5-day Written Report Due - Mail Written Report to HQ's and Other Affe a) Date Mailed to HQ's (Bill Mills) - b) Date Mailed to Other Regions - Give Written Report to Each Region V Affec a) Date Given to Principal Inspector(s) - b) Name(s) of Inspectors Given To -	Regions Mailed To -