# Filed: February 26, 1983

# UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

# NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# before the .

# ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE ET AL. Docket Nos. 50-443 and 50-444

(Seabrook Stetion, Units 1 &?)

The Hammton Beach Aren Chamber of Commerce of New Hampshire, Resnance to the NRC Staff's Interrogatories and Request for Production of Documents

I.

Q. I(1) To all 3 contentions 4, 5, and 7. The Representative for HBCC.

Q. I(2) To all 3 contentions 4,5, and 7. The question of representatives education and professional qualifications is irrelevant.

Q. I(3) To all 3 contentions 4, 5, and 7. NONE

Q. I(4) To all 3 Contentions 4. 5. and 7. Knowledge. views, positions, proposed testimony (which it can not state until all facts are in) are those of the representative for HBACC.

Q. I(5)

Q. I(6) All documents, materials come for UNH Library, NRC, State Library, Franklin Pierce Law Library, newspapers and Public Service Company. A list of title, author, date, publication, and publisher is impossible.

# II. CCNHH

Q.4(a) HBACC representative was under the impression that Emergency Planning deemed by the Licensing Board to be premature. Therefore, question is premature.

Q. 4(b) Irrelevant and premature deals with Emergency Planning. It is not the role of the representative to correct deficiencies, only to show that they exist.

Q. 4(c) Same as above B303170030 B30315 PDR ADOCK 05000443 G PDR Q. 4(d) Same as above

C. 4(e) Same as above

Q. 4(f) Same as above

Q. 4(g) 1.2.3. Same as above

0. 4(h) Same as above

Q. 4(i) 1.2.3. Some as above

Q. 4(j) Same as above

Q. 4(%) It is not the roll of the intervenor to detail steps. to provide rdequrte mechanisims for making protective actions, and recommendations.

-2- .

0. 4(1) Premature Question .

Q. 4(m) Premature Question. It is not the roll of an intervenor to detail programs.

III. CCCNH5

C. 5(a) Premature Question.

Q. 5(b) Premature Question. It is not the roll of intervenor to rectify situation, it is enough to know that it exists.

Q. 5(c) Same as above 0. 5(d) Same as above Q. 5(e) Same as phove Q. 5(f) Same as above

Q. 5(g) Same rs above

#### V. CCNH7

Q. 7(a)1,2,3, HBACC has not been able to obtain information regardin; the radiation monitoring system, or as Applicant would have us state "I Don't Know," at this time. 7(a) 4 It is not the roll of the intervenor to cure defic-

iences, only to prove they exist.

Q. 7(b) Since information is unavailable on location or number of monitoring sites. HBACC can not state its position at this time.

Q. 7(c) No.

Q.7(d) Same as above in 7(a)

Q. 7(e) No.

I Beverly A. Hollingworth hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing HFACC's Response to the NRC Staff's Interrogatories and Request for the production of Documents. have been mailed this day, the 26th day of February 1983, by First Class Mail Postage, prepaid to all Parties of the Proceedings.

- 1-

Bruch a teleports ja Tud serge is Beach ana Chamber ag Commence

11/10/82

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

21112020

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, et al. Docket Nos. 50-443 OL 50-444 OL

(Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2)

#### NRC STAFF'S INTERROGATORIES AND REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENT PRODUCTION TO THE COASTAL CHAMBER OF COMMERCE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

NRC Staff hereby requests that the Coastal Chamber of Commerce of New Hampshire (CCCNH), pursuant to 10 C.F.R. §§ 2.740b and 2.741, answer separately and fully, in writing under oath or affirmation, the following interrogatories and produce and permit inspection and copying of the original or best copy of all documents identified in the responses to interrogatories below, and that subsequent to filing answers to these interrogatories and producing documents therein identified, CCCNH file supplemental responses and produce additional documents as required by 10 C.F.R. § 2.740(e).

Where identification of a document is requested, briefly describe the document (<u>e.g.</u>, book, letter, memorandum, report) and state the following information as applicable for the particular document: name, title, number, author, date of publication and publisher, addressee, date written or approved, and the name and address of the person(s) having possession of the document. As used in these discovery requests the term "document(s)" includes publications of any format, letters, memoranda, notes, reports, analyses, test results or data, recordings, transcriptions and printed, typed or written materials of every kind.

#### I. INTERROGATORY I

For each contention listed in the Specific Interrogatories contained herein (CCCNH Hampshire Contentions 4, 5, and 7), state the following information separately for each contention:

Q.I(1) Upon what person or persons do you rely to substantiate in whole or in part the view(s) as stated in this contention?

Q.I(2) Provide the addresses and education and professional qualifications of any person(s) named in response to the above interrogatory.

Q.I(3) Identify any person(s) you may call as a witness or witnesses on this contention.

Q.1(4) Provide summaries of the views, positions, or proposed testimony on this contention of all persons named in response to interrogatories (1) and (3) immediately above that you may present during this proceeding.

Q.I(5) State the specific bases and references to any documents upon which the persons named in Interrogatories 1 and 3 immediately above may rely or reference regarding this contention.

Q.1(6) List all documentary or other materials that you may use during this proceeding to support this contention or refer to during examination of witnesses. The list should be by author, title, date of

- 2 -

publication (if applicable), and publisher (if applicable). In addition to listing such documents, provide a copy of all documents (except for those that are NRC documents or documents provided to the NRC in this proceeding, which need only be listed). If you are uncertain as to whether a document was provided to the NRC, provide that document.

#### II. CCCNH 4

The Applicant has not adequately demonstrated that it has developed and will be able to implement procedures necessary to assess the impact of an accident, classify it properly, and notify adequately its own personnel, the affected government bodies, and the public, all of which is required under 10 C.F.R. 50.47 and Appendix E, and NUREG-0654.

Q.4(a) List and describe in detail each provision of the Applicant's emergency plan which you believe violates provisions of either 10 C.F.R. 50.47, 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix E, or NUREG-0654.

4(b) State the precise provisions of 10 C.F.R. 50.47 and Appendix E and NUREG-0654 that each of the emergency plan shortcomings listed in the answer to question 4(a) violates and describe how these deficiencies should be corrected.

4(c) Describe in detail how the emergency classification and action scheme outlined in Section 9 of the Applicant's Emergency plan is inadequate and state the steps that New Hampshire believes must be taken for it to satisfy the requirements of 10 C.F.R. 50.47(b)(4) and NUREG-0654. App. 1.

4(d) Provide the basis for your assertion (on page 5 of your June 8, contentions) that the emergency plan's initiating procedures must include the postulated accidents in the FSAR and Emergency Plan. 4(e) On page 5 of the contentions you submitted on June 8, you state: "The Environmental Plan should state the basis for selecting a certain emergency action level." Give the basis or reasons for requiring the Environmental Plan to state the basis for selecting a certain emergency action level.

4(f) Explain in detail your reasons, and bases therefor, for requiring that the responsibilities of the Unit Shift Supervisor and the Shift Superintendent relating to Emergency Implementing Procedure be more clearly delineated and the steps necessary to reach the correct level of delineation.

4(g) State in detail: (1) how the Applicant's Emergency Plan fails to meet the provision for the adequate, continued staffing required by 10 C.F.R. 50.47(b)(2) and NUREG-0654, Table B-1; (2) the bases or explanation for this assertion; and (3) now you believe this alleged deficiency should be corrected.

4(h) State how the Emergency Plan fails to meet the provision of NUREG-0654, Criteria J.7, page 50 and the steps that should be taken to correct this deficiency.

4(1) State in detail: (1) how the notification process outlined in Section 3 of the Applicant's Emergency Plan will involve unnecessary delay in implementing protective actions; (2) the bases or reasons for this assertion, and (3) the corrective steps that you assert should be taken.

4(j) State the bases for asserting that the Emergency Plan fails to provide for prompt notification directly to all off-site authorities and describe how this alleged deficiency should be corrected.

4(k) List and describe in detail the steps that you feel must be taken by the Applicant in order to provide an adequate mechanism for

- 4 -

making protective action recommendations, including the basis for making such recommendations, to the appropriate State and local authorities.

4(1) Provide the bases for the assertion that the emergency plan fails to satisfy the requirements of 10 C.F.R. 50.47(b)(7) and describe how the plan should be corrected in this regard.

4(m) Describe in detail the program that you believe should be adopted to acquaint the news media with the emergency plans and provide the basis therefor.

#### III. CCCNH 5

The Applicant has failed to demonstrate adequate on-site and off-site protective measures in the event of an emergency in accordance with 10 C.F.R. 50.47(a)(b), 10 C.F.R. 50, App. E, and NUREG-0654.

Q.5(a) List in detail the provisions of the Applicants' Emergency Plan that you contend are inadequate and provide the bases and reasons for these assertions.

5(b) State the steps that you feel must be taken to remedy the alleged deficiencies in the plan.

5(c) Provide the bases and explanation for the assertion that the plan does not meet the requirements of 10 C.F.R. 50.47(b)(12) and describe what you contend must be done to rectify the situation.

5(d) Explain in detail why you believe the FSAR does not sufficiently demonstrate how injured personnel will be treated or the adequacy of medical services that have been arranged, and describe what you contend must be done to correct this alleged deficiency.

5(e) Do you contend that the Applicants have not demonstrated in their Emergency Plan that, in the event of an accident at the Seabrook

- 5 -

facility, they will be able to protect individuals located on-site? If so, provide the bases and explanation for the assertion (including specific references to the Applicants' Plan) and describe the additional measures you contend are required.

5(f) List and explain: (1) any insufficiency of the plan in indicating upon what bases protective action decisions will be made and how protective actions will be implemented; (2) the bases for asserting there is an insufficiency; and (3) the steps that you believe must be taken to correct this insufficiency.

5(g) Do you contend that the plan does not comply with 10 C.F.R. 50.47(b)(10) and NUREG-0654? If so, state the bases and explain the reasons for this assertion and the means by which these provisions may be satisfied.

# IV. CCCNH 7

The Seabrook design does not provide an adequate program for monitoring the release of radioactivity to the plant and its environs either under normal operating conditions or in pre- and post-accident circumstances. Thus, the application is not in compliance with general design criteria 63, 64 of Appendix A, 10 C.F.R. Part 50, and the requirements of NUREG-0737 and NUREG-0800.

Q.7(a) Specify in detail: (1) the equipment, components, and procedures of the Seabrook in-plant monitoring system that you allege are not in compliance with GDC Nos. 63 and 64 of 10 C.F.R. Part 50, Appendix A, or the requirements of NUREG-0737; (2) the precise requirements of GDC 63, GDC 64 and Appendix A that are alleged to have not been met; (3) the reasons for asserting non-compliance for each GDC or Appendix A; and (4) the means by which you believe these alleged deficiencies can be cured.

7(b) Are you alleging that there is a lack of adequate monitoring capacity in terms of the range of monitoring equipment and the location and number of monitoring sites? If so, provide the bases for this assertion and describe what you believe should be done to correct any shortcomings.

7(c) Do you assert that radiation monitoring for radioactivity which may be released due to anticipated operational occurrences at Seabrook is inadequate to protect the public health and safety? If so, state the bases for this assertion and explain how any such shortcomings or inadequacies can be corrected.

7(d) Provide and discuss in detail your bases for asserting that the Applicants will not provide sufficient radiation monitoring capacity in containment spaces which could contain LOCA fluids, effluent discharge paths, and plant environs as required by General Design Criterion 64.

7(e) Are you asserting that the health physics division at the plant is not qualified or properly staffed to perform its function? If so, provide in detail the basis for such assertion. Include in your

- 7 -

response a discussion of the provisions of Regulatory Guide 1.97 assertedly not being met by the Seabrook Applicants.

Respectfully submitted,

at Man

Robert G. Perlis Counsel for NRC Staff

Roy P. Lessy Deputy Assistant Chief Hearing Counsel

Dated at Bethesda, Maryland this

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, et al. Docket Nos. 50-443 OL 50-444 OL

(Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2)

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of "NRC STAFF'S INTERROGATORIES AND. REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENT PRODUCTION TO THE COASTAL CHAMBER OF NEW HAMPSHIRE" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class, or, as indicated by an asterisk, through deposit in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail system, this 10th day of November, 1982:

Helen Hoyt, Esq., Chairman\* Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Jerry Harbour\* Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Lynn Chong Bill Corkum Gary McCool Box 65 Plymouth, NH 03264

E. Tupper Kinder, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Division Office of the Attorney General State House Annex Concord, NH 03301 Dr. Emmeth A. Luebke\* Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Jo Ann Shotwell, Asst. Attorney Office of the Attorney General Environmental Protection Division One Ashburton Place, 19th Floor Boston, MA 02108

Beverly Hollingworth 7 A Street Hampton Beach, NH 03842

Nicholas J. Costello 1st Essex District Whitehall Road Amesbury, MA 01913

Robert L. Chiesa, Esq. Wadleigh, Starr, Peters, Dunn & Kohls 95 Market Street Manchester, NH 03101 Robert A., Backus, Esq. 116 Lowell Street P.O. Box 516 Manchester, NH 03105

Ms. Patti Jacobson 3 Orange Street Newburyport, MA 01950

Docketing and Service Section\* Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Wilfred L. Sanders, Esq. Lawrence M. Edelman, Esq. Sanders and McDermott 408 Lafayette Road Hampton, NH 03842

Thomas G. Dignan, Jr., Esq. Ropes & Gray 225 Franklin Street Boston. MA 02110

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel\* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, L.C. 20555

Jane Doughty Field Director Seacoast Anti-Pollution League 5 Market Street Portsmouth, NH 03801

David R. Lewis\* Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 William-S. Jordan, III, Esq. Ellyn R. Weiss, Esq. Harmon & Weiss 1725 I Street, N.W. Suite 506 Washington, D.C. 20006

Phillip Ahrens, Esq. Assistant Attorney General State House Station #6 Augusta, ME 04333

Donald L. Herzberger, MD Hitchcock Hospital Hanover, NH 03755

Edward J. McDermott, Esq. Ann C. Thompson, Esq. Sanders and McDermott 408 Lafayette Road Hampton, NH 03842

Sen. Robert L. Preston State of New Hampshire Senate Concord, NH 03301

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel\* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Brian P. Cassidy Regional Counsel FEMA, Region 1 John W. McCormack Post Office & Courthouse Boston, MA 02109

Robert G. Perlis Counsel for NRC Staff

#### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# BEFORE THE ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

In the Matter of

PUBLIC SERVICE COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE, et al.

Docket Nos. 50-443 OL 50-444 OL

(Seabrook Station, Units 1 and 2)

#### CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies o<sup>°</sup> "RENEWED MOTION OF THE NRC STAFF TO DISMISS CCNH CONTENTION 7 AND NRC STAFF'S RENEWED MOTION TO (1) DISMISS HAMPTON BEACH CHAMBER OF COMMERCE CONTENTION 7 AND (2) COMPEL ANSWERS TO INTER-ROGATORIES ON HBACC CONTENTIONS 4 AND 5" in the above-captioned proceeding have been served on the following by deposit in the United States mail, first class, or, as indicated by an asterisk, through deposit in the Nuclear Regulatory Commission's internal mail system, this 15th day of March, 1983:

Helen Hoyt, Esq., Chairman\* Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Dr. Jerry Harbour\*

Administrative Judge

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Beverly Hollingworth 7 A Street Hampton Beach, NH 03842

E. Tupper Kinder, Esq. Assistant Attorney General Environmental Protection Division Office of the Attorney General State House Annex Concord, NH 03301

Edward F. Meany Town of Rye, New Hampshire 155 Washington Road Rye, NH 03870

Mr. Robert J. Harrison President and Chief Executive Officer Public Service Co. of New Hampshire P.O. Box 330 Manchester, NH 03105 Dr. Emmeth A. Luebke\* Administrative Judge Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission

Washington, D.C. 20555

Jo Ann Shotwell, Asst. Attorney Office of the Attorney General Environmental Protection Division One Ashburton Place, 19th Floor Boston, MA 02108

Nicholas J. Costello 1st Essex District Whitehall Road Amesbury, MA 01913

Sandra Gavutis Town of Kensington, New Hampshire RFD 1 East Kingston, NH 03827

Calvin A. Cannery, City Manager City Hall 126 Daniel Street Portsmouth, NH 03801

Roberta C. Pevear Town of Hampton Falls, New Hampshire Drinkwater Road Hampton Falls, NH 03844 Robert A. Backus, Esq. 116 Lowell Street P.O. Box 516 Manchester, NH 03105

Brian P. Cassidy Regional Counsel FEMA, Region I John W. McCormack Post Office & Courthouse Boston, MA 02109

David R. Lewis\* Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Wilfred L. Sanders, Esq. Lawrence M. Edelman, Esq. Sanders and McDermott 408 Lafayette Road Hampton, NH 03842

Thomas G. Dignan, Jr., Esq. Ropes & Gray 225 Franklin Street Boston, MA 02110

Atomic Safety and Licensing Appeal Panel\* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Jane Doughty Field Director Seacoast Anti-Pollution League 5 Market Street Portsmouth, NH 03801

Docketing and Service Section\* Office of the Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

Ruthanne G. Miller, Esq. Law Clerk to the Board Atomic Safety and Licensing Board U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555 William S. Jordan, III, Esq. Ellyn R. Weiss, Esq. Harmon & Weiss 1725 I Street, N.W. Suite 506 Washington, D.C. 20006

Phillip Ahrens, Esq. Assistant Attorney General State House Station #6 Augusta, ME 04333

Donald L. Herzberger, MD Hitchcock Hospital Hanover, NH 03755

Edward J. McDermott, Esq. Ann C. Thompson, Esq. Sanders and McDermott 408 Lafayette Road Hampton, NH 03842

Sen. Robert L. Preston State of New Hampshire Senate Concord, NH 03301

Atomic Safety and Licensing Board Panel\* U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, D.C. 20555

John B. Tanzer Town of Hampton, New Hampshire 5 Morningside Drive Hampton, NH 03842

Letty Hett Town of Brentwood RFD Dalton Road Brentwood, NH 03833

Patrick J. McKeon Chairman of Selectmen, Rye, New Hampshire 10 Central Road Rye, NH 03870

eputy Assistant Chief Hearing Counsel