

160

DOCKETED  
USNRC

DOCKET NUMBER  
PROPOSED RULE PR-Safety Goals  
(45 FR 91023)

'82 SEP -7 P4:23

MILWAUKEE AUDUBON SOCIETY, INC.

OFFICE OF SECRETARY  
DOCKETING & SERVICE  
BRANCH

2201 E. Newton Avenue  
Shorewood, WI 53211

2 September 1982

Nuclear Regulatory Commission  
Docketing and Service Branch  
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Sir or Madam:

Your recent proposal of qualitative and quantitative goals for nuclear power plant safety shows a blatant disregard for human safety and a lack of serious responsibility for the problem at hand. The qualitative goals are meaningless because they are so vague, and the quantitative ones appear to be arbitrarily chosen with no substantial rationale to support them.

Specifically, 13,000 deaths from nuclear accidents is an undue risk, and any possibility of a core melt-down or other serious accident is unacceptable. By adopting standards by which nuclear power plants are to be considered safe enough, you are overlooking the marked safety advantages of alternative sources of energy.

We do not support your safety goals because we do not see how they can be effective. We urge you to consider safety of energy sources in the broader spectrum, including alternate sources. Safety goals are a good idea in theory, but the process of developing such goals must be approached in a more open-minded, responsible and workable way.

Sincerely,

Kimberly Rusinow

509  
Dennis Rathbun  
105 H  
Jerry Nelson  
1015 H



Acknowledged by card. 9/16/82 emg

8209210155



ALL CONTRIBUTIONS ARE TAX DEDUCTIBLE