

UCID- 19135

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT ON  
THE MONITORING OF ELECTRIC POWER TO THE  
REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM FOR THE  
BRUNSWICK STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT, UNITS 1 AND 2

James C. Selan

(Docket Nos. 50-325, 50-324)

April 26, 1982



This is an informal report intended primarily for internal or limited external distribution. The opinions and conclusions stated are those of the author and may or may not be those of the Laboratory.

This work was supported by the United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission under a Memorandum of Understanding with the United States Department of Energy.

8209230619 820803  
PDR ADOCK 05000324  
P PDR

## ABSTRACT

This report documents the technical evaluation of the monitoring of electric power to the reactor protection system (RPS) at the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2. The evaluation is to determine if the proposed design modification will protect the RPS from abnormal voltage and frequency conditions which could be supplied from the power supplies and will meet certain requirements set forth by the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.

The proposed design modifications with time delays verified by GE, will protect the RPS from sustained abnormal voltage and frequency conditions from the supplying sources.

## FOREWORD

This report is supplied as part of the Selected Electrical, Instrumentation, and Control Systems Issues Program being conducted for the U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, Division of Licensing, by Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

The U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission funded the work under the authorization entitled "Electrical, Instrumentation and Control System Support," B&R 20 19 04 031, FIN-A0250.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
1. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
2. DESIGN DESCRIPTION . . . . .	2
3. EVALUATION . . . . .	3
4. CONCLUSION . . . . .	4
REFERENCES . . . . .	5

TECHNICAL EVALUATION OF THE  
MONITORING OF ELECTRIC POWER  
TO THE REACTOR PROTECTION SYSTEM  
AT THE BRUNSWICK STEAM ELECTRIC PLANT  
UNITS 1 AND 2

(Docket Nos. 50-325, 50-324)

James C. Selan

Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Nevada

1. INTRODUCTION

During the operating license review for Hatch 2, the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) staff raised a concern about the capability of the Class 1E reactor protection system (RPS) to operate after suffering sustained, abnormal voltage or frequency conditions from a non-Class 1E power supply. Abnormal voltage or frequency conditions could be produced as a result of one of the following causes: combinations of undetected, random single failures of the power supply components, or multiple failures of the power supply components caused by external phenomena such as a seismic event.

The concern for the RPS power supply integrity is generic to all General Electric (GE) boiling water reactors (BWR) MARK 3's, MARK 4's, and MARK 5's and all BWR MARK 6's that have not elected to use the solid state RPS design. The staff therefore pursued a generic resolution. Accordingly, GE proposed a revised design, in conceptual form, for resolution of this concern [Ref. 1]. The proposed modification consists of the addition of two Class 1E "protective packages" in series between each RPS motor-generator (M-G) set and its respective RPS bus, and the addition of two similar packages in series in the alternate power source circuit to the RPS buses. Each protective package would include a breaker and associated overvoltage, undervoltage and underfrequency relaying. Each protective package would meet the testability requirements for Class 1E equipment.

With the protective packages installed, any abnormal output type failure (undetectable random or seismically caused) in either of the two RPS M-G sets (or the alternate supply) would result in a trip of either one or both of the two Class 1E protective packages. This tripping would interrupt the power to the effected RPS channel, thus producing a scram signal on that channel, while retaining full scram capability by means of the other channel. Thus, fully redundant Class 1E protection is provided, bringing the overall

RPS design into full conformance with General Design Criteria (GDC)-2 [Ref. 2], and GDC-21 [Ref. 3] (including IEEE-279 [Ref. 4] and the standard review plan [Ref. 5]). The NRC staff reviewed the proposed GE design and concluded that the modification was acceptable [Ref. 6], and should be implemented in conformance with the applicable criteria for Class 1E systems.

The NRC requires that the components of the RPS not be exposed to unacceptable electric power of any sustained abnormal quality that could damage the RPS. This involves providing means to detect any overvoltage, undervoltage, or underfrequency condition that is outside the design limits of the RPS equipment and to disconnect the RPS from such abnormal electric power before damage to the RPS can occur. The equipment which performs these functions must satisfy the single failure criterion and be seismically qualified. The NRC issued a generic letter [Ref. 7] to all operating BWR's requesting the licensees to submit design modification details and Technical Specifications for post implementation review.

By letters dated December 10, 1980 [Ref. 8], and January 29, 1982 [Ref. 9], Carolina Power and Light Company (CP&L), the licensee, submitted design modification details regarding the monitoring of electrical power to the RPS at the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2.

The purpose of this report is to evaluate the licensee's submittal with respect to the NRC criteria and present the reviewer's conclusion on the adequacy of the design modifications to protect the RPS from abnormal voltage and frequency conditions.

## 2. DESIGN DESCRIPTION

The licensee has proposed to install the GE designed "electrical protection assembly" (GE No. 914E175) to monitor the electric power in each of the sources of power (two M-G sets and an alternate source per each unit) to the RPS. Each assembly consists of two identical and redundant packages. Each package includes a circuit breaker and a monitoring module. When abnormal electric power is detected by either module, the respective circuit breaker will trip and disconnect the RPS from the abnormal power source.

The monitoring module detects overvoltage, undervoltage, and underfrequency conditions and provides a time-delayed trip when a setpoint is exceeded.

### 3. EVALUATION

The NRC stated several requirements that the licensee must meet in their design modification to monitor the power to the RPS. A statement of these requirements followed by an evaluation of the licensee's submittals is as follows:

- (1) "The components of the RPS shall not be exposed to unacceptable electric power of any sustained abnormal quality that could damage the RPS."

The monitoring module will detect overvoltage, undervoltage, and underfrequency conditions with the following setpoints. The chosen setpoints are within the ratings of the RPS components and thus ensure their protection from sustained abnormal power:

Nominal voltage 120 volts, 60 Hz nominal

<u>Condition</u>	<u>Setpoint</u>	<u>Time Delay</u>
Overvoltage	$\leq$ 132 Volts	*
Undervoltage	$\geq$ 108 Volts	*
Underfrequency	$\geq$ 57 Hz	*

\*The licensee states that the actual time delays to be selected (with respect to their voltage and frequency setpoints) will be in the range of 1.0 second or less. Upon installation and testing, the final time delays selected will be verified by GE to ensure that the RPS components will be protected with the chosen setpoints from sustained abnormal power [Ref. 10].

- (2) "Disconnecting the RPS from the abnormal power source shall be automatic."

The monitoring module will automatically disconnect the RPS buses from the abnormal power supply after the set time delay should the parameters setpoints be exceeded.

- (3) "The power monitoring system shall meet the requirements of IEEE 279-1971, GDC-2 and GDC-21."

The monitoring packages meet the Class 1E requirements of IEEE 279, the single failure criteria of GDC-21, and the seismic qualifications of GDC-2.

- (4) "Technical Specifications shall include limiting conditions of operation, surveillance requirements, and trip setpoints."

Formal Technical Specifications will be submitted upon installation and final testing [Ref. 10]. The protective packages are currently planned for installation during the 1982 refueling cycle (late Spring for Unit 2 and Fall for Unit 1).

#### 4. CONCLUSION

Based on the information submitted by Carolina Power and Light Company for the Brunswick Steam Electric Plant, Units 1 and 2, it is concluded that:

- (1) The proposed setpoints of the relays in the two protective packages to be installed in series, in each of the power sources to the RPS buses, will automatically protect the RPS components from sustained abnormal overvoltage, undervoltage, and underfrequency conditions outside the design limits of the RPS components.
- (2) The protective packages meet the requirements of Class 1E equipment (IEEE 279), single failure criteria (GDC-21), and seismic qualification (GDC-2).
- (3) The final time delays selected will be verified by GE to ensure that the RPS components will not be damaged or prevent the RPS from performing its safety functions before circuit breaker tripping occurs.
- (4) The Technical Specifications, when submitted, will be judged acceptable provided they contain:
  - (a) The setpoints and time delays verified by GE as stated in Section 3.0, Item 1.
  - (b) Address limiting conditions of operation for an inoperable power monitoring system to be restored in 30 minutes or remove the source associated with the inoperable power monitoring system. One package may be inoperable, as necessary for testing and maintenance, not to exceed 8 hours per month.
  - (c) Surveillance requirements for determining the operability of the RPS power monitoring system instrumentation to include a functional test at least once per 6 months and a channel calibration once per operating cycle of the protective instrumentation including simulated automatic actuation, tripping logic, output circuit breaker tripping, and verification of the setpoints.



## REFERENCES

1. General Electric Company letter, MFN 408-78 (G. G. Sherwood) to NRC (R. S. Boyd), dated October 31, 1978.
2. General Design Criteria-2 (GDC-2), "Design Bases for Protection Against Natural Phenomena," of Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 50 (10 CFR 50).
3. General Design Criteria-21 (GDC-21), "Protection System Reliability and Testability," of Appendix A, "General Design Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants," in the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 50 (10 CFR 50).
4. IEEE Std. 279-1971, "Criteria for Protection Systems for Nuclear Power Generating Stations."
5. NUREG-75/087, "Standard Review Plan for the Review of Safety Analysis Reports for Nuclear Power Plants."
6. NRC memorandum from Faust Rosa to J. Stolz, T. Ippolito, and G. Lainas, dated February 19, 1979.
7. NRC letter to Operating BWR's, dated September 24, 1980.
8. CP&L letter (E. E. Utley) to NRC (Thomas A. Ippolito), dated December 10, 1980.
9. CP&L letter (P. W. Howe) to NRC (D. B. Vassallo), dated January 29, 1982.
10. Telecon, C. Schuker (CP&L), B. Poulk (CP&L), J. VanVliet (NRC), and J. Selan (LLNL), February 25, 1982.