

PECO Energy Company Limerick Generating Station PO Box 2300 Sanatoga, PA 19464-0920 215 327 1200 Ext. 2000

10CFR50.73

May 19, 1994 Docket No. 50-353 License No. NPF-85

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Attn: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

SUBJECT: <u>Licensee Event Report</u> <u>Limerick Generating Station - Unit 2</u>

This LER reports the inadvertent automatic closure of several Primary Containment Isolation Valves, Engineered Safety Feature actuations, due to a loss of power to the isolation logic circuit. The fuse blew due to a random failure of a trip unit circuit card component.

Reference:
Report Number:
Revision Number:
Event Date:
Report Date:
Facility:

Docket No. 50-353 2-94-004 00 April 22, 1994 May 19, 1994 Limerick Generating Station P.O. Box 2300, Sanatoga, PA 19464-2300

This LER is being submitted pursuant to the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv).

Very truly yours,

DBN: cah

cc: T. T. Martin, Administrator Region I, USNRC
N. S. Perry, USNRC Senior Resident Inspector, LGS

270042

17500

| NAC Form (9-83) | 366 | | | | | | Cary month | | | | LIC | ENS | SEE | E E | VE | NTI | REP | ORT (| L | ER) | | U | i.S. N | AP | PRO | VED | | NO | | MAMIS 2-010M | |
|--------------------|------------------|-------------|-----------|------------------------|---------------------|--------|--------------|------------------|---------|--------------------------------|-----------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------|-------------|------------------|----------|-----------|------------|----------------------------|--------|-------------|--------|-----------------------|----------------|---------------|------|-----|-----|-----------------|------|
| FACILITY | NAME | (1) | - | - | - | ****** | and the same | - | | - | - | | - | - | normal Name | - | | | ette-otta- | Di | ock | ET NU | MBE | R (2) | transaction of | | | 1 | , | AGE | 131 |
| | | L | ime | ric | k (| Gen | era | atin | 12 | Sta | atio | n, | Un | it | 2 | | | | | 0 | 1 | 5 0 | 10 | 10 | 1 | 31 | 5 13 | | 1 (|)F | 013 |
| TITLE (4) | | dv | ert | ent | au | ito | mat | ic | cl | 081 | ure | of | Pr | im | ary | | | | | Isolat | | | | | | | F A | ct | ua | tic | ons, |
| due | to | a | fai | 1ed | tı | rip | ut | nit | ca | rd | tha | t c | au | ise | d t | the | COL | itrol | - | ircuit : | | | | | | | | - | | | |
| EVENT DATE (3) | | | | | | | | | | | and the same of | ACILITIES INVOLVED (8) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| MONTH | MONTH DAY YEAR | | | YEAR SEQUENTIAL NUMBER | | | | | EVISION | HTHOM | TH. | DAY | AY | YEAR | R | FACILITY NAMES | | | | 0 5 0 0 0 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 12 | T | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | - | | | 0 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | u | | |
| 0 4 | 2 2 | | 9 4 | 9 | 4 | | 0 | 0 0 | - | - | 0 0 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 9 | 9 4 | | | | | | | | 0 | 1 | 5 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 1 | | |
| 000 | 5 4 Y 131/ | endenn , | | THI | SREF | PORT | 15 51 | JBMIT | TED P | URS | UANT : | TO TH | ER | EQUI | REM | ENTS | OF 10 | CFR 9: 10 | Che | ck one or more o | of the | fallow | wing) | (11) | | | | | | | |
| MODE (9) | | | 20.402(b) | | | | | 20.405(e) X 50.7 | | | | | 50,73(a)(2)(iv) | | | | 73,71(e) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| POWE | 4 | | | | 20,406(*)(1)(i) | | | | | 50.38(c)(1) 50.73(a)(2)(v | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(v) | | | | | 73.71(a) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| LEVEL 1 | | 1,00 | | | 20.405(4)(1)(ii) | | | | | | 50. | 50.38(c)(2) | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(vii) | | | | OTHER ISpecify in Abstract | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | - | | 20. | 405(a | H1)(e | 4) | | | | 50. | 736 | 112111 |) | | | | 1 | 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(A | A.1 | | | | | 266 | | | | | |
| | | | | - | 20 | 40514 | 1(1)() | e) | | | | 50. | 73(4 | 1(2)(i | 2) | | | | 1 | 50.73(a)(2)(viii)(8 | 9) | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 1 | 20.408(a)(1)(v) | | | | | 50.73(a)(2)(iii) 50.73(a)(2)(x | | | | | | 50.73(x)(2)(x) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | - | | - | - | - | | - | | | | | LICEN | SEE | CON | TACT | FOR | THIS | LER (12) | eller son | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| NAME | - | | - | - | | | - | | | - | | - | | | | | | | | | | | | all representation of | ELE | PHO | NE N | JMB | ER | | |
| 1 | 1 k | an | the | | Mai | nao | er | Ĺ, | Evn | or | ienc | 0 4 | | 202 | sm. | ent | . I.(| 28 | | | | AREA 6 1 | 000 | | 3, | 2 | 7 , | | 1 | 2 , | 0,0 |
| - | 24 - 2 | CELL | | - 1 | 2.2.56 | | | | | | | | | | | | - | | - | | | | | | k | | - | - | - | | |
| - | * | - | - | | | - | CC | MPLE | TE ON | WE L | INE FO | REAC | HC | OMPO | ONEN | TFAI | LURE | DESCRIBE | ED | IN THIS REPOR | 1 | (3) | - | - | T | and a section | | | | | |
| CAUSE | CAUSE SYSTEM COM | | | PONE | ENT MANUFAC REPORTA | | | | | | | C | AUSE | SYSTEM | | COMPONENT | MANUFAC | | | TO NPROS | | | | | | | | | | | |
| X | JI | M | RI | I B | 1D | R | 13 | 161 | 9 | Y | | | | | | | | | | 111 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | a hanne | T | - | AA | T | | | T | | | | | - | | T | | T | | | | | | | | | | |

SUPPLEMENTAL REPORT EXPECTED (14)

YES (If yes, complete EXPECTED SUBMISSION DATE)

ABSTRACT (Limit to 1400 spaces i.e. approximately lifteen single-space typewritten lines) (16)

On April 22, 1994, Main Control Room operators received annunciation of a Unit 2 half scram and a Division 1 Main Steam Isolation Valve half isolation signal. Additionally, three (3) Primary Containment Isolation Valves (PCIVs) inadvertently closed constituting an Engineered Safety Feature actuation. The three solenoid valve PCIVs are the sample valves for one of the Unit 2 Primary Containment hydrogen/oxygen analyzers. Personnel identified that a logic circuit fuse had blown resulting in the PCIV closures. Troubleshooting identified a bad trip unit circuit card in the logic circuitry associated with the fuse. The circuit card was replaced at 1721 hours, the isolation signal was reset, and the annunciators were cleared. The analyzer was then returned to normal operation. The redundant Primary Containment hydrogen/oxygen analyzer was unaffected by this event and all systems and components responded as designed following the failure of the trip unit circuit card. An electronic component failed on the trip unit circuit card causing a short circuit to ground resulting in the blown fuse. This failure has been evaluated to be a random failure with no pattern of recurrence.

MONTH

EXPECTED

DAY

YEAR

| LICENSEE EVENT | REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINU | JATION | APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 8/31/85 | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------------------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | LER NUMBER | (6) | PAGE (3) | | | | | |
| | | YEAR SEQUENTIA | AL REVISION NUMBER | | | | | | |
| Limerick Generating Station, U | nir 2 0 5 0 0 0 3 5 3 | 3 914 - 01014 | - 010 | 0 12 OF 0 13 | | | | | |

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

TEXT III more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) [17]

NAC Form 366A

Unit Conditions Prior to the E ent:

Unit 2 was in Operational Condition 1 (Power Operation) operating at 100% power. There were no structures, systems, or components out of service that contributed to this event.

Description of the Event:

On April 22, 1994, at 0345 hours, Main Control Room operators received annunciation of a Unit 2 half scram and a Division 1 Main Steam Isolation Valve half isolation signal. Additionally, three (3) Primary Containment Isolation Valves (PCIV; EIIS:ISV) inadvertently closed constituting an Engineered Safety Feature (ESF) actuation. The three solenoid valve (SV; EIIS:ASV) PCIVs were SV-057-233, 283, and 291 which are the sample valves for one of the Unit 2 Primary Containment hydrogen/oxygen analyzers (i.e., 20S206; EIIS:IK). The analyzer automatically switched to the standby mode on the loss of sample flow due to the closure of the sample valves and no immediate operator actions were needed for this event.

At 0410 hours, Operations and Instrumentation and Controls (I&C) personnel identified that a logic circuit fuse (EIIS:FU) had blown. After the blown fuse was replaced, the new fuse immediately blew also. Troubleshooting by I&C personnel identified a bad trip unit circuit card in (EIIS:RIBD) the logic circuitry associated with the fuse. The circuit card was for trip unit Pressure Indicating Switch (PIS) PIS-42-2N650A and was replaced at 1721 hours, the isolation signal was reset, and the annunciators were cleared. The analyzer was then returned to normal operation.

A four (4) hour notification was made to the NRC at 0539 hours on April 22, 1994, in accordance with 10CFR50.72(b)(2)(ii) since this event involved an ESF actuation. This report is being submitted in accordance with the requirements of 10CFR50.73(a)(2)(iv).

Analysis of the Event

The actual and potential consequences of this event are minimal and there was no release of radioactive material to the environment. All systems and components responded as designed following the failure of the circuit card. The redundant Primary Containment hydrogen/oxygen analyzer was unaffected by this event.

| LICENSEE EVENT RE | PORT (LER) TEXT CONTINU | T (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| FACILITY NAME (1) | DOCKET NUMBER (2) | Li | ER NUMBER (6) | PAGE (3) | | | | | | | |
| | | YEAR | SEQUENTIAL REVISION | | | | | | | | |
| Limerick Generating Station, Unit | 2 0 5 0 0 0 3 5 3 | 9 4 - | 0 0 4 - 0 0 | 0 3 0 0 0 3 | | | | | | | |

U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

TEXT Iff more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

NAC Form 366A

Cause of the Event:

The cause of this event was equipment failure due to a malfunction of an electronic component on the trip unit circuit card. The failed component caused a short circuit to ground that resulted in the blown fuse. The loss of power to the logic circuitry caused the SV PCIVs to automatically close. This type of equipment failure has occurred infrequently in the past 10 years at Limerick Generating Station and is considered to be a random failure. A review of the Nuclear Plant Reliability Data System (NPRDS) supports this conclusion.

Corrective Actions:

Since this equipment malfunction is a random failure, no specific corrective actions are deemed necessary.

Previous Similar Occurrences:

The previous similar failures were random failures and no pattern of recurring events exists.