

231 W Michigan, PO. Box 2046, Milwaukee, WI 53201-2046

(414) 221-2345

VPNPD-94-055 NRC-94-039

May 25, 1994

Document Control Desk U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION Mail Station P1-137 Washington, DC 20555

Ladies/Gentlemen:

DOCKET 50-266 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 94-004-00 UNEXPECTED AUTOMATIC REACTOR TRIP DURING HOT CONTROL ROD DROP TESTING POINT BEACH NUCLEAR PLANT, UNIT 1

Enclosed is Licensee Event Report 94-004-00 for Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit 1. This report is provided in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv), "The licensee shall report...any event or condition that resulted in a manual or automatic actuation of any engineered safety feature (ESF), including the reactor protection system (RPS)."

This report describes the unexpected automatic reactor trip initiated during hot control rod drop testing during the Unit 1 refueling outage, resulting in the shutdown bank A control rods dropping from 20 steps.

Please contact us if any further information is required.

Sincerely,

ren

Bob Link Vice President Nuclear Power

DAW/jg

Enclosure

cc: NRC Regional Administrator NRC Resident Inspector

3700 9405310223 940525 PDR ADDCK 05000266 5 PDR

1E22 11.

NRC FOF (5-92) (Se	M 366	LIC se for 1	ENSE equir	IE I	U.S EVENT REI mber of digit	S. NUCLEAR	REGULATO	RY COM	MISSION	ESTIMA THIS I FORWARI THE IN (MNBB WASHIN REDUCT MANAGE	APPROVED BY EXF TED BURDEN PE NFORMATION CO D COMMENTS RE IFORMATION AND 7714), U.S. NU GTON, DC 20555 ION PROJECT MENT AND BUDGE	Y OMB NO. RES 5/31/ R RESPONS LLECTION I GARDING B RECORDS CLEAR REGL 5-0001, AN (3150-0" T, WASHING	3150-0 95 SE TO REQUES SURDEN MANAG JLATOR D TO 3 104), STON, L	104 COMPLY WITH T: 50.0 HRS. ESTIMATE TO EMENT BRANCH COMMISSION, THE PAPERWORK OFFICE OF DC 20503.	
FACILI	TY NAME	(1)		and the local second				n, ya. ne antisis arybien i		DOCKET	NUMBER (2)			PAGE (3)	
Poin	t Bea	ach M	lucl	ear	Plant,	Unit 1		-		1	05000266	5	1	OF 5	
Unex	(4) pect	ed Au	iton	ati	c Reacto	or Trip	Duri	ng l	Hot C	Contro	ol Rod Dr	op Tes	stin	g	
EVE	NT DATE	(5)			LER NUMBER (6)	REPO	RT DAT	E (7)	I	OTHER FACIL	ITTES INV	OL VED	(8)	
MONTH	ONTH DAY YEAR YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION			REVISION NUMBER	MONTH	DAY	YEAR	FACILIT	FACILITY NAME DOCKE			NUMBER			
04	27	94	94	-	- 004	00	05	25	94	FACILIT	CILITY NAME			DOCKET NUMBER	
OPER	ATING		THIS	REPO	WRT IS SURMITT	ED PURSUANT	TO THE	REQUI	REMENTS	OF 10 CI	R &: (Check	one or mor	e) (11)	
MODE	(9)	N	1	0.402	?(b)		20.405	(c)		X	50.73(a)(2)(iv)	73	.71(b)	
PO	WER	1	20.405(a)(1)(i)				50.36()	c)(1)			50.73(a)(2)(v)		73.71(c)		
LEVEL	(10)	000	20.405(a)(1)(ii)				50.36(c)(2)			50.73(a)(2)(vii)			OTHER		
				0.405	i(a)(1)(iii)		50.73(a)(2)()		50.73(a)(2)()	viii)(A)	(Speci	fy in	
			1	20.405	i(a)(1)(iv)		50.73()	a)(2)(i)		50.73(a)(2)()	viii)(B)	and in	Text.	
			20.405(a)(1)(v)				50.73(a)(2)(iii)			50.73(a)(2)(x		K) NRC Form 36		orm 366A)	
NAME Davi	dA.	Wear	/er,	Se	enior Eng	ineer	- Lic	cens:	ing	IRED IN	TELEPHONE N'" (414) 22	(Incl 21 341	ude Ar 8	ea Code)	
ala dan takan kalendar na kalenda	T			LUMPL	ETE UNE LINE	REPORTAR	IF	FAILUR	E DESCR	INCO IN	THIS REPORT (I	<u></u>			
CAUSE	SYST	EM C	OMPON	ENT	MANUFACTURER	TO NPRD	S	-	CAUSE	SYSTEM	COMPONENT	MANUFAC	TURER	TO NPRDS	
								F	1 mil A. Maine						
			SUPPL	EMENT	AL REPORT EXP	ECTED (14)				E	XPECTED	MONTH	DI	AY YEAR	
YES (1f	yes, c	omplete	EXPEC	TED S	UBMISSION DAT	E).	X	NO		SI D	JBMISSION ATE (15)				
At 1 Unit read prot	214 1 w tor ecti	hour as sl trip on a:	s CI hut occ nalc	paces dov curi og t	, i.e., appro on April vn during red durin testing v Due to a	27, 19 27, 19 3 its a 19 hot vas bei 4 degra	94, v nnual contr ng pe ded s	while the re- col - erfo:	typewr E Poi fueli rod c rmed m flo	itten li .nt Be .ng ou lrop 1 concu	nes) (16) each Nucl utage, ar testing. urrently	ear Pl unexp React with l	lant pect tor not	(PBNP) ed control v Shift	

rod drop testing. Due to a degraded steam flow transmitter, the Duty Shift Superintendent (DSS) and Instrumentation and Control (I&C) technician expected to receive a Unit 1 reactor trip signal from low steam generator level concurrent with feed flow/steam flow mismatch when the low steam generator level switch was placed in trip. However, they did not expect the reactor trip breakers to be shut or any control rods to be withdrawn at that time. The trip signal caused shutdown control rod bank A to drop from 20 steps. All other rods were fully inserted in the core. The event was caused by inadequate coordination of activities in the control room. A 4hour ENS notification was made in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(ii). The NRC Resident Inspector was also notified.

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION LICENSEE EVENT F PORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION					APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95					
						ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WI THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HR FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRAN (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWO REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.					
	FACILITY NAME (1)	And the second	DOC	KET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	F	AGE	(3)	
Doint Dos	and Musleau Dian	TIDIE 1	1	5000000	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	0	OF	-	
POINC Bea	Beach Nuclear Plant, Onic		-	5000266	94	004	00	14	OF	5	
TEXT (If mor	e space is required, use ad	ditional cop	ies of N	RC Form 366A)	(17)	adar manana mananana manana manana		-			

EVENT DESCRIPTION

At 1214 hours CDT on April 27, 1994, while Point Beach Nuclear Plant (PBNP) Unit 1 was shut down during its annual refueling outage, an unexpected reactor trip occurred during hot control rod drop testing. The trip caused shutdown bank A control rods to drop from 20 steps. All other rods were fully inserted in the core. The trip signal was generated during reactor protection analog testing which was being performed concurrently with hot control rod drop testing.

During an outage planning meeting on the morning of April 25, 1994, several evolutions were identified as having to be performed in a deliberate sequence to prevent conflicts. The following test sequence was agreed upon:

- 1) Pressurizer Test
- 2) Instrumentation and Control (I&C) testing
- 3) Hot Control Rod Drop Test

On the morning of April 27, 1994, Reactor Engineering (RE) performed pressurizer testing in accordance with Reactor Engineering Surveillance Procedure (RESP) 3.1, "Primary System Tests." Following completion of the pressurizer testing, I&C testing was commenced. The Unit 1 control operator agreed to notify RE when I&C testing was complete and hot control rod drop testing could begin. Neither the Unit 1 control operator nor the RE Test/Evolution Coordinator (TEC) were aware of the scope of the I&C testing. They understood that I&C planned to perform Procedure ICP 2.17, "Periodic Test -- Reactor Protection System Logic (Post Refueling), " but did not know I&C would also perform Procedure ICP 2.20, "Post-Refueling, Pre-Startup Test Reactor Protection and Safeguards Analog Channels I Through IV, Unit 1." When I&C completed ICP 2.17, the Unit 1 control operator notified RE to report to the control room for hot control rod drop testing because he thought I&C had completed their work. After the TEC reported to the control room, the Duty Shift Superintendent (DSS) granted the TEC permission to commence hot control rod drop testing. After a pre-job brief involving OPS and RE, the TEC commenced the hot control rod drop portion of RESP 3.1.

The Unit 1 control operator withdrew shutdown control rod bank A to 20 steps as required by RESP 3.1. However, I&C had not completed ICP 2.20 and was in the process of placing a low steam generator level switch in the trip mode. Due to a degraded steam flow transmitter, the DSS and I&C technician expected to receive a Unit 1 reactor trip signal from low steam generator level concurrent with feed flow/steam flow mismatch when the low steam generator level switch was placed in trip. A reactor trip

NRC FORM 366A (5-92)	U.S. NUCLEAR R	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95						
LIC	ENSEE EVENT REPORT (LE TEXT CONTINUATION	ER)	ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WI THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HR FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE THE INFORMATION AND RECURCS MANAGEMENT BRAN (MN&B 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSIO WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWO REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-01C4), OFFICE MANAGEMENT AND BURGET WASHINGTON DC 20503					
	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6	>	PAGE (3)		
Daint Boach	Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000266	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 08 5		
FOINC BEACH			94	004	00	3 OF 5		

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A) (17)

signal was subsequently generated and the bank A control rods dropped. Both evolutions were immediately suspended.

CAUSE

The cause of this event was due to inadequate work control. Contrary to the planned sequence, the DSS granted RE permission to commence hot control rod drop testing before ICP 2.20 was completed. The DSS believed that I&C would complete ICP 2.20 before the control operator would commence pulling rods. The DSS was aware of the conflict between the two tests and was aware that a trip signal would be generated during ICP 2.20. However, the DSS mistakenly granted permission for the two evolutions to occur concurrently, causing the unexpected reactor trip.

Contributing factors:

- Inadequate communication between RE, I&C, and Operations (OPS). Neither Reactor Engineering nor the Unit 1 control operator was fully aware of the details of the I&C testing to be performed. Although I&C informed both the Unit 1 control operator and RE of their planned evolutions, the scope of the evolutions was not fully understood.
- 2. Inadequate communication within the shift operating crew. Although the LSS was aware of the scope and status of the evolutions to be performed, he did not pass this information on to the Unit 1 control operator or the Duty Operating Supervisor (DOS). Specifically, they did not know that two separate I&C evolutions were to be performed. They were aware that hot control rod drop testing could not commence until I&C had completed testing. They assumed that the testing pertained only to ICP 2.17. They were not aware that ICP 2.20 was also scheduled to be performed following completion of ICP 2.17. Had the Unit 1 control operator and/or the DOS known that ICP 2.20 would also be performed, RE would not have been notified to report to the control room prematurely.
- 3. Personnel in the control room failed to question why the reactor trip breakers were being shut while I&C analog testing was still in progress. This could have prevented the control operator from shutting the reactor trip breakers while the I&C testing was still in progress, thus preventing this event from occurring.

MRC FORM 3 (5-92)	366A U.S. NUCLEAR RE	APPROVED BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5/31/95 ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS RECARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET. WASHINGTON, DC 2053.						
	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LE TEXT CONTINUATION							
	FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PI	AGE (3)
Point	Beach Nuclear Plant, Unit 1	05000266	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	4	OF	5
			94	004	00			
TEXT (1	f more space is required, use additional copies	of NRC Form 366A)	(17)	A		(hereiten er		
CORRI	ECTIVE ACTIONS							
Immed	dlate:							
1.	Performance of RESP 3.1 and review of the event, both pr without incident.	ICP 2.20 was cocedures wer	s susj re sec	pended. H quentially	Pollowi / compl	ng a etec	a 1	
Shor	t term:							
1.	Human Performance Root Cause conducted on this event.	e (HPRC) eval	uati	on 94-016	is bei	ng		
Long	term:							
1.	Work control-related correct accordance with appropriate 94-016.	ive actions corrective a	will	be implen ns recomme	nented ended i	in n HI	PRC	
2.	This event will be reviewed the operating crews, RE, and and teamwork during multi-gr completed by the next Point presently scheduled to begin	in a multi-g d I&C to deve coup evolutic Beach refuel n on Septembe	roup lop l ing r 24	training better com This trai outage whi , 1994.	sessio munica ning w tch is	n wi tior ill	ith 15 be	
з.	The need for training on thi Power Department Training Ad 1994.	is event will lvisory Commi	be ttee	assessed h s (TACs) h	by all by Octo	Nuc] ber	Lea 3,	r
REPO	RTABILITY							
Beca	use the response to the RPS a	actuation was	not	part of t	he pla	nneo	f	

procedure, this event is being reported in accordance with 10 CFR 50.73(a)(2)(iv), "The licensee shall report...any event or condition that resulted in a manual or automatic actuation of any engineered safety feature (ESF), including the reactor protection system (RPS)." A 4-hour ENS notification was made in accordance with 10 CFR 50.72(b)(2)(ii). The NRC Resident Inspector was also notified.

NRC FORM 366A U.S. NUC (5-92)	CLEAR REGULA	APPROVEE BY OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES 5,31/95					
LICENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION	LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION						
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOG	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		LER NUMBER (6)	PAGE (3)	
Deint Desch Musicar Diant Uni	1 1 I	05000266	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	EOFE	
Point Beach Nuclear Plant, Uni			94	004	00	5 OF 5	
TEXT (If more space is required, use additional SAFETY ASSESSMENT This event occurred while the control rods, with the except fully inserted in the core	copies of N e Unit : tion of Suffic:	RC Form 366A) 1 reactor the shute	(17) was s down l	shut down bank A con margin was	with a strol r	ll ods, nt to	

allow at least one shutdown bank to be fully withdrawn and maintain the reactor subcritical. In addition, the degree of subcriticality increased upon initiation of the reactor trip. Therefore, this event was not safety significant. All systems functioned as designed during this event.

GENERIC IMPLICATIONS

No generic implications have been identified.

SIMILAR OCCURRENCES

The following LERs report events caused by inadequate coordination of activities:

LER

Title

266/89-001-00 Inoperability of One EDG and Both Trains of Containment Spray

301/89-009-00 Unexpected Steam Generator Level Low Reactor Trip Signal During Emergency DC Lighting Test

301/90-002-01 Inadvertent ESF Actuation/AFW Pump Automatic Start

301/92-004-00 Manual Reactor Trip During Hot Control Rod Drop Testing