SAI-186-029-20

TECHNICAL EVALUATION REPORT

IMPROVEMENTS IN TRAINING AND REQUALIFICATION PROGRAMS AS REQUIRED BY TMI ACTION ITEMS I.A.2.1 AND II.B.4

for the

Palisades Nuclear Plant

(Docket 50-255)

August 31, 1982

Prepared By:

Science Applications, Inc. 1710 Goodridge Drive McLean, Virginia 22102

Prepared for:

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Contract NRC-03-82-096

8209434322)

Science Applications, Inc.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Section	Pag	e
Ι.	INTRODUCTION	
п.	SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE EVALUATION	
	A. I.A.2.1: Immediate Upgrading of RO and SRO Training and Qualifications 1	
	B. II.B.4: Training for Mitigating Core Damage 6	
111.	LICENSEE SUBMITTALS	
IV.	EVALUATION	ľ
	A. I.A.2.1: Immediate Upgrading of RO and SRO Training and Qualifications 9	1
	8. II.B.4: Training for Mitigating Core Damage 12	2
M	CONCLUSIONS	1
VI.	REFERENCES	1

I. INTRODUCTION

Science Applications, Inc. (SAI), as technical assistance contractor to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, has evaluated the response by Consumers Power Company for the Palisades Nuclear Plant (Docket 50-255) to certain requirements contained in post-TMI Action Items I.A.2.1, Immediate Upgrading of Reactor Operator and Senior Reactor Operator Training and Qualifications, and II.B.4, Training for Mitigating Core Damage. These requirements were set forth in NUREG-0660 (Reference 1) and were subsequently clarified in NUREG-0737 (Reference 2).*

The purpose of the evaluation was to determine whether the licensee's operator training and requalification programs satisfy the requirements. The evaluation pertains to Technical Assignment Control (TAC) System numbers 44184 (NUREG-0737, I.A.2.1.4) and 4534 (NUREG-0737, II.B.4.1). As delineated below, the evaluation covers only some aspects of item I.A.2.1.4.

The detailed evaluation of the licensee's submittals is presented in Section IV; the conclusions are in Section V.

II. SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE EVALUATION

A. I.A.2.1: Immediate Upgrading of Reactor Operator and Senior Reactor Operator Training and Qualifications

The clarification of TMI Action Item I.A.2.1 in NUREG-0737 incorporates a letter and four enclosures, dated March 28, 1980, from Harold R. Denton, Director, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation, USNRC, to all power reactor applicants and licensees, concerning qualifications of reactor operators (hereafter referred to as Denton's letter). This letter and enclosures imposes a number of training requirements on power reactor licensees. This evaluation specifically addressed a subset of the requirements stated in Enclosure 1 of Denton's letter, namely: Item A.2.c, which relates to operator training requirements, item A.2.e, which concerns instructor requalification; and Section C, which addresses operator requalification. Some of these requirements are elaborated in Enclosures 2, 3, and 4 of Denton's letter. The training requirements under evaluation are summarized in Figure 1. The elaborations of these requirements in Enclosures 2, 3 and 4 of Denton's letter are shown respectively in Figures 2, 3 and 4.

As noted in Figure 1, Enclosures 2 and 3 indicate minimum requirements concerning course content in their respective areas. In addition, the Operator Licensing Branch in NRC has taken the position (Reference 3) that

^{*}Enclosure 1 of NUREG-0737 and NRC's Technical Assistance Control System distinguish four sub-actions within I.A.2.1 and two sub-actions within II.B.4. These subdivisions are not carried forward to the actual presentation of the requirements in Enclosure 3 of NUREG-0737. If they had been, the items of concern here would be contained in I.A.2.1.4 and II.B.4.1.

Figure 1. Training Requirements from TMI Action Item I.A.2.1*

rogram Element	NRC Requirements**
	Enclosure 1, Item A.2.c(1)
	Training programs shall be modified, as necessary, to provide training in heat transfer, fluid flow and thermodynamics. (Enclosure 2 provides guidelines for the minimum content of such training.)
OPERATIONS	Enclosure 1, Item A.2.c(2)
PERSONNEL TRAINING	Training programs shall be modified, as necessary to provide training in the use of installed plant systems to control or mitigate an accident in which the core is severely damaged. (Enclosure 3 provides guidelines for the minimum content of such training.)
	Enclosure 1, Item A.2.c.(3)
	Training programs shall be modified, as necessary to provide increased emphasis on reactor and plant transients.
	Enclosure 1, Item A.2.e
INSTRUCTOR REQUALIFICATION	Instructors shall be enrolled in appropriate requalification programs to assure they are cognizant of current operating history, problems, and changes to pro- cedures and administrative limitations.
	Enclosure 1, Item C.1
	Content of the licensed operator requalification programs shall be modified to include instruction in heat transfer, fluid flow, thermodynamics, and mitigation of accidents involving a degraded core. (Enclosures 2 and 3 provide guide lines for the minimum content of such training.)
PERSONNEL	Enclosure 1, Item C.2
REQUALIFICATION	The criteria for requiring a licensed individual to participate in accelerated requalification shall be modified to be consistent with the new passing grade for issuance of a license: 80% overall and 70% each category.
	Enclosure 1, Item C.3
	Programs should be modified to require the control manipulations listed in Enclosure 4. Normal control manipulations, such as plant or reactor startups, must be performed. Control manipulations during abnormal or emergency opera- tions must be welked through with, and evaluated by, a member of the training staff at a minimum. An appropriate simulator may be used to satisfy the requirements for control manipulations.

*The requirements shown are a subset of those contained in Item I.A.2.1. **References to Enclosures are to Denton's letter of March 28, 1980, which is contained in the clarifi-cation of Item I.A.2.1 in NUREG-0737.

TRAINING IN HEAT TRANSFER, FLUID FLOW AND THERMODYNAMICS

1. Basic Properties of Fluids and Matter.

This section should cover a basic introduction to matter and its properties. This section should include such concepts as temperature measurements and effects, density and its effects, specific weight, buoyancy, viscosity and other properties of fluids. A working knowledge of steam tables should also be included. Energy movement should be discussed including such fundamentals as heat exchange, specific heat, latent heat of vaporization and sensible heat.

2. Fluid Statics.

This section should cover the pressure, temperature and volume effects on fluids. Example of these parametric changes should be illustrated by the instructor and related calculations should be performed by the students and discussed in the training sessions. Causes and effects of pressure and temperature changes in the various components and systems should be discussed in the training sessions. Causes and effects of pressure and temperature changes in the various components and systems should be discussed in the training sessions. Causes and effects of pressure and temperature changes in the various components and systems should be discussed as applicable to the facility with particular emphasis on safety significant features. The characteristics of force and pressure, pressure in liquids at rest; principles of hydraulics, saturation pressure and temperature and subcooling should also be included.

3. Fluid Dynamics.

This section should cover the flow of fluids and such concepts as Bernoulli's principle, energy in moving fluids, flow measure theory and devices and pressure losses due to friction and orificing. Other concepts and terms to be discussed in this section are NPSH, carry over, carry under, kinetic energy, head-loss relationships and two phase flow fundamentals. Practical applications relating to the reactor coolant system and steam generators should also be included.

4. Heat Transfer by Conduction, Convection and Radiation.

This section should cover the fundamentals of heat transfer by conductions. This section should include discussions on such concepts and terms as specific heat, heat flux and atomic action. Heat transfer characteristics of fuel rods and heat exchangers should be included in this section.

This section should cover the fundamentals of heat transfer by convection. Natural and forced circulation should be discussed as applicable to the various systems at the facility. The convection current patterns created by expanding fluids in a confined area should be included in this section. Heat transport and fluid flow reductions or stoppage should be discussed due to steam and/or noncondensible gas formation during normal and accident conditions.

This section should cover the fundamentals of heat transfer by thermal radiation in the form of radiant energy. The electromagnetic energy emitted by a body as a result of its temperature should be discussed and illustrated by the use of equations and sample calculations. Comparisons should be made of a black body absorber and a white body emitter.

5. Change of Phase - Boiling.

This section should include descriptions of the state of matter, their inherent characteristics and thermodynamic properties such as enthalpy and entropy. Calculations should be performed involving steam quality and void fraction properties. The types of boiling should be discussed as applicable to the facility during normal evolutions and accident conditions.

6. Burnout and Flow Instability.

This section should cover descriptions and mechanisms for calculating such terms as critical flux, critical power, DNB ratio and hot channel factors. This section should also include instructions for preventing and monitoring for clad or fuel damage and flow instabilities. Sample calculations should be illustrated by the instructor and calculations should be performed by the students and discussed in the training sessions. Methods and procedures for using the plant computer to determine quantitative values of various factors during plant operation and plant heat balance determinations should also be covered in this section.

7. Reactor Heat Transfer Limits.

This section should include a discussion of heat transfer limits by examining fuel rod and reactor design and limitations. The basis for the limits should be covered in this section along with recommended methods to ensure that limits are not approached or exceeded. This section should cover discussions of peaking factors, radial and axial power distributions and changes of these factors due to the influence of other variables such as moderator temperature, xenon and control rod position.

Figure 3. Enclosure 3 from Denton's Letter

TRAINING CRITERIA FOR MITIGATING CORE DAMAGE Incore Instrumentation A. Use of fixed or movable incore detectors to determine extent of core damage and geometry changes. 1. Use of thermocouples in determining peak temperatures; methods for extended range readings; methods for direct readings at terminal junctions. 2. 3. Methods for calling up (printing) incore data from the plant computer. Excore Nuclear Instrumentation (NIS) Β. Use of NIS for determination of void formation; void location basis for NIS response as a function 1. of core temperatures and density changes. Vital Instrumentation Č., Instrumentation response in an accident environment; failure sequence (time to failure, method of failure); indication reliability (actual vs indicated level). 1. 2. Alternative methods for measuring flows, pressures, levels, and temperatures. a. Determination of pressurizer level if all level transmitters fail. Determination of letdown flow with a clcged filter (low flow). b. Determination of other Reactor Coolant System parameters if the primary method of measurement с. has failed. D. Primary Chemistry Expected chemistry results with severe core damage; consequences of transferring small quantities of liquid outside containment; importance of using leak tight systems. 1. 2. Expected isotopic breakdown for core damage; for clad damage. 3. Corrosion effects of extended immersion in primary water; time to failure. Radiation Monitoring £. Response of Process and Area Monitors to severe damages; behavior of detectors when saturated; method for detecting radiation readings by direct measurement at detector output (overranged detector); expected accuracy of detectors at different locations; use of detectors to determine extent of core damage. 1. 2. Methods of determining dose rate inside containment from measurements taken outside containment. F. Gas Generation Methods of H_2 generation during an accident; other sources of gas (Xe, Ke); techniques for venting or disposal of non-condensibles. 1.

2. H2 flammability and explosive limit; sources of O2 in containment or Reactor Coclant System.

Figure 4. Control Manipulations Listed in Enclosure 4.

	CONTROL MANIPULATIONS
•1.	Plant or reactor startups to include a range that reactivity feedback from nuclear heat addition is noticeable and heatup rate is established.
2.	Plant shutdown.
•3.	Manual control of steam generators and/or feedwater during startup and shutdown.
4.	Boration and or dilution during power operation.
*5.	Any significant (greater than 10%) power changes in manual rod control or recirculation flow.
6.	Any reactor power change of 10% or greater where load change is performed with load limit control or where flux, temperature, or speed control is on manual (for HTGR).
•7.	Loss of coolant including:
	1. significant PWR steam generator leaks
	2. inside and outside primary containment
	large and small, including leak-rate determination
	 saturated Reactor Coolant response (PWR).
8.	Loss of instrument air (if simulated plant specific).
9.	Loss of electrical power (and/or degraded power sources).
•10.	Loss of core coolant flow/natural circulation.
1	Loss of condenser vacuum.
12.	Loss of service water if required for safety.
13.	Loss of shutdown cooling.
14.	Loss of component cooling system or cooling to an individual component.
15.	Loss of normal feedwater or normal feedwater system failure.
*16.	Loss of all feedwater (normal and emergency).
17.	Loss of protective system channel.
18.	Mispositioned control rod or rods (or rod drops).
19.	Inability to drive control rods.
20.	Conditions requiring use of emergency boration or standby liquid control system.
21.	Fuel cladding failure or high activity in reactor coolant or offgas.
22.	Turbine or generator trip.
23.	Malfunction of automatic control system(s) which affect reactivity.
24.	Malfunction of reactor coolant pressure/volume control system.
25.	Reactor trip.
26.	Main steam line break (inside or outside containment).
27.	Nuclear instrumentation failure(s).
. 51	arred items to be performed annually, all others biennially.

the training in mitigating core damage and related subjects should consist of at least 80 contact hours* in both the initial training and the requalification programs. The NRC considers thermodynamics, fluid flow and heat transfer to be related subjects, so the 80-hour requirement applies to the combined subject areas of Enclosures 2 and 3. The 80 contact hour criterion is not intended to be applied rigidly; rather, its purpose is to provide greater assurance of adequate course content when the licensee's training courses are not described in detail.

Since the licensees generally have their own unique course outlines, adequacy of response to these requirements necessarily depends only on whether it is at a level of detail comparable to that specified in the enclosures (and consistent with the 80 contact hour requirement) and whether it can reasonably be concluded from the licensee's description of his training material that the items in the enclosures are covered.

The Institute of Nuclear Power Operations (INPO) has developed its own guidelines for training in the subject areas of Enclosures 2 and 3. These guidelines, given in References 4 and 5, were developed in response to the same requirements and are more than adequate, i.e., training programs based specifically on the complete INPO documents are expected to satisfy all the requirements pertaining to training material which are addressed in this evaluation.

The licensee's response concerning increased emphasis on transients is considered by SAI to be acceptable if it makes explicit reference to increased emphasis on transients and gives some indication of the nature of the increase, or, if it addresses both normal and abnormal transients (without necessarily indicating an increase in emphasis) and the regualification program satisfies the requirements for control manipulations, Enclosure 1, Item C.3. The latter requirement calls for all the manipulations listed in Enclosure 4 (Figure 4 in this report) to be performed, at the frequency indicated, unless they are specifically not applicable to the licensee's type of reactor(s). Some of these manipulations may be performed on a simulator. Personnel with senior licenses may be credited with these activities if they direct or evaluate control manipulations as they are performed by others. Although these manipulations are acceptable for meeting the reactivity control manipulations required by Appendix A paragraph 3.a of 10 CFR 55, the requirements of Enclosure 4 are more demanding. Enclosure 4 requires about 32 specific manipulations over a two-year cycle while 10 CFR 55 Appendix A requires only 10 manipulations over a two-year cycle.

B. II.B.4: Training for Mitigating Core Damage

Item II.B.4 in NUREG-0737 requires that "shift technical advisors and operating personnel from the plant manager through the operations chain to the licensed operators" receive training on the use of installed systems to control or mitigate accidents in which the core is severely damaged.

^{*}A contact hour is a one-hour period in which the course instructor is present or available for instructing or assisting students; lectures, seminars, discussions, problem-solving sessions, and examinations are considered contact periods. This definition is taken from Reference 4.

Enclosure 3 of Denton's letter provides guidance on the content of this training. "Plant Manager" is here taken to mean the highest ranking manager at the plant site.

For licensed personnel, this training would be redundant in that it is also required, by I.A.2.1, in the operator requalification program. However, II.B.4 applies also to operations personnel who are not licensed and are not candidates for licenses. This may include one or more of the highest levels of management at the plant. These non-licensed personnel are not explicitly required to have training in heat transfer, fluid flow and thermodynamics and are therefore not obligated for the full 80 contact hours of training in mitigating core damage and related subjects.

Some non-operating personnel, notably managers and technicians in instrumentation and control, health physics and chemistry departments, are supposed to receive those portions of the training which are commensurate with their responsibilities. Since this imposes no additional demands on the program itself, we do not address it in this evaluation. It would be appropriate for resident inspectors to verify that non-operating personnel receive the proper training.

* * * * *

The required implementation dates for all items have passed. Hence, this evaluation did not address the dates of implementation. Moreover, the evaluation does not cover training program modifications that might have been made for other reasons subsequent to the response to Denton's letter.

III. LICENSEE SUBMITTALS

The licensee (Consumers Power Company) has submitted to NRC a number of items (letters and various attachments) which explain their training and requalification programs. These submittals, made in response to Denton's letter, form the information base for this evaluation. For the Palisades plant, there were four submittals with attachments, for a total of thirteen items, which are listed below. Items 11-13 were submitted in response to a request for information.

- Letter from D.P. Hoffman, Nuclear Licensing Administrator, Consumer Power Co., to D.M. Crutchfield, Chief of Operating Reactors Branch #5, NRC. August 6, 1980. (1 pg, with enclosures: items 2, 3, & 4).
- "CPCo Hot License Program R.O." (Revised). July, 1980. (6 pp, attached to item 1).
- "CPCo Hot License Program S.R.O." Revised July, 1980. (7 pp, attached to item 1).
- "CPCo Licensee Regualification Program", Revised July, 1980. (11 pp, attached to item 1).

- Excerpt from an unknown submittal for Palisades from Consumers Power Co., to NRC. December 19, 1980. (3 pp). (re: Activities concerning NUREG-0737, Items I.A.2.1 & II.B.4). I.D. Nos: nu1280-0027a-43, nu1280- 0028a-43.
- Excerpts from Section 6 of the Technical Specifications Document for Palisades, pp 6-3 and 6-4. Amendment Nos. 37,46, and 16,67. January 19, 1979.
- Letter from D.J. Vandewalle, Nuclear Licensing Administrator, Consumers Power Co., to D.M. Crutchfield, Chief of Operating Reactors Branch #5, Division of Licensing, NRC. May 11, 1982. (1 pg, with enclosures: items 8, 9, & 10). NRC Acc No: 8205170388.
- "Hot License Program Reactor Operator", Nuclear Operations Training Dept., Master Procedures/Programs Manual, Proc. No. 1102, Consumers Power Co. April 27, 1982. (8 pp, attached to item 7). NRC Acc No: 8205170389.
- "Hot License Program Senior Reactor Operator", Nuclear Operations Training Dept., Master Procedures/Programs Manual, Proc No. 1202, Consumers Power Co. May 03, 1982. (5 pp, attached to item 7). NRC Acc No: 8205170390.
- "Requalification Program Reactor Operator & Senior Reactor Operator", Nuclear Operations Training Dept., Master Procedures/Programs Manual, Proc. No. 1302, Consumers Power Co. May 03, 1982.(17 pp, attached to item 7). NRC Acc No: 8205170393.
 - Letter from D.J. VandeWalle, Nuclear Licensing Administrator, Consumers Power Co., to D.M. Crutchfield, Chief of Operating Reactors Branch #5, Division of Licensing, NRC. July 06, 1982. (1 pg, with enclosures: items 12 & 13). NRC Acc No: 8207130361. (re: Transmittal, Response to NRC's RAI dated May 28, 1982).
 - Attachment A, "Consumers Power Co. Response to NRC May 28, 1982 Letter", Big Rock Point & Palisades Plants. Undated. (2 pp, attached to item 11).
 - Attachment C, "Training Prevention/Mitigation of Core Damage and Listing of Emergency and Off-Normal Procedures for Which Training is Conducted", Palisades Plant. Undated. (6 pp, attached to item 11).

Items 1 through 4 and 7 through 12 also pertain to the Big Rock Point Plant, which is being addressed in a separate Technical Evaluation Report.

IV. EVALUATION

SAI's evaluation of the training programs at Consumers Power Company's Palisades Plant is presented below. Section A addresses TMI Action Item I.A.2.1 and presents the assessment organized in the manner of Figure 1. Section B addresses TMI Action Item II.B.4.

A. I.A.2.1: Immediate Upgrading of Reactor Operator and Senior Reactor Operator Training and Qualification.

Enclosure 1, Item A.2.c(1)

The basic requirements are that the training programs given to reactor operator and senior reactor operator candidates cover the subjects of heat transfer, fluid flow and thermodynamics at the level of detail specified in Enclosure 2 of Denton's letter.

The most recent description of the training program for reactor operators and senior reactor operators are found in Submittal Items 8 and 9. The reactor operator training program involves instruction in reactor heat transfer and fluid flow. The description of this course provided in Submittal Item 8 along with the instructions in classical physics and chemistry (also identified in Submittal Item 8) provide reasonable assurance that one subjects of heat transfer, fluid flow and thermodynamics are covered as outlined in Enclosure 2 of Denton's letter. This conclusion is supported by the licensee's claim in submittal item 12 that lectures are specifically tailored to address the recommendations of Denton's Enclosure 2. It is noted however that these instructions can be waived for individual trainees if exams are satisfactorily completed.

The training program for senior reactor operators is generally an extension of the reactor operator training program. The course outline provided in Submittal Item 9 identifies training in some of the subjects of Denton's Enclosure 2. Because the SRO training program is normally an extension of the training given for reactor operators it seems reasonable to conclude based on the previous analysis and the licensee's claim of submittal item 12 that normally those receiving SRO training receive the instructions required by Enclosure 2 of Denton's letter. The only known situation where the training may not meet the requirements of Enclosure 2 is where a person with a strong technical background is exempted from the reactor operator training program as discussed in the previous paragraph.

SAI considers this aspect of the training program to be acceptable on the assumption that NRC approves of these special case exemptions from the training program.

Enclosure 1, Item A.2.c(2)

The requirements are that the training programs for reactor and senior reactor operator candidates cover the subject of accident mitigation

at the level of detail specified in Enclosure 3 of Denton's letter (see Figure 3 of this report).

The training program outlined in Submittal Item 8 identifies a training module titled "Transient and Accident Analysis, Mitigation of Core Damage, and Emergency Training." This submittal item presented only limited details about the content of this training module and these details do not clearly indicate that the material of Denton's Enclosure 3 is covered. Submittal Items 12 and 13 provided some supplemental information on this aspect of the training. Submittal item 12 stated that the material recommended by Enclosure 3 is covered by the combination of lectures on Prevention and Mitigation of Core Damage and lectures on the plant's Emergency Operating Procedures, Off-Normal Operating Procedures and Site Emergency Plan Implementation Procedures. The material presented in the outlines for these lectures (submittal item 13) does not list all of the items identified in Denton's Enclosure 3. The specific items not readily seen in the submittals relate to incore instrumentation, excore instrumentation, and vital instrumentation (items A, B and C of Denton's Enclosures 3). While the licensee claims to cover the material of Denton's Enclosure 3, this claim can not be verified from the submittal items. The program should be checked in order to verify that this coverage is complete. The verification effort should focus on items A. B and C of Denton's letter.

The SRO training program is an extension of the regular training program and the additional training involved is outlined in Submittal Item 9. This additional training identifies training elements which more closely correlate with the guidelines of Enclosure 3 of Denton's letter. Still it cannot be verified that all material of Enclosure 3 is covered. It also seems appropriate in this case that there be an inspection for the purpose of verification.

Submittal item 12 states that 136 contact hours are associated with the material for heat transfer, fluid flow, thermodynamics and accident mitigation. This meets the NRC requirements.

Enclosure 1, Item A.2.c(3)

The requirement is that there be an increased emphasis in the training program on dealing with reactor transients.

Submittal item 12 states that there is an increased emphasis on transients during the training program. The training program described in submittal item 8 and 9 involves instruction in both normal and accident initiated transients. The instructions cover most of the control manipulations of Denton's Enclosure 4 which are not required for the training program. On this basis it appears reasonable to conclude that this requirement is met at Palisades.

Enclosure 1, Item A.2.e

The requirement is that instructors for reactor operator training programs be enrolled in appropriate requalification programs to assure they are cognizant of current operating history, problems and changes to procedures and administrative limitations. The requalification program (Submittal Item 10) stated that the program is applicable to instructors who teach "integrated nuclear plant operation." The requalification program identifies several lectures which, if covered, would appear to provide the instructors with the necessary requalification program information. These lectures are: "Administrative Procedures, Conditions and Limitations;" "Major Operational Evolutions;" "Facility Design and License Changes;" "Procedure Changes;" "Operating History and Problems;" and "Related Nuclear Industry Operating Experience." SAI concludes that this combination of lectures and associated quizzes meet the NRC requirements.

Enclosure 1, Item C.1

The primary requirement is that the requalification programs have instruction in the areas of heat transfer, fluid flow, thermodynamics and accident mitigation. The level of detail required in the requalification program is that of Enclosures 2 and 3 of Denton's letter. In addition, these instructions must involve an adequate number of contact hours.

The current operator regualification program is discussed in Submittal Item 10. The discussion identifies preplanned lectures involving the subjects of heat transfer, fluid flow and thermodynamics. In submittal item 12, the licensee stated that these lectures cover the material of Enclosure 2. There are also preplanned lectures which may provide the instruction required by Enclosure 3 of Denton's letter. As discussed in item A.2.c(2), the licensee's claim of presenting the material can not be verified from the submittal items. Moreover, these instructions are given on an "asneeded basis" based on the results of an annual exam, input from the Operation Department supervisory personnel, and available operational experience information. This portion of the Palisades program meets the NRC requirements only if NRC approves of the licensee's policy of providing training in the areas of heat transfer, fluid flow, thermodynamics and accident mitigation on an "as-needed basis," and if an NRC audit verifies that these lectures do contain the necessary material of Enclosure 3 of Denton's letters.

Submittal Item 12 states the number of contact hours in the requalification program devoted to these subjects depends upon the requalification needs which are determined by the annual examinations. No statement was made regarding the past averages. It does not appear that this NRC criterion is met.

Enclosure 1, Item C.2

The requirement for licensed operators to participate in the accelerated requalification program must be based on passing scores of 80% overall, 70% in each category.

Submittal Item 10 states that individuals who receive less than 70% in any category or less than 80% overall shall be placed in an accelerated training program. This is in compliance with the NRC requirements.

Enclosure 1, Item C.3

TMI Action Item I.A.2.1 calls for the licensed operator requalification program to include performance of control manipulations involving both normal and abnormal situations. The specific manipulations required and their performance frequency are identified in Enclosure 4 of the Denton letter (see Figure 4 of this report).

Submittal Item 10 calls for certain control manipulations to be performed, some on an annual basis and some on a two-year cycle. These control manipulations were compared against the requirements of Enclosure 4 of Denton's letter and it was concluded that the requirements of the requalification program exceeded those of Enclosure 4 and therefore the Palisades requalification program met the NRC requirements.

B. II.B.4 Training for Mitigating Core Damage

Item II.B.4 requires that training for mitigating core damage, as indicated in Enclosure 3 of Denton's letter, be given to shift technical advisors and operating personnel from the plant manager to the licensed operators. This includes both licensed and non-licensed personnel.

The training of licensed personnel in the area of accident mitigation according to the requirements of TMI Action Item II.B.4 appear to be accomplished as part of either the initial training program or as part of the regualification program. In submittal item 12, the licensee claimed that the instructions in both the initial training and the requalification programs covered the material of Enclosure 3 although this can not be verified from the details of the submittal items. For the initial training program, more than 80 contact hours are involved in the instructions for heat transfer, fluid flow, thermodynamics and accident mitigation. It does not appear that this number of contact hours is associated with the regualification program. Thus, SAI concludes that the accident mitigation training of licensed personnel is adequate if it was provided as part of the initial training program and if it is verified that all the material of Denton's Enclosure 3 is addressed. Accident mitigation training which is part of the regualification does not meet the contact hour requirement, may not address all the items of Enclosure 3 and therefore is unsatisfactory.

The training of non-licensed personnel was also discussed in Submittal Item 5. It appears that the extent of training does not meet the requirements of Enclosure 3. Information from Submittal Item 5 about the non-licensed personnel who were trained was compared with an organization chart (Submittal Item 6) and it appears that all the necessary non-licensed personnel do receive the training that is required.

V. CONCLUSIONS

SAI has concluded that the information submitted by the licensee indicates that the training and requalification programs at Palisades Nuclear Plant would meet the requirements of TMI Action Item I.A.2.1 if it is verified that the training and requalification programs fully cover the material identified in Enclosure 3 of Denton's letter, and if it is determined that at least 80 contact hours are involved in the requalification program for the subjects of heat transfer, fluid flow, thermodynamics and accident mitigation.

SAI has also examined the programs at Palisades to determine if they meet the requirements of TMI Action Item II.B.4. SAI has concluded that the requirements would be met provided it is determined that the training program covers all the significant points of Denton's Enclosure 3 (Note: this is the same requirement identified for TMI Action Item I.A.2.1.), and that personnel who receive their II.B.4 training under the requalification program receive at least 80 contact hours of instruction in the areas of heat transfer, fluid flow, thermodynamics and accident mitigation.

VI. REFERENCES

- "NRC Action Plan Developed as a Result of the TMI-2 Accident." NUREG-0660, United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission. May 1980.
- "Clarification of TMI Action Plan Requirements," NUREG-0737, United States Nuclear Regulatory Commission. November 1980.
- 3. The NRC requirement for 80 contact hours is an Operator Licensing Branch technical position. It was included with the acceptance criteria provided by NRC to SAI for use in the present evaluation. See letter, Harley Silver, Technical Assistance Program Management Group, Division of Licensing, USNRC to Bryce Johnson, Program Manager, Science Applications, Inc., Subject: Contract No. NRC-03-82-096, Final Work Assignment 2, December 23, 1981.
- "Guidelines for Heat Transfer, Fluid Flow and Thermodynamics Instruction," STG-02, The Institute of Nuclear Power Operations. December 12, 1980.
- "Guidelines for Training to Recognize and Mitigate the Consequences of Core Damage," STG-01, The Institute of Nuclear Power Operations. January 15, 1981.