Georgia Power Company
40 Inverness Center Parkway

Post Office Box 1295
Birmingham, Alabama 35201
Telephone 205 877-7122

C. K. McCoy Vice President, Nuclear Vogtle Project

May 26,1994



LCV-0370

Docket No. 50-424

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

Ladies and Gentlemen:

VOGTLE ELECTRIC GENERATING PLANT LICENSEE EVENT REPORT CLOSED DAMPERS RENDER TWO TRAINS OF HVAC INOPERABLE

In accordance with the requirements of 10 CFR 50.73, Georgia Power Company submits the enclosed report related to an event which was initially reported to the NRC per 10 CFR 50.72 (b)(2)(iii) on April 26, 1994.

Sincerely,

C. K. McCoy

CKM/AFS

Enclosure: LER 1-94-003

xc: Georgia Power Company

Mr. J. B. Beasley, Jr.

Mr. M. Sheibani

NORMS

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Mr. S. D. Ebneter, Regional Administrator

Mr. D. S. Hood, Licensing Project Manager

Mr. B. R. Bonser, Senior Resident Inspector, Vogtle

310081

9405310201 940526 PDR ADDCK 05000424 S PDR JE22 !

NRC FC (SEN2)	ORM 366				LIC	EN	SE	EE	VE	NT	RE	РО	U.S.NU			OULA	ATOR	YCOMM	ISSI	ESTIMAT INFORM. COMME! INFORM. (MNBB7! WASHIN REDUCT BUDGET	ATIO NTS ATIO (14), GTO ION	N C	RDEN COLLE EGA ANE U.S. DC	PECT RDI	REG NUC 555-0	RES RES BI COR LEA 0001	S: 5/ SPON QUE URD RDS AR (1), A	NSE ST DEN REG AND	95 TO 50 E MAN GULA	O CC O O F ESTINAGE ATOI O T	DMPLY HRS MATE MENT RY C	OM	ORW O BRA MISS ERW	ORK
FACILIT		7.7		TATURES	NAME AND ADDRESS OF	MARKETON O	OFFICE	ALCOHOLD BE	actes state a	operation.	Actions	MINISTER OF THE PARTY OF THE PA		100000	DESCRIPTION OF	Managaga	doranne	antico ancidente	BOOLET	DOCKE	NU	MB	ER (2)	MILITARIO I	DE SOLI	oneses.	nersense.	T	ADDRESS OF THE PARTY NAMED IN	P	AGE	131	DATE DE L'ALLES
Vog	tle E	le	etri	c (Gener	atir	ıg.	Pla	nt -	Ur	it 1	1								0	5	(0)	0	4	2	14	4	1	OF			6
TITLE) I) A	N A I	OEDC	DI	CN	DE	D,	rv.	0	TD	ATNIC	OI	LIX	7 A 4	CI	NODI	Z D	ADIE		all record	runtusas	castro	un mensika		demons	nushpana.	unuttu	streamed	Sector Justice	mateus	oscilo	PERSONAL PROPERTY OF THE PERSONAL PROPERTY OF
	ETDA			IVII	CIN			NUM			<u>U</u>	IK			TDATE		Sind	NOPI	CR	ABLE	THE	ER F	ACIL	ITIE	ES IN	VOI	VE	0 (8	A THE PARTY OF	irestor	Manager 1	STATE	urens	residents
MONTH	YAC		YE	AR	YEAR	T		EQUEN		T	REV	ISION ABER	MONTH	ages acres	AY	YEA	AR	FACILIT	YN	and the same of th		MICH SHIP			T		CKE			BER	(S)	-	-	
	-	7	-			-		- Charles	No.	-	1	THE STATE OF THE S		1												0	15	1	01	0	0			
0 4	216	5	9	4	9 4	-	0	010	13	-	0	10	0 5	2	16	91	4	FACILIT	Y N	AME						0	15	10	0 1	0	0			1
Townshielers.	ATING	Page 1	asemant	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	pressure and recent	REPO	RTI	IS SU	BMIT	TED P	URSI	UANT	TO THE R	EQU	REM	ENTS	OF 1	OCFR ?		heck one or mo	Le o	f the	follo	WIT			Austria	mbun	acceba		menuk	conunt	dewon	infrancesia
0.0000000000000000000000000000000000000	E (9)		2	0 4.12((b)						20.405(0	2)					56	0.73(a)(2)(iv)							73	3.71((p)							
	POWER LEVEL (10) 1 0					0.405(-	-	cinationer		_	50 36(c) 50 36(c)	-		-		X	4	0.73(a)(2)(v) 0.73(a)(2)(vii)		neino			-	-	73	3.71((c)	-				
LEVEL	10)		U	U	-	0.405(-	-				V	50.73(a)(2)(i)						-		B(a)(2)(viii)(A)										ity in A			
				1		0.405(17.2.3.12	-			-	X.	A						0.73(a)(2)(viii)(B							and in Text, NRC Form								
					20	0.405(a)(1)	(v)	_		ment decorate		50.73(a)	(2)(iii	i)	-			50	0.73(a)(2)(x)	-	-		-	-									
Emilian Communication of the C		manner.		emno.	Annator esfenses.	E CONTRACTOR DE LA CONT	SHARROOM	Name and Address of the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, where the Owner, which is the Owner, whic	raner many	ORNA STATE	ar tradelite	observes.	LICENSE	E C	ONTAC	T FO	RTH	IS LER (1	2)			O'S RING	MINIS	nnes:	nerando	DOM: N	STATE OF THE PARTY	DODGES	on went	mores.	ANDERSON	NAME OF THE OWNER,	DECEMBER 1	seamers.
NAME																						-				MBI	ER (inch	ude a	area	code)			
	M	eh	di !	She	eibani	, N	uc	lear	Sa	fety	y ar	nd (Compl	ian	ce							1	REAC			0	10	12	- 1		21	2	Lo	10
and the second	TO PROFESSION OF	127121	10/2/08/20	NAME OF THE OWNER, OWNE	TOTAL STREET	novikenser	PRESIDEN	CO	MDIE	TE O	NE L	NE E	OR EACH	COM	DONE	NYSA	AU LIE	DE DECCE	STEP ST	D IN THIS REP	OPT			_	0	Ö	4	10		**	3	2	U	19
CAUSE	SY	STE	М	CC	OMPONE	NT	M	ANUF	-	-	7	REPO	RTABLE		FONE	HITA	AIL OF	CAU	-	SYSTEM	-	manie can	PONE	NT	T	MAN	NUF	ACT	URE	R			TAB	
		1			1	1	1	1	1	1				1					-			1	1	1	+		1	1	-				-	
	-	1	-				+-		1	1_	-	-		-					-			_	1_	1	-	-	_	1			-	-	-	
		1				1		1	1	1												1	i	1	- 1	-4	1	1	1					
THE VICTORIES	resolver som	natura (connect	herebook	monahom	nahanen:	SI	JPPLI	EMEN	TAL F	REPO	RTE	KPECTED	(14)	*****	entrous ph	Len some o		CONTRACTOR	-	EXPECT							N	INON	TH	DA	Y	YE	AR
YES	(If yes,	con	nplete	e EXI	PECTED	SUBI	w/ss	ION D	ATE)					X	NO						SUBMISSI DATE (1)								1		1			

On April 24, 1994, exhaust dampers in both trains of the piping penetration area filtration and exhaust system (PPAFES) were found to be closed due to circuit breakers being deenergized while a design change was being performed on another air filtration system that utilized the same circuit breakers. The exhaust dampers were reenergized and restored to service. Concurrently, an initial determination was made, based on test results, that this condition had not rendered the system inoperable. On April 26, 1994, a further engineering evaluation determined that having the exhaust dampers closed would limit the ability of the PPAFES to control radioactive releases in a post-LOCA scenario.

The cause of this event was cognitive personnel error and lack of attention to detail. This resulted in an inadequate review of the circuit breaker clearances associated with the design change. Also, subsequent personnel errors occurred which delayed the identification and resolution of the problem. Personnel were counseled and additional training will be conducted to emphasize the importance of clearance reviews for proper configuration control.

NF			
150			

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 5/31/95

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MMBB7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

1	FACILITY NAME (1)	DX	CKE	TNU	мве	R (2)	zwiena	e cromments	resident	PARRIED ST	TOTAL DESIGNATION OF THE PERSON NAMED IN	1000	LER	NUMB	ER (6)	ivermusia.	CHINARA	-	p p	AGE	(3)	
1										YE	AR		SEQ	UENT	IAL		REVI	SION					
-	Vogtle Electric Generating Plant - Unit 1	0	5	0	0	10	14	2	4	9	4	-	0	0	3	_	0	0	1	2	OF	16	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)(17)

A. REQUIREMENT FOR REPORT

This report is required per 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(v) because a condition existed that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of a safety function of a system needed to control the release of radioactive material. It is also required per 10 CFR 50.73 (a)(2)(i) because the unit operated in a condition prohibited by the Technical Specification (TS) when a system was inoperable for a period of time longer than that allowed by the action statement.

B. UNIT STATUS AT TIME OF EVENT

At the time of this event, Unit 1 was operating in Mode 1 (power operations) at 100 percent of rated thermal power. Other than that described herein, there was no inoperable equipment that contributed to the occurrence of this event.

C. DESCRIPTION OF EVENT

The Unit 2 electrical penetration filtration system had never been installed and as part of a design change to abandon the Unit 1 system, on February 28, 1994, and March 1, 1994, personnel installed clearances by opening the applicable circuit breakers. These clearances removed power to several dampers in this filtration system. However, power was also unknowingly removed to piping penetration area filtration and exhaust system (PPAFES) train A and B exhaust dampers, 1PV-2550B and 1PV-2551B, because they share circuit breakers with the system being abandoned. This left the PPAFES exhaust dampers in their closed positions and inoperable. These exhaust dampers open to preset positions to maintain negative pressure during PPAFES operation. Thus, the PPAFES was limited in its ability to control the release of radioactive materials from the piping penetration rooms, had it become necessary to do so in a post-LOCA scenario.

TS surveillances were performed for the Train A PPAFES on March 15, 1994, and April 11, 1994, and for the Train B PPAFES on March 28, 1994. Personnel noted that the position indication lights, used for verifying modulation of dampers 1PV-2550B and 1PV-2551B, were not illuminated during two of these three surveillances. During the March 28, 1994, surveillance, an investigation of the apparent position indication problem was initiated, but was not pursued due to shift turnover. Since the acceptance criteria for the surveillance was met, the surveillance was signed off as satisfactory. A more thorough and complete investigation was conducted during the April 11, 1994, surveillance.

NR	C	FO	Ri	M	364	SA.
480 4	S.A.					

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 5/31/95

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)
TEXT CONTINUATION

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: \$0.0 HRS FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 2055S-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (\$150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND RUDGET WASHINGTON DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)			LER NUMBER	(6)	ALCOHOLD BOOK AND WALL	-	PAGE (3)
		YEAR		SEQUENTIAL YEAR		REVISION NUMBER			OF RECORD CHEST
Vogtle Electric Generating Plant - Unit 1	015101010141214	9 4	_	0 0 3		010	13	OF	16

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)(17)

Visual indication of 1PV-2550B valve linkage led the personnel involved to believe that the valve had actually moved to its preset demanded position, and a work order was initiated to effect repairs of the position indication. On April 20, 1994, an investigation by an electrician, per the work order, revealed that both the indicator lights and the dampers were removed from service because the power had been removed. The unit shift supervisor (USS) was notified that the position indication was lost due to the breakers being open. However, he did not realize that opening the breakers had also removed power to the dampers. On April 24, 1994, during work order closeout, another USS recognized the impact on the PPAFES. While reviewing the clearance for modification to reenergize the exhaust dampers, PPAFES testing was performed to determine operability. System flows, differential pressures, and alarm indications all indicated normal, with the only abnormality being the indication for the exhaust dampers. After discussion of the test results with the system engineering supervisor and plant management, an initial determination was made that this condition had not rendered the system inoperable. However, plant management requested a design review of this condition to determine the complete impact to the PPAFES. Power was restored and the system returned to service.

On April 25, 1994, the design engineering staff began to evaluate the effect of the deenergized dampers on the operability of the system and on April 26, 1994, it was determined that PPAFES had been rendered inoperable and that the safety function of the system had been degraded by the dampers being deenergized while in their closed positions. A four-hour non-emergency notification was made to the NRC Operations Center per 10 CFR 50.72 (b)(2)(iii) because a condition existed that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of a safety function of a system needed to control the release of radioactive material.

A broadness review found that similar events had occurred when damper circuit breakers were deenergized for one train of PPAFES on three other occasions. Train A breakers were deenergized from October 28, 1988, to November 9, 1988, and from July 30, 1992, to August 19, 1992. One train B breaker was deenergized from August 8, 1988, to August 26, 1988. No Unit 2 events were found.

D. CAUSE OF EVENT

The cause of this event was cognitive personnel error and lack of attention to detail by the operation work planner and the support shift supervisor (SSS). This resulted in an inadequate review of the circuit breaker clearance associated with the design change. The operation work planner's and SSS's reviews did not find the PPAFES train A exhaust damper on the appropriate breaker drawing or its respective load list because it was not listed on these documents. The PPAFES train B exhaust

LICENSEE EVENT REPO TEXT CONTINUATI		INFORM COMME AND R NUCLEA 0001, A	AR R	BURI N CO REGA RDS EGUL O THE	DEN PE DLLECTIO ARDING E MANA ATORY E PAPER	R RESURDE	MB NO. 3150- ES: 5/31/95 SPONSE TO QUEST: 50 EN ESTIMATE ENT BRANCH MISSION, WA K REDUCTION BUDGET, WA	COMPLY 0 HRS. TO THE IN 4 (MNBB7 SHINGTON I PROJECT	FORW FORMA 714), DC 20 (3150-0	TION U.S 0555- 104),
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		GENUTERS.	LER	NUMBER	(6)	ANTONIO CONTRACTO	P	AGE (3)	UNICHE VAN
		YEAR			YEAR	-	REVISION NUMBER		T	
Vogtle Electric Generating Plant - Unit 1										

0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 4 | - | 0 | 0 | 3 | - | 0 | 0 | 4 | OF | 6

EXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)(17)

damper was shown on its respective drawing and load list, but these were not adequately reviewed since the train A and train B clearances were being developed at the same time.

Subsequent personnel errors committed during performance of surveillance testing and investigation of damper position indication prevented the early detection and correction of the clearance error. The occurrence of these cognitive personnel errors by the Georgia Power Company personnel involved was not the result of any unusual characteristics of the work location.

A contributing cause of this event is that the single line diagram and electrical load list failed to specifically identify by equipment number that the train A exhaust damper was a device being powered from the affected circuit breakers. The single line diagram and electrical load list identified other dampers and an HVAC panel as devices being fed from the circuit breakers which were deenergized on February 28, 1994. However, the train A exhaust damper was also being fed from these circuit breakers via the HVAC panel.

E. ANALYSIS OF EVENT

The functions of the PPAFES are to maintain a negative pressure boundary on the piping penetration area rooms and to filter the exhaust from those areas. Safety Evaluation Report dated July 9, 1992, assumes iodine leakage from the piping penetration rooms and emergency core cooling system (ECCS) equipment to both offsite and control room locations during post-LOCA conditions. Therefore, the control room and offsite dose analyses are potentially affected by the degradation of this system caused by the inoperable exhaust dampers.

Based upon the latest dose analysis, had the ECCS leakage risen to the design basis analyzed value of 2 gpm with the PPAFES exhaust dampers closed, the offsite dose would have remained within the 10 CFR 100 limits, and the control room dose would also have remained within the General Design Criteria 19 acceptance criteria.

Several other factors also existed that would have mitigated the consequences of this scenario:

The latest surveillance value for ECCS leakage, based on the requirements of TS 6.7.4 and taken during the last Unit 1 refueling outage, indicated the leakage was less than 0.1 gpm. This would result in the expected source term being significantly less than the 2 gpm assumed in the design basis dose analysis.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT TEXT CONTINUATION		INFORM COMME AND R NUCLEA 0001, A	AR R	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	XPIRE R REI N REI URDE GEME COMM	N ESTIMATE OF THE PROPERTY OF	COMPL 0 HRS TO THE 1 (MNBB SHINGTO PROJEC	FOF INFORM 37714), ON, DC CT (3150	U.S 20555 0-0104)
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	CHARLES	NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.	Accountement	3)				
		YEAR		SEQUENTIAL YEAR		REVISION NUMBER		TT	
Vogtle Electric Generating Plant - Unit 1	015101010141214	914	_	0 10 13	-	010	15	OF	16

Although the PPAFES exhaust dampers were inoperable and would have sulted in an increase of radioactivity release, the filter and recirculation function of the PPAFES was operable and would have filtered out a majority of the airborne radiation resulting from ECCS leakage.

Combining these two conditions of a low ECCS leakage value and the operability of the recirculation/filtration function of PPAFES being unaffected by the inoperability of the exhaust dampers, results in maintaining the expected source term within the design basis dose analysis value.

- grade, and have to diffuse through several rooms or be transported via the filter system to rooms bordering on the exterior of the building prior to release. After filtration, the expected discharge flow of 2700 cfm would have been returned with the recirculation flow of 11760 cfm to the various ECCS rooms. These rooms are typically provided with sealed penetrations and solid doors (not wire mesh) maintained closed for flood protection, radiation protection, fire protection, etc., and would provide a substantial barrier to radioactivity release. Therefore, the majority of the leakage would be processed through the PPAFES filters, perhaps being recirculated several times, prior to release. The leakage which bypasses the filters would have a long winding pathway to follow prior to exiting the auxiliary building and would be subject to natural removal processes along the way, such as settling and plateout.
- The PPAFES charcoal filter iodine removal efficiency is supplemented by heaters that aid in decreasing humidity. Since the expected relative humidity at the charcoal filter inlet (following the guidance of Regulatory Guide 1.52) is much closer to the controlled environment value of 70 percent than to the uncontrolled environment value of 95 percent, the PPAFES efficiency of iodine removal would be greater than that taken credit for in the design basis dose analysis. In addition, the filter actually has a bed depth of four inches as opposed to the two inches taken credit for in the accident analysis. Therefore, the recirculation/filtration which would occur would be more effective than discussed above.

Finally, there was no leakage event during the period of time involved. Based on these considerations, there was no adverse effect on plant safety or on the health and safety of the public as a result of this event.

NRC FORM 366A

U.S.NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 5/31/95

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) **TEXT CONTINUATION**

COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB7714). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 2055-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1)	DO	CKE	TNU	MBE	R (2)	SHERM	reservith.co	and the same		THE COLUMN	and the	LER	NUM	BER	(6)	nati ammuni	UTPACKED IN	ALEKS AND ASSESSED.	PAGI	E (3)	and or other desired
									YE	AR		SEC	YEAR	TIAL.		REVI	SION		T	T	
Vogtle Electric Generating Plant - Unit 1	0	5	0	0	0	4	12	4	9	14		0	0	3	_	0	0	16	OF	F	16

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional copies of NRC Form 366A)(17)

F. CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

- 1) The work planner and SSS involved have been counseled on the significance of configuration control when preparing, reviewing, and approving clearances.
- 2) The operation work planners and system engineers will be trained regarding this event with emphasis on configuration control, by June 15, 1994
- 3) Licensed operators will review this event in continuing training by July 15, 1994, with particular instruction on configuration control. Emphasis will also be given to utilizing a questioning attitude when test indications are not clearly understood.
- 4) The appropriate Unit 1 and Unit 2 single line diagrams and electrical load lists, which failed to identify by equipment number that the Train A PPAFES exhaust damper was being powered from the circuit breakers, have been corrected. An initial sample review of other breakers that power similar loads revealed no further drawing problems. An additional review will be completed by August 1, 1994.
- 5) An evaluation of the test methodology for the current PPAFES TS surveillances will be completed with recommendations for system and procedure improvements by July 1, 1994.

G. ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

- 1) Failed Components: None
- 2) Previous Similar Events: None
- 3) Energy Industry Identification System Code: Emergency Core Cooling System - BJ, BP Piping Penetration Air Filtration and Exhaust System - VA