03/18/83

### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

.

CAROLINA POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY AND NORTH CAROLINA EASTERN MUNICIPAL POWER AGENCY Docket Nos. 50-400 OL 50-401 OL

(Shearon Harris Nuclear Power Plant, Units 1 and 2)

## NRC STAFF INTERROGATORIES TO WELLS EDDLEMAN

The NRC Staff hereby requires the Intervenor, Wells Eddleman, pursuant to 10 C.F.R. § 2.740b, to answer separately and fully, in writing and under oath or affirmation, the following interrogatories on or before April 6, 1983.

# GENERAL INTERROGATORIES FOR EACH CONTENTION

Provide for each of your contentions numbered 22, 29, 30, 37B, 41, 45, 64(f), 65, 67, 75, 80, 83, and 84, separately by each contention, the following information.

#### INTERROGATORY 1

Identify by name, business or personal address, and telephone number each person upon whom you rely to substantiate your assertion of inadequacy of Applicant's or Staff analysis.

#### **INTERROGATORY** 2

PDR

8303220228 830318 PDR ADOCK 05000400

Set forth the professional qualifications of each person identified in response to Interrogatory 1.

D	ESIGNATED	ORIGINAL	Kat
Certified	By	tin 1	meets

Provide a summary of the views of each person 'dentified in response to Interrogatory 1.

### INTERROGATORY 4

Identify by author, title, date of publication, publisher, and present location, all books, texts or other graphic material upon which the persons identified in response to Interrogatory 1 rely to substantiate their position.

#### INTERROGATORY 5

Will you voluntarily make available to the NRC Staff for inspection and copying all materials identified in response to Interrogatory 4.

#### INTERROGATORY 6

Identify by name, telephone number and address, all persons which you intend to use as witnesses at the evidentiary hearings.

### INTERROGATORY 7

Set forth the professional qualifications of each person identified in response to Interrogatory 6.

#### INTERROGATORY 8

Summarize the position of each person identified in response to Interrogatory 6.

#### INTERROGATORY 9

Have you, or anyone in your behalf, made any calculations or analysis to substantiate all or any part of your contention.

### **INTERROGATORY 10**

If the answer to Interrogatory 9 is yes, provide the names, telephone number, and business or personal address of all persons who have made such calculations or analysis.

If the answer to Interrogatory 9 is yes, provide a summary of all such calculations or analysis.

### **INTERROGATORY** 12

If the answer to Interrogatory 9 is yes, will you voluntarily make available to the NRC Staff all such calculations or analysis for inspection and copying.

### **INTERROGATORY 13**

Provide the name, telephone number and address of each and every persons who answered these interrogatories. Where more than one person contributed to an answer, identify all persons who contributed to the answer and indicate her or his contribution.

# SPECIFIC INTERROGATORIES

# EDDLEMAN CONTENTIONS 29 and 30

### **INTERROGATORY** 14

Identify all deficiencies in design and equipment in the Harris facility that, at normal full power operation, will prevent the Harris facility from being operated in conformity with 10 C.F.R. Part 50 Appendix I.

#### INTERROGATORY 15

Set forth each and every fact, including the source thereof, within your possession or control, which tends to support your assertion that Carolina Power and Light Company will intentionally operate the <u>Harris</u> facility in violation of 10 C.F.R. Part 50 Appendix I.

### **INTERROGATORY 16**

Set forth in quantitative terms, the degree to which Applicants have underestimated the release of radioiodine to the environment during normal full power operation of the Harris facility.

Describe the systems and pathways by which radioiodine will escape to the environment and quantify the amount so escaped.

# **INTERROGATORY 18**

Set forth your assumptions, parameters, methodology, calculations and analysis which support your assertion in Contention 29 that radioiodine in excess of amounts permitted by 10 C.F.R. Part 50 Appendix I will be released in the environment during normal opertions of the Harris facility.

# INTERROGATORY 19

Identify and describe all deficiencies in the radiation detection equipment at <u>Harris</u> that will lead to any incorrect assessment of the amount of radioactive being released to the environment at Harris.

# CONTENTION 41

### INTERROGATORY 20

Identify specifically the defects you allege now exist in the Applicant's QA/QC programs for inspection of safety-related pipe hanger welds.

# INTERROGATORY 21

Set forth any and all facts within your possession and control that would demonstrate that, as of the date of these interrogatories, there are defective safety-related piper hanger welds in the Harris facility.

## CONTENTION 64f

## INTERROGATORY 22

Identify all aspects of the design of spent fuel casks to be used to transport spent fuel from <u>Harris</u> which fail to meet the NRC regulatory criteria as set forth in 10 C.F.R. § 73.31 and 32 and 10 C.F.R. Part 71 Appendices A and B.

Set forth all facts in your possession and control that indicate that the spent fuel casks to be used at the <u>Harris</u> facility will not comply with NRC's requirements set forth in 10 C.F.R Part 70.

#### CONTENTION 65

#### INTERROGATORY 24

Set forth all facts within your possession or control which would indicate that there are voids over one inch in diameter in the base mat or containment structure at the Harris facility.

### **INTERROGATORY 25**

Identify any and all voids known to you or your advisors or consultants to exist at the present time in the base mat or containment structure at Harris.

## INTERROGATORY 26

Identify and describe the defects in the base mats and containment at the Callaway, Wolf Creek and Farley facilities which you allege to exist due to construction by Daniel International.

# **INTERROGATORY 27**

Set forth the source of the facts for your reply to Interrogatory number 26.

#### CONTENTION 75

### INTERROGATORY 28

Identify each genus and species of clam, oyster or marine growth that you allege will grow and live in the condensers.

### INTERROGATORY 29

For each species of fauna identified in response to Interrogatory 28, describe the physical path taken by such species from the environment to the condenser.

1

Set forth the time period from the commencement of power operation to when the clam, oyster or marine growth will impair the safe shut down of the facility.

# **INTERROGATORY 31**

Identify by name of station and name of impoundment each and every coal or nuclear commercial power station in North Carolina which has been adversely affected by the species identified by you in your response to Interrogatory 28.

# INTERROGATORY 32

For each power station identified in your response to Interrogatory 31 describe the degree to which the cooling water intake was reduced and the period of time it took for this to occur.

#### INTERROGATORY 33

Does the ultimate heat sink cool the reactor by feeding water to the condenser below the turbines.

### CONTENTION 80

#### INTERROGATORY 34

Identify each of the deficiencies you allege in mixing and dispersion models for gas and liquids containing radiation used in the Harris FSAR.

# **INTERROGATORY 35**

Describe the nature of the deficiencies identified by you in response to Interrogatory 34 and quantitatively describe the result of said deficiencies.

# INTERROGATORY 36

Is the radioactive monitoring equipment at <u>Harris</u> adequate to detect radiation emissions which would exceed 10 C.F.R. Part 20 limits.

Is the radiation monitoring equipment at <u>Harris</u> adequate to detect radiation femissions which would exceed 10 C.F.R. Part 50 Appendix I.

#### INTERROGATORY 38

If your answer to Interrogatory 36 or 37 is negative, then identify by monitor and location each monitor you allege to be inadequate.

### INTERROGATORY 39

Set forth the test or historical data which supports your response to Interrogatory number 38 and identify the source of that data.

### INTERROGATORY 40

Identify each and every deficiency in the NRC Regulatory Guides 1.109 and 1.113, copies of which are attached.

#### INTERROGATORY 41

Set forth the concentration model, including all relevant parameters and methodology used by you to conclude that rain falling on a radioactive plume would cause doses beneath the plume to exceed 10 C.F.R. Part 20 permissible limits; include the amount of radioactivity released by the facility, the time duration, the stability class, wind speed, direction of wind, height of plume and distance from the facility of the occurrence of rain so that your reply is quantitatively meaningful.

# CONTENTIONS 83 AND 84

#### INTERROGATORY 42

Identify each element, or chemical compound, which you allege will be discharged into the cooling lake which will form a carcinogenic compound.

#### **INTERROGATORY** 43

Identify each and every resulting carcinogenic entity in the <u>Harris</u> lake.

Quantify in terms of amount and time duration, the elements and compounds identified by you in response to Interrogatory number 42.

### INTERROGATORY 45

Describe the physical process by which these elements and compounds will form carcinogenic entities.

### **INTERROGATORY** 46

Describe the amount and concentration of those alleged carcinogens in the <u>Harris</u> cooling lake, including your models, calculations and analysis.

#### INTERROGATORY 47

Describe the process, and quantify, of biological intake into the human body of the entities identified by you in response to Interrogatory number 43.

#### **INTERROGATORY** 48

Set forth all authority upon which you rely to substantiate your allegation that swimming in the Harris lake will result in the accumulation of carcinogenic entities in the body of the swimmer.

#### **INTERROGATORY** 49

Quantitatively describe the amount of water which will be discharged from the <u>Harris</u> cooling lake into the Cape Fear River and the resulting concentration of <u>Harris</u> cooling lake water in the Cape Fear River. Your assumption, parameters, methodology, calcuation and analysis should be set forth so that your reply is objectively meaningful.

Respectfully submited,

harder about

Charles A. Barth Counsel for NRC Staff

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this / ? <sup>th</sup> day of March, 1983