

# Nebraska Public Power District

COOPER NUCLEAR STATION P.O. BOX 98, BROWNVILLE, NEBRASKA 68321 TELEPHONE (402) 825-3811

CNSS948160

N N 4 1

May 27, 1994

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Document Control Desk Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir:

Cooper Nuclear Station Licensee Event Report 94-002, Rev. 1, is forwarded as an attachment to this letter.

Sincerely,

R. L. Gardner Plant Manager

RLG/nc

Attachment

cc: L. J. Callan G. R. Horn J. M. Meacham R. E. Wilbur V. L. Wolstenholm D. A. Whitman INPO Records Center NRC Resident Inspector R. J. Singer CNS Training CNS Quality Assurance

070007

9406070256 940527 PDR ADDCK 05000298 S PDR

NRC Form 366 (9-83)							LIC	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 ENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) EXPIRES: 8/31/88									
FACILIT	Y NAME (	13	a management				Non-Solid States of Strengtheory						DOCKET NUMBE	R (2)		F2	AGE (3)
Coop	er Nu	clea	r St	ati	m								0 15 10 10	10	12 1 9 18	10	F0 1 1
TITLE (4	Unex	pect	ed C	losi	ire o	f CS	Subsy	stem	B Pun	p Min	imum 1	Flow Val	ve. An ESI	FCC	mponer	t. Du	ring
	Surv	eill	ance	Tes	sting	Due	to A	Spuri	ous S	pike	of The	e Flow In	nstrument		- P - H - L		in ving
EV	ENT DATE	6 (6)		4	ER NUME	ER (6)		RE	PORTDAT	E (7)		OTHE	R FACILITIES INV	OLVER	(8)		
MONTH DAY		YEAR	YEAR		NUMBER	ER	REVISION	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAM		AMES	DOG	DOCKET NUMBER		
			1.							-				0	15 0 1	0101	1.1.
. 1		1.	Ľ,		L. L.	1 -			1.4.1	1.1							
0 2	01	94	9	4	0 0	2	01	0 5	2 7	9 4				0	151010	101	11
OPE	RATING		THIS	REPOR	T IS SUBM	ITTED	PURBUANT 7	O THE R	EQUIREM	ENTS OF 10	CFR S: 1	Check one or man	e of the following) (	(11)			
POWER	- 1	IN		20.402()	5)		-	20.4051	c]		X	50.73(s)(2)(iv)			73.71(b)		
	R			20.405(#)(1)(i)				50.36(c)(1)			50.73(s)(2)(v)			73,71(c)			
(10)		010		20.405(e)(1)(i)				50,36(c)(2) 50,73(e)(2)(4			50.73(e)(2)(vii)		Delow and	HER (Specify in Abstract ow and in Text, NRC Form			
			-	20.4051			-	50,73(e	(2)(1)		-	60.73(s)(2)(viii	() ( <b>A</b> ( )		366A.I		
			-	20.406/	1111111		-	60,731e	1(2)(n)		-	50.73(s)(2)(viii	1(8)	1.			
	******		1 1	20.4001	*****			ICENSEE	CONTACT	FOR THIS	LEP (47)	50.73(#/52)(K)		1		and the same hand so that	and the same same same same same same same sam
NAME									CONTRACT	run ma	2211 (12)	and a second		TEL	EPHONE NUI	MBER	
													AREA CODE	1			
Dona	1d L.	Ree	ves,	Jr.									41013	2 8	12 1 51-	1 318	11 1 1
					COMPL	ETE ON	E LINE FOR	EACH CO	MPONEN	FAILURE	DESCRIBE	D IN THIS REPO	DRT (13)	-			
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMP	ONENT		ANUFAC TURER	R	EPORTABLE TO NPROS			CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC TURER	R	EPORTABLE		
	1	1	1 1		1.1			*********				111					
					1.1							1.1.1	111			L,	
		-			SUPPL	EMENT	AL REPORT	EXPECTE	D (14)				EXPEC	TED	MONT	H DAY	YEAR
X YES	s (H yes, co	ompiete E	XPL CT	D SUB	MISSION L	ATE)		-	NO				DATE	510N (15)	0 18	216	914
ABSTRAC	r (Limit t	cuary	acas, i.e. 1,	199	4, at	app	pie spece type proxima	itely	es) (16) 11:0	0 pm,	durin	ng Core !	Spray (CS)	) Sj	stem v	valve	

operability surveillance testing, CS Subsystem B pump minimum flow bypass valve, CS MOV-MO5B, unexpectedly closed then automatically re-opened when CS-MOV-MO26B, CS Subsystem B test return valve, was opened. An evaluation indicated that the valve had closed due to a spurious flow instrument spike that occurred when CS-MOV-MO26B was opened. An attempt to re-create the actuation of the minimum flow valve was made; however, it remained in its normally OPEN position. Due to the unexplained occurrence of the spike, CS Subsystem B was declared inoperable pending an evaluation. During the monthly valve operability surveillance test conducted at approximately 2:00 am on April 27, 1994, a similar unexpected valve operation of the spike. When both events occurred, the plant was in operation at full power.

As reported in Rev. 0, the initial engineering evaluation of the February 1 event concluded that the spurious flow spike had most likely occurred due to air in the sensing lines for CS-FT-40B which had been taken out of service and calibrated earlier during the day. The final evaluation of that event, which was nearing completion when the second event occurred, had dismissed the air entrapment cause, indicating that the spiking that had been observed was most likely due to the piping configuration of CS Subsystem B. The second event supports that assessment. The root cause for these events will be reported in a supplement to this LER.

Following the initial event, the flow transmitter was backfilled and a calibration check was performed. No discrepancies were identified and CS Subsystem B was returned to service. As a result of the second event, further investigation activities were initiated. The corrective action taken to resolve the concern will be reported in a supplement to this LER.

MRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMP	ISSION	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95						
LICENSEE EVEN TEXT CON	ESTIN THIS FORWA THE (MNBE WASHI REDUC MANAC	ATED BURDEN PER INFORMATION COLL RD COMMENTS REGA INFORMATION AND 3 7714), U.S. NUCL NGTON, DC 2055-0 TION PROJECT GEMENT AND BUDGET,	TO COMPLY WITH JEST: 50.0 HRS. EN ESTIMATE TO VAGEMENT BRANCH ORY COMMISSION, O THE PAPERWORK , OFFICE OF J, DC 20503.						
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMB	R (2)	LER NUMBER (6	)	PAGE	(3)			
COOPED NUCLEAD CTATION		YEAR	R SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 OF				
COUTER NUCLEAR STATION	050002	98 94	002	01		)F 3			

#### A. Event Description

On February 1, 1994, at approximately 11:00 pm, during Core Spray (CS) System valve operability surveillance testing, CS Subsystem B pump minimum flow bypass valve, CS-MOV-MO5B, unexpectedly closed then automatically re-opened when CS-MOV-MO26B, CS Subsystem B test return valve, was opened. An initial evaluation of data from the Plant Management Information System (PMIS) indicated that CS-MOV-MO5B closed due to an unexpected flow spike that occurred when CS-MOV-MO26B was opened. The normally OPEN minimum flow valve closes upon actuation of the alarm unit, CS-AM-45B, at 1768 gpm. Due to the unexplained occurrence of the flow spike, CS Subsystem B was declared inoperable pending a further evaluation of the spike. During the monthly valve operability surveillance test conducted at approximately 2:00 am on April 27, 1994, a similar unexpected valve operation occurred. CS Subsystem B was again declared inoperable, pending an evaluation of the spike.

### B. Plant Status

On both occasions, the plant was in normal operation at full power, conducting monthly surveillance testing of the CS System Motor Operated Valves (MOVs).

# C. Basis for Report

The unanticipated, automatic actuation of an ESF component, reportable in accordance with 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv).

## D. <u>Cause</u>

As reported in Rev. 0, the initial engineering evaluation of the February 1 event concluded that the spurious flow spike had most likely occurred due to air in the sensing lines for CS-FT-40B which had been taken out of service and calibrated earlier during the day. The final evaluation of that event, which was nearing completion when the second event occurred, had dismissed the air entrapment cause, postulating that the flow indication spike was most likely due to the piping configuration of CS Subsystem B. The second event supports that assessment. The root cause for these events remains under investigation and will be addressed in a supplement to this LER.

# E. <u>Safety Significance</u>

CS-MOV-MO5B is provided for pump protection. Upon system initiation, the valve remains open to provide minimum flow protection for the pump, closing when flow reaches 1768 gpm. The valve will reopen at 1340 gpm on decreasing flow. Flow through the minimum flow line is returned to the Suppression Pool.

NRG FORM 366A U.S (5-92)	S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95						
LICENSEE EVENT REI TEXT CONTINUA	PORT (LER) ATION	ESTIMA THIS I FORWAR THE I (MNBB WASHIN REDUCT MANAGE	TED BURDEN PER INFORMATION COLLE D COMMENTS REGA NFORMATICH AND I 7714), U.S. NUCLI GTON, DC 20555-0 ION PROJECT MENT AND BUDGET,	TO COMPLY WITH JEST: 50.0 HRS. EN ESTIMATE TO HAGEMENT BRANCH ORY COMMISSION, O THE PAPERWORK J, OFFICE OF J, DC 20503.				
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	1	LER NUMBER (6)	>	PAGE (3)			
COODED MUCIEAD STATION		YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER				
COUFER NUCLEAR STATION	05000298	94	002	01	3 OF 3			

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

## E. Safety Significance (continued)

On both occasions, following the unexpected closure of the valve due to the spurious flow transmitter spike, it automatically reopened since no actual system flow existed. While the valve operated unexpectedly, its operation was proper during the remainder of the surveillance test and it remained in its normally OPEN position. In both instances, the subsystem was declared inoperable pending further evaluation of the spurious flow spike. On each occasion, subsequent to demonstration of valve and system operability, the subsystem was declared operable.

# F. Safety Implications

Pump minimum flow protection is required during an accident condition when the pump is in operation and reactor pressure is higher than CS system discharge pressure. These unexpected operations of the valve have occurred only during valve operability surveillance testing, not during system operation. An engineering evaluation of the condition has concluded that this condition would not affect the ability of the subsystem to function during an accident condition.

# G. Corrective Action

Following the first event, the flow transmitter was backfilled and a calibration check was performed with no discrepancies identified. CS Subsystem B was vented to ensure that all air had been removed from the system and the system operability test was run satisfactorily. The subsystem was then returned to service. As a result of the second event, further testing and evaluation activities were initiated. These efforts are in progress at this time. Corrective actions taken to resolve this concern will be addressed in a supplement to this LER.

### H. <u>Similar Events</u>

While problems have previously been experienced with the flow transmitter, none had resulted in unexpected operation of the minimum flow valve.