

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D. C. 20555

March 2, 1982

DISTRIBUTION

Docket
NRC PDR
Local PDR
ORB Reading
NSIC
DCrutchfield
HSmith
DDudley
OELD
OI&E
ACRS (10)

ZRosztoczy

SEPB

Docket No. 50-409 LS05-82-03-009

> Mr. Frank Linder General Manager Dairyland Power Cooperative 2615 East Avenue South La Crosse, Wisconsin 54601

Dear Mr. Linder:

SUBJECT: TMI ACTION PLAN - ENVIRONMENTAL QUALIFICATION OF SAFETY-RELATED

ELECTRICAL EQUIPMENT REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION

RE: LA CROSSE BOILING WATER REACTOR

We have completed a preliminary review of your response to our Safety Evaluation concerning the Environmental Qualification (EQ) of Safety-Related Electrical Equipment. Our contractor (Franklin Research Center) has identified further information (described in the enclosure) which we need in order to complete our review. We request that you supply this information to us within 30 days of the date of this letter. Please send a full submittal to Mr. Cyril J. Crane, Franklin Research Center, The Parkway at Twentieth Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103, and a copy of the cover letter to us. Address the letter to the Operating Reactors Branch. Please contact your Project Manager for further assistance in this regard.

The reporting requirements contained in this letter affect fewer than ten respondents; therefore OMB clearance is not required under P.L.96-511.

Sincerely,

Dennis M. Crutchfield Chief Operating Reactors Branch #5 Division of Licensing

DS4 WE

Enclosure: Request for Additional Information

cc w/enclosure: See next page

OFFICE)	DL: ORB #5 DDudley:cc	DL PARR,#5 DC did Field					
82030	80044 8203	02					
PDR ADOCK 05000409		OFFICIAL RECORD COPY				USGPO: 1981-335-960	

cc Fritz Schubert, Esquire Staff Attorney Dairyland Power Cooperative 2615 East Avenue South La Crosse, Wisconsin 54501

O. S. Heistand, Jr., Esquire Morgan, Lewis & Bockius 1800 M Street, N. W. Washington, D. C. 20036

Mr. R. E. Shimshak La Crosse Boiling Water Reactor Dairyland Power Cooperative P. O. Box 135 Genoa, Wisconsin 54632

Ms. Anne K. Morse Coulee Region Energy Coalition P. O. Box 1583 La Crosse, Wisconsin 54601

La Crosse Public Library 800 Main Street La Crosse, Wisconsin 54601

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Resident Inspectors Office Rural Route #1, Box 276 Genoa, Wisconsin 54632

Town Chairman Town of Genoa Route 1 Genoa, Wisconsin 54632

Chairman, Public Service Commission of Wisconsin Hill Farms State Office Building Madison, Wisconsin 53702

Alan S. Rosenthal, Esq., Chairman. Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Mr. Frederick Milton Olsen, III 609 North 11th Street LaCrosse, Wisconsin 54601 U. S. Environmental Protection
Agency
Federal Activities Branch
Region V Office
ATTN: Regional Radiation Representative
230 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, Illinois 60604

Mr. John H. Buck Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. Lawrence R. Quarles Kendal at Longwood, Apt. 51 Kenneth Square, Pennsylvania 19348

Charles Bechhoefer, Esq., Chairman Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Dr. George C. Anderson Department of Oceanography University of Washington Seattle, Washington 98195

Mr. Ralph S. Decker Route 4, Box 1900 Cambridge, Maryland 21613

Thomas S. Moore Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Board U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D. C. 20555

Mr. George R. Nygaard Coulee Region Energy Coalition 2307 East Avenue LaCrosse, Wisconsin 54501

James G. Keppler, Regional Administrator Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region III Office of Inspection & Enforcement 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

LA CROSSE BOILING WATER REACTOR DOCKET NO. 50-409

The licensee stated that "Due to the small fission product inventory (168 Mwt) to containment volume ratio, the radiation values seen in the LACBWR plant are less than the screening values established by the NRC." The staff acknowledges that there is a very strong likelihood that the actual calculated radiation values are less than the NRC screening values. However, the licensee has not provided information concerning what the actual radiation qualification envelope would be. A simple comparison with the nomogram of the DOR guidelines would be particularly helpful. The licensee also did not address the effects of other radiation sources such as normal operating exposures or exposures to sump water on the equipment qualification envelope. The staff is unable to approve the licensee's methodology until the deficiencies described above have been satisfactorily resolved.