31,18°

-367

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION \*87 MAR -4 P12:12

#### BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of	
NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC ) SERVICE COMPANY )	Docket No. 50
(Bailly Generating Station, ) Nuclear-1)	/-

PORTER COUNTY CHAPTER INTERVENORS' RESPONSE TO NIPSCO'S "MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF ORDER DATED JANUARY 29, 1981"

Porter County Chapter Intervenors ("PCCI"), by their attorneys, hereby respond to, and urge the Board to deny, NIPSCO's "Motion For Reconsideration of Order Dated January 29, 1982" ("Motion"), dated February 16, 1982.

The Order of January 29 provides that "NIPSCO's revised site restoration plan is approved and that NIPSCO is directed to begin implementing that plan forthwith." (p. 2)

NIPSCO's complaint that the Order does not "discharge the responsibility [of the Board] to 'issue timely rulings on all matters'" (Motion, p. 2), is, of course, pointless. The Order specifically identifies issues yet to be decided. \*/ NIPSCO offers no basis to challenge the Board's conclusion that "it [is] unnecessary to delay the implementation of the site restoration plan pending the resolution of these issues."

NIPSCO argues that the Board has exceeded its jurisdiction in entering the Order because the Order does not simultaneously

1/1

<sup>\*</sup> NIPSCO uses its Motion to reargue its positions on those undecided issues. PCCI will not reargue its positions here.

terminate the proceedings. (Motion, pp. 7-8) No legal basis is presented for this theory, and nothing in 10 CFR §2.107 suggests that conditions imposed on the withdrawal of an application may only be ordered simultaneously with termination of a proceeding.

The only possible prejudice which NIPSCO suggests it might suffer from the January 29 Order that it comply with its own restoration plan, to which no party has objected, prior to resolution of those other issues, is that:

... NIPSCO is forced to undertake its restoration plan with only the hope, but without assurance, that the Board will not impose additional conditions in the termination order which are inconsistent with the restoration plan which is under way or possibly completed. (Motion, p. 7; footnote omitted.)

NIPSCO's fear of additional, inconsistent conditions is, of course, an unsupportable challenge to the integrity of the Board and, indeed, to the entire adjudicatory process.

NIPSCO's Motion is groundless and shou. I be denied.

March 1, 1982

Respectfully submitted,

Robert J. Vollen Jane M. Whicher

by:

Jane M. Whicher

Attorneys for Porter County Chapter Intervenors

Robert J. Vollen Jane M. Whicher 109 North Dearborn Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

(312) 641-5570

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of	
NORTHERN INDIANA PUBLIC ) SERVICE COMPANY	Docket No. 50-367
(Bailly Generating Station, ) Nuclear-1)	

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have served copies of Porter County Chapter Intervenors' Response to NIPSCO's "Motion For Reconsideration of Order Dated January 29, 1982" on each of the persons listed on the attached Service List by causing them to be deposited in the U.S. mail, first class postage prepaid, this lst day of March, 1982.

March 1, 1982

Robert J. Vollen Jane M. Whicher

by:

Jane M. Whicher Attorneys for Porter County Chapter

Intervenors

Robert J. Vollen Jane M. Whicher 109 North Dearborn Suite 1300 Chicago, IL 60602

(312) 641-5570

## SERVICE LIST

Herbert Grossman, Esq.
Administrative Judge
Atomic Safety & Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Robert L. Holton Administrative Judge School of Oceanography Oregon State University Corvallis, Oregon 97331

Dr. J. Venn Leeds Administrative Judge 10807 Atwell Houston, Texas 77096

Maurice Axelrad, Esq.
Kathleen H. Shea, Esq.
Lowenstein, Newman, Reis,
Axelrad and Toll
1025 Connecticut Ave., N.W.
Washington, D.C. 20036

William H. Eichhorn, Esq. Eichhorn, Eichhorn & Link 5243 Hohman Avenue Hammond, Indiana 46320

Diane B. Cohn, Esq. William P. Schultz, Esq. Suite 700 2000 P Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20036

Atomic Safety & Licensing
Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Atomic Safety and Licensing
Appeal Board Panel
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

George & Anna Grabowski 3820 Ridge Road Highland, Indiana 46322

Dr. George Schultz 807 E. Coolspring Road Michigan City, Indiana 46360

Mr. Mike Olszanski Mr. Clifford Mezo Local 1010 - United Steelworkers of America 3703 Euclid Avenue East Chicago, Indiana 46312

Stephen H. Lewis, Esq.
Office of the Executive
Legal Director
U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Anne Rapkin, Asst. Attorney General John Van Vranken, Environmental Control Division 188 W. Randolph - Suite 2315 Chicago, Illinois 60601

Docketing & Service Section (3) Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Stephen Laudig, Esq. 21010 Cumberland Road Noblesville, Indiana 46060