

EDISON DRIVE . AUGUSTA, MAINE 04330 . (207) 622-4868

May 25, 1994 MN-94-48 JRH-94-115

UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION Attention: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Reference: (a) License No. DPR-36 (Docket No. 50-309)

Subject: Maine Yankee Licensee Event Report 93-023-01: PCC/SCC Outside Design Basis Due to Continuous Venting Through NNS Piping-Supplemental Report

Gentlemen:

Please find enclosed the Maine Yankee Licensee Event Report 93-023-01 Supplemental Report. This report is submitted in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(ii).

Please contact us if you have any questions regarding this matter.

Very truly yours,

Jemes J. Allert

James R. Hebert, Manager Licensing & Engineering Support Department

SJB/mwf

Enclosure

c: Mr. Thomas T. Martin Mr. J. T. Yerokun Mr. E. H. Trottier Mr. P. J. Dostie

010005

9406010085 940525 PDR ADDCK 05000309 S PDR

NRC FORM 366 (5-92) LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block) (See reverse for required number of digits/characters for each block)							APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95						
							ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), 07FICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.						
FACILIT Maine	Y NAME Yank	(1) ee At	omic f	ower Company					DOCKET NUMBER (2) 50-309			1	PAGE (3) OF 4
TITLE (	4) DCC/S	CC 04	trido	Docian Pacie	Due to	Cont	nuqu	Van	ting T	hrough NNS	Dinin	0	
EVEN	T DATE	(5)	I side	LER NUMBER (6)	Due to	I REPO	RT DATE	(7)		OTHER FACIL	ITIES INV	OLVED I	(8)
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILITY NAME DOCKET NUMBE			NUMBER	
12	02	93	93	023	01	05	25	94	FACILIT	FACILITY NAME DOCKET N			NUMBER
OPERA MODE	(9)	7	THIS R	EPORT IS SUBMITTE 402(b)	D PURSUANT	TO THE 20.405(	REQUIR c)	EMENTS	OF 10 CF	F 10 CFR §: (Check one or more) (11 50.73(a)(2)(iv) 73.			) 71(b)
POW	/ER	100	20.405(a)(1)(i)			50.36(c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(s		()	73	71(c)
LEVEL	(10)	100	20.	405(a)(1)(11)		50.36(c	)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(xii)		OTHER	
			20.	405(a)(1)(iii)		50.73(a	(2)(1)	)		50.73(a)(2)(v	viii)(A) (Specify in Abstract be		ct below
			20.	405(a)(1)(1V) 405(a)(1)(V)	X	50.73(a	$\frac{1}{2}(2)(1)$	1)		50.73(a)(2)()	0	and in Text,	
	are cystar mars		Ц. Т.с		LICENSEE (	CONTACT	FOR THI	S LER	(12)		nie a navez i statu	INRI. FO	na anna)
NAME	Lisa	M. Kn	obel,	Senior Nucle	ar Safe	ty Eng	ginee	r		(207) 882	-6321	lude Ar	ea Code)
	1		COM	APLETE ONE LINE FO	R EACH CON	PONENT	FAILURE	DESCR	IBED IN 1	THIS REPORT (1	3)		DEDODTADIE
CAUSE	SYST	EM C	OMPONENT	MANUFACTURER	TO NPRD	5	C	AUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC	TURER	TO NPRDS
						_	-						
									Printer and the second			1	
YES				X	NO		E SU	XPECTED IBMISSION ATE (15)	MONTH	- DF	IT TEAR		
ABSTRAC Maine ' both th loop sy safegua dischar require Compo Operat The SC these s pendin Maine ' the exis improv	T (Lim Yanken e Prim ystems ards co rges ar d to bio onent C tion of Conent O tion of Conent O tion of Yanken sting v red gui	ant to 1 e has ic provid ompone nd heat e opera Cooling the sys I PCC s s becon lution c e is cor ent line dance f	400 space Jentified mponer e redun ents. In exchar ible. Th system tems w systems ming in of this is ntinuing es, or up to provi	es, i.e., approx I discrepancies in the Cooling (PCC) idant cooling for the Secondary nger to the top of the Secondary nger to the top of the Secondary nger to the top of the Secondary to evaluate variable ograding other pide consistent ev	mately 15 in the oper system a Emergenic Compone f the vente aths are ti nt valve fr lives open ated in thi a seismic and PCC s bus long t ping to us aluations	single- ration of and Sec cy Core nt Cool ed surgi- hrough om the has be has be s fashic event, N systems erm act se as a of SC/N	spaced safety ondary Coolir ing sy e tank a porti pump en deti on with Maine ' remain ions to continu INS bo	typewr class Comp ng Sys stem, have t on of r discha ermine no los Yankee n opera corre Jous v pundar	titten lin to non-to bonent C tem con three ma been pro- non-nucl arge line d to be d to be ss of fun a has ad ational w ct this p ent path ies.	nuclear safety cooling (SCC nponents, as anual vent va ocedurally thre lear safety cla was procedu outside the s actionality. Ba ministratively vith these value roblem, which Maine Yan	y class bi ) system well as, ives from ottled op ass piping irally mai ystems' of ased on t tagged i ves shut. h may in kee is als	oundar Thes selecte in the pr en whe g. In the intained design the pot these v clude so deve	y valves in e closed d non- ump en SCC was ne Primary d open. basis. ential for valves shut upgrading eloping

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO 2)				APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95				
LICEN	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.								
FACILITY NAME (1) DOCKET NUMBER (				LER NUMBER (6	PAGE (3)				
Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company		50 300	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2.05.4			
		20-309	93	023	01	2 UF 4			
TEXT (If more space is requ	ired, use additional copies o	f NRC Form 366A) (1)	7)						

On November 2, 1993, while at full power, Maine Yankee identified a discrepancy in the operation of safety class 3 (SC-3) to non-nuclear safety (NNS) class boundary valves in the secondary component cooling (SCC) system. The manual valves are in a 1" vent line from the component cooling pump and heat exchanger discharges to the SCC surge tank. These manual valves have been procedurally throttled open to continuously vent non-condensable gases from the system. Further investigation revealed that the primary component cooling (PCC) system was also continuously vented through a 1" NNS vent path which placed the PCC system outside its design basis. On December 2, 1993, Maine Yankee determined that operating the PCC and SCC systems in this manner is outside their design basis.

Maine Yankee was constructed between 1968 and 1972. At that time there was no direct guidance for classifying piping and components. All systems were designed to Atomic Energy Commission requirements for quality standards. Around 1973, the systems were classified in accordance with ANSI 18.2-1973 which contained no guidance for controlling classification boundaries. At that time the SCC system was classified as SC-3. Subsequent modifications to plant systems we in accordance with the applicable revision of ANSI 18.2.

During the first operating cycle, the plant had to be shutdown several times due to vapor binding in the SCC supplied generator hydrogen coolers. The hydrogen coolers are at the one of the higher points in the SCC system and were collecting the non-condensable gases in the system. Also, the SCC cooled air compressors were leaking air into the SCC system exacerbating the problem. In order to prevent future plant shutdowns, the SCC return from the air compressors was routed to the top of the surge tank and a continuous vent system was installed to vent the SCC pump discharge and heat exchangers to the surge tank. The vent lines were connected to the new air compressor SCC return line which runs to the top of the SCC surge tank (See Figure 1). The design change documents indicate that the modification was designed and installed to the then current SC-3 specifications for the SCC system.

In 1980, Maine Yankee determined that extensive modifications were required of the SCC and PCC systems to assure train separation and redundancy in the ECCS systems. During the design change process, engineers noted that many of the NNS components cooled by SCC could not be seismically qualified to assure the integrity of the SCC system during a design basis seismic event. Therefore, the design change also included installation of two trip valves to automatically isolate the NNS/non-seismic components from the SC-3/safeguards components in the event of a SCC line break. Once the automatic isolation valves were installed, the SCC piping to the NNS components including the air compressor return lines were declassified to NNS. It appears that at this time the SCC vent piping downstream of the three vent valves was also reclassified as NNS due to its connection to the air compressor return piping. Since 1981, the SCC system has been operated with the three vent valves procedurally throttled open.

Maine Yankee's design analysis for the automatic isolation of the NNS portions of the SCC system assumes that the break is in the largest diameter NNS piping and results in an initial break flow rate of approximately 7000 gpm. The safety class portions of the system are assumed to stay intact. The design calculation results, which do not include the vent lines, show that the surge tank inventory would be lost during a worst case line break, but the trip valves would shut in time to prevent loss of pump suction. Maine Yankee has estimated that the flow through the vent valves at one turn open would be approximately ten (10) gallons per minute. For the three vent valves, the total would be approximately 30 gpm. The design analysis also assumes that no makeup capability is available because the makeup system is also NNS. Thus, operating the SCC system with the vent valves one turn open is not encompassed in the design calculation.

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUCLEAN (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION				APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95				
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LE TEXT CONTINUATION	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING E RDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001. AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.								
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	LER NUMBER (6)			PAGE (3)				
Maine Yankee Atomic Power Company	50.200	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2.05.4				
name fankee reonre foner oonpany	50-309	93	023	01	3 UF 4				
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies	s of NRC Form 366A) (1	7)			the local second states of a bland				

The SCC system has been operated with the three vent valves procedurally throttled open since 1981 with no loss of functionality. The vent system actually enhances performance by reducing the likelihood of vapor binding in system components. Based on the potential for SCC becoming inoperable during a seismic event, the three vent valves were administratively tagged shut.

A similar situation was also discovered in the Primary Component Cooling system. The system is vented to the surge tank at the pump discharge. There is also a piping classification change at a valve in the vent line. Since September of 1992, this valve was also throttled open using a special test procedure. Prior to September of 1992, this valve was procedurally maintained closed. The PCC vent valves were opened to facilitate changeout of the corrosion inhibitor in the system. Following the corrosion inhibitor changeout, the system operating procedure was also changed, in August of 1993, to maintain the vent valve throttled open. Unlike SCC, NNS portions of the PCC system are automatically isolated on Containment Isolation and Recirculation Actuation signals. Thus, only a potential break in the 1" NNS vent line needs to be considered. The interface valve has been tagged shut for administrative control.

The lack of establishing controls over SC\NNS boundaries when the SCC continuous vent subsystem classification was changed in 1981 is the major cause for operating the SCC system outside its design basis. Failure to recognize the significance of SC\NNS interface valves contributed to the PCC vent valve being opened.

With the vent valves tagged closed, both the SCC and PCC systems remain operable to perform their safety related functions. The vent valves will remain shut using administrative safety tagging pending resolution of this issue. Operations is monitoring the PCC and SCC systems' performance and chemistry to assure that gas binding does not occur. If system performance should degrade, these valves may be periodically opened in accordance with applicable system Technical Specifications.

Maine Yankee is continuing to evaluate various long term actions to correct this problem, which may include upgrading the existing vent lines, or upgrading other piping to use as a continuous vent path. Maine Yankee is also developing improved guidance to provide consistent evaluations of SC/NNS boundaries.

'Figure 1

Page 4 of 4

