

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Entergy Operations, Inc.
River Bend Station

Docket: 50-458
License: NPF-47

During an NRC inspection conducted on April 25-29, 1994, 2 violations of NRC requirements were identified. In accordance with the "General Statement of Policy and Procedure for NRC Enforcement Actions," 10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C, the violations are listed below:

- A. Technical Specification 4.0.5, paragraph (a), states in part, that inservice inspection of ASME Code Class 1, 2, and 3 components shall be performed in accordance with Section XI of the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Code and applicable Addenda as required by 10CFR 50, Section 50.55a.

ASME Code, Section XI, 1980 Edition, Subsection IWA, "General Requirements," paragraph IWA-2221, requires magnetic particle examinations be conducted in accordance with Article 7 of Section V.

ASME Code, Section V, 1980 Edition, paragraph T-760, and the implementing Procedure NDE-4.12, "Magnetic Particle Examination (MT) Dry Method," Revision 0, require that, during magnetic particle examinations, at least two separate examinations be conducted on each area. In the second examination, the lines of magnetic flux shall be approximately perpendicular to those used for the first examination in that area.

Contrary to the above, on April 26, 1994, the NRC inspector observed during magnetic particle examinations on circumferential welds FW003 and SW032, that the nondestructive examination technician did not perform the required second examination on the portion of the welds visible to the inspector.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement 1) (458/9410-01).

- B. Technical Specification 6.8.1 requires, in part, that written procedures be established, implemented, and maintained covering the applicable procedures recommended in Appendix A of Regulatory Guide 1.33, "Quality Assurance Program Requirements (Operations)," dated February 1978, including activities during refueling operations. Regulatory Guide 1.33 endorses American National Standard ANSI N18.7-1976, "Administrative Controls and Quality Assurance For The Operational Phase Of Nuclear Power Plants."

ANSI N18.7-1976 states, in part, that housekeeping practices shall assure that only proper materials, equipment, processes and procedures are utilized and that the quality of items are not degraded as a result of housekeeping practices or techniques. Where necessary, procedures and work instructions needed to assure compliance with requirements shall be available.

Administrative Procedure ADM-0018, "Plant Housekeeping And Cleanliness Control," Revision 7, and General Maintenance Procedure GMP-0062, "Cleanliness Control," Revision 7, were established to document and implement the housekeeping program.

Contrary to the above, housekeeping practices, as implemented by Administrative Procedure ADM-0018 and General Maintenance Procedure GMP-0062 did not assure that only proper materials and equipment, processes and procedures were utilized. For example, on April 26, 1994, the inspector found plastic bags, an unsecured metal chair, trash, and other items in the spent fuel pool area. The material found had the potential to cause detrimental effects to the reactor fuel if allowed to enter the spent fuel pool.

This is a Severity Level IV violation (Supplement 1) (458/9410-02).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Entergy Operations, Inc. is hereby required to submit a written statement or explanation to the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, ATTN: Document Control Desk, Washington, D.C. 20555 with a copy to the Regional Administrator, Region IV, 611 Ryan Plaza Drive, Suite 400, Arlington, Texas 76011, and a copy to the NRC Resident Inspector at the facility that is the subject of this Notice, within 30 days of the date of the letter transmitting this Notice of Violation (Notice). This reply should be clearly marked as a "Reply to a Notice of Violation" and should include for each violation: (1) the reason for the violation, or, if contested, the basis for disputing the violation, (2) the corrective steps that have been taken and the results achieved, (3) the corrective steps that will be taken to avoid further violations, and (4) the date when full compliance will be achieved. If an adequate reply is not received within the time specified in this Notice, an order or a Demand for Information may be issued to show cause why the license should not be modified, suspended, or revoked, or why such other action as may be proper should not be taken. Where good cause is shown, consideration will be given to extending the response time.

Dated at Arlington, Texas
this *26* day of *May* 1994