



TUFTS UNIVERSITY

DOCKETED  
USNRC

53

'94 JUN -1 P1:13

Department of Biology

May 25, 1994

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
DOCKETING & SERVICE  
BRANCH

Secretary of the Commission  
US Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Washington, DC 20555

DOCKET NUMBER  
PROPOSED RULE PR 20  
(59FR 9146)

Attention: Docketing and Service Branch

Dear Secretary:

This is in response to the request for comments on the current policy for disposal of radioactive material by release into sanitary sewerage systems. Following is my position on this issue:

1) Form of material released: The most recent regulations restrict sewerage disposal to materials that are soluble or readily dispersible biological materials. I believe that any prior concerns for concentration of non-biological readily dispersible material are resolved by the new restriction to biological materials and am unaware of any significant problem with soluble material. I therefore recommend continuation of this allowed release form.

2) Total quantity of material: Although these unchanged limits easily meet the needs of most licensees, it seems appropriate, given the new restriction on form of material released and the new more restrictive release concentrations, to consider relaxation of these limits for large users since reconcentration should be much less of a concern. It may even be practical to eliminate such an upper cap totally, depending only on concentration restriction with the reduced limits now in effect. I have never seen the logic in applying the same cap to a large program with thousands of users as is applied to a small one with only a few users.

3) Types of limits: The present method of limitation based on an individual being exposed by ingestion of water from the sewer outfall seems to be sufficiently conservative to satisfy all needs, particularly in view of the new lower release concentrations. This is readily controllable in the workplace and is easily understood for radionuclide users. Proper adherence to concentration limits would appear to negate the need for an upper cap as mentioned in item 2 above.

4) Exemption of Patient Excreta: Continuation of this important exemption is encouraged as a significant ALARA consideration. Collection and control of patient excreta would result in the deliberate reconcentration of a significant waste stream with the potential for worker exposure, spills, and emergency issues that have been avoided through the judicial use

Medford, Massachusetts 02155-7009  
617 627-3195  
FAX 617 627-3805

9406090052 940525  
PDR PR  
20 59FR9146 PDR


DS10

Secretary of the Commission  
May 25, 1994  
Page 2

of this exemption. The rapid dispersal of this soluble waste stream of short-lived material seems the most practical approach to this issue and I strongly recommend the continuation of the exemption in its current form.

I thank the commission for the opportunity to comment on this important issue and look forward to commenting on any proposed regulations that are forthcoming.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "June R. Aprile" followed by a small mark that appears to be "per jc".

June R. Aprile  
Henry Bromfield Pearson Professor  
of Natural Sciences  
Professor of Biology

JRA/jc