

DOCKETED
MAR 18 1983

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA
NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

MAR 18 P1:06

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:
Helen F. Hoyt, Chairperson
Emmeth A. Luebke
Jerry Harbour

REGULATORY & SERVICE
BRANCH

SERVED MAR 18 1983

In the Matter of)	
)	
PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF)	Docket Nos. 50-443-OL
NEW HAMPSHIRE, <u>et al.</u>)	50-444-OL
)	(ASLBP 82-471-02-OL)
(Seabrook Station, Units 1)	
and 2))	March 17, 1983

ORDER

(Addressing Applicants' Answers to NECNP Second Set of Interrogatories and Requests for Documents to Applicants on Contentions I.D.1., I.D.4.,* I.F.,* I.I., I.L.,* II.B., and Motion for a Protective Order (filed January 29, 1983) and "NECNP Motion to Compel Answers by Applicants to NECNP Second Set of Interrogatories on Contentions I.D.1., I.D.4.,* I.F.,* I.I., I.L.,* and II.B." (filed February 16, 1983)

1. NECNP seeks to compel access in Interrogatory 3 to Applicant's draft of the Reactor Vessel Examination Plan. In Interrogatory 7, NECNP moves to compel a "more accurate and specific answer." Applicant has resisted and seeks a Protective Order.

2. In response to Interrogatory 3, Applicant stated that the draft version of the "Reactor Vessel Examination Plan" will be submitted in the "summer of 1983." The Board finds such a vague period of time to be unacceptable and orders Applicant to either provide NECNP


* By letter dated March 4, 1983, NRC Staff confirmed to Counsel for NECNP that NECNP had agreed to withdraw seven of its admitted contentions. Three of these contentions were I.D.4. (Failure to Comply With GDC 21); I.F. (Diesel Generator Qualification); and I.L. (PORV Flow Detection Monitoring). Copies of the letter were served on the Board and parties to this proceeding.

with the "preliminary draft version of the 'Reactor Vessel Examination Plan,'" identified in response to Interrogatory 2 or advise this Board and NECNP at the Prehearing Conference on April 7, 1983 of a date certain in the summer of 1983 when the draft version will be submitted to NRC and the Intervenor.

3. In Interrogatory 7, NECNP sought identification and description of any tests or studies at Seabrook on the interaction of safety functions and control functions. Applicant responded with citations to FSAR sections. However, Applicant did not identify or describe in those reference sections any supportative tests or studies. NECNP motion to compel a more accurate and specific answer to Interrogatory 7 is granted.

4. Applicants motion for a Protective Order is denied.

FOR THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND
LICENSING BOARD



Helen F. Hoyt, Chairperson
ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland
this 17th day of March, 1983.