MAY 1 6 1994

Docket No. 030-19354

License No. 29-20513-01

Deborah Research Institute ATTN: Joseph R. Manni Administrator Trenton Road Browns Mills, NJ 08015-1799

Dear Mr. Manni:

SUBJECT: ROUTINE INSPECTION NO. 030-19354/94-001

This letter refers to your April 18, 1994 correspondence, in response to our March 23, 1994 letter.

Thank you for informing us of the corrective and preventive actions documented in your letter. These actions will be examined during a future inspection of your licensed program.

No reply to this letter is required. Thank you for your cooperation in this matter.

Sincerely,

Original Signed By: Mohamed M. Shanbaky

Mohamed M. Shanbaky, Chief Research and Development Section Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards

CC:

Public Document Room (PDR) Nuclear Safety Information Center (NSIC) State of New Jersey

9405270161 940516 PDR ADOCK 03019354 C PDR

OFFICIAL RECORD COPY - S:\PENDING\DEBRES.RL - 04/26/94

260060

RETURN ORIGINAL TO REGION I



bcc:

Region I Docket Room (w/concurrences)

DRSS:RI Dolce/emm

4/2 /94

DRSS:RI

4/58/94

DRSS:RI

Shanbaky \$116/94

Deborah Research Institute



Trentan, Pine-Mill Road Browns Mills, New Jersey U.S.A. 08015-1739 (609) 893-1016 FAX (809) 893-2441

Joseph R. Manni

April 18, 1994

Mohamed M. Shanbaky, Chief Research and Development Section Division of Radiation Safety and Safeguards U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region I 475 Allendale Road King of Prussia, Pennsylvania 19406-1415

Routine Inspection No. 030-19354/94-001

License No.

29-20513-01

Docket No.

030-19354

Dear Mr. Sharibaky:

Thank you very much for your letter of March 23, 1994, concerning the routine inspection of the Deborah Research Institute conducted on February 22, 1994, by David Mann and Kathleen Dolce.

As required, please accept this as the "Reply to a Notice of Violation" as indicated in Appendix A of the above mentioned letter. These issues are addressed specifically to note the reason for the violation, corrective steps taken or to be taken, and dates of compliance.

VIOLATION: "Item 7 of the application dated November 28, 1986, indicates that John Bianchi, Ph.D. will be the Chief Radiation Protection Officer (RPO). Contrary to the above, between May 1990 and February 1991, John Bianchi, Ph.D. was not the Chief RPO. Specifically, John Bianchi was not employed by the licensee during this time period."

REASON: Oversight on the part of Deborah Research Institute in the time frame of May 1990, not to amend the license to delete John Bianchi, Ph.D. as RPO and add Jiang Gu, M.D., Ph.D., as the Chief RPO.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Jiang Gu, M.D., Ph.D., is listed on the current license for Deborah Research Institute as the Chief RPO. Additionally, internally three other individuals have been identified and trained to act as RPO in the absence of Dr. Gu; Dr. Xenachis, Dr. Smith, and Dr. Locke. The administration of the Institute is fully aware of the obligation to immediately inform the NRC and amend its license in the event that Dr. Gu no longer fulfills the role of Chief RPO.

9404260224 11PD

COMPLIANCE DATE: On or about February, 1991.

II) VIOLATION: "Item 10.1 (Section B, No. 12) of the application dated April 27, 1992, requires the Radiation Protection Officer (RPO) to maintain an inventory of all radioisotopes at the institution and limit the quantities of radionuclides at the institution to the amounts authorized by the license. Contrary to the above, as of February 22, 1994, the RPO did not maintain an inventory of all radioisotopes at the institution. Specifically, the RPO did not provide a total of radioactive material at the institution."

REASON: Oversight on the part of Deborah Research Institute to not adequately maintain inventory records for all radioisotopes during the time period March 1989 to October 1991.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: An inventory of all radioisotopes and the total quantity in the Institute has been maintained jointly by the RPO and the Purchasing Department of the Institute since October 1991 (Attachment 1). This manual record keeping system can be validated against actual purchase orders, receiving documents, and paid invoices. Additionally, inventories are provided by each lab that use radioisotopes to the RPO, including amounts in decay, and are maintained in the RPO'S office. Since the previous routine inspection by the NRC in March 1989, only ³⁵S, ³²P, trace amounts of ¹⁴C and ¹²⁵I, have been used. Close attention is given to the total amounts of radioisotopes possessed by the Institute to assure that at all times we remain below the maximum allowed limit. Documentation of inventories and quantities shall continue, improving whenever and however necessary, and shall remain on file at all times.

COMPLIANCE DATE: On or about October 1991.

III) VIOLATION: "Item 10.2 (Sections A and B) of the application dated April 27, 1992 and Item 10.2 (Sections A and B) of the letter dated May 5, 1993, requires individuals to be informed on the basic knowledge about radioactive materials, working around radioactive materials, the meaning of signs and labels, restricted areas, safety precautions, radiation physics, basic principles in radiation protection, measurement of radioactivity, radiation biology and comparative risk of low level radiation, radiopharmaceutical chemistry, procedure manual for handling radioactive materials and where and how to obtain assistance before starting to work with radioactive materials. Contrary to the above, as of February 22, 1994, individuals were not informed on the basic knowledge about radioactive materials, working around radioactive materials, the meaning of signs and labels, restricted areas, safety

precautions, radiation physics, basic principles in radiation protection, measurement of radioactivity, radiation biology and comparative risk of low level radiation, radiopharmaceutical chemistry, procedure manual for handling radioactive materials and where and how to obtain assistance before starting to work with radioactive materials. Specifically, a researcher working in the Molecular Biology Laboratory stated, that she never received radiation safety training prior to working with radioactive materials at the licensee's facility."

REASON: Oversight on the part of the Deborah Research Institute to adequately document radiation safety training for new employees, and on-going radiation safety training for existing employees, eventhough radiation safety training programs were routinely conducted.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Annual radiation safety training for all employees is routinely conducted at the Institute and documentation of such, including an attendance sheet, will be better maintained. These training programs are presented by a qualified individual and cover all required issues as mentioned in the violation. Employees not in attendance at the regular training session will be required to make-up the program at their earliest possible convenience or within thirty days. New employees, within their first two days of employment, and before working in any laboratory, undergo a complete radiation safety training orientation given by the RPO. The researcher referred to above that works in the Molecular Biology Laboratory was given a formal radiation training session on February 23, 1994 (Attachment 2), and the entire staff was presented with a program on March 23, 1994 (Attachment 3). All documentation will be on file in the office of the RPO.

COMPLIANCE DATE: Formal and complete compliance with radiation safety training documentation effective February 23, 1994, for all existing and new employees.

IV) VIOLATION: "Item 10.5 (Section entitled: Surveys of Laboratories Using Radioactive Materials) of applications dated April 27, 1992, November 28, 1986, and letters dated May 5, 1993 and December 8, 1992, require that a radiation survey be performed and recorded at least once a month in areas where radioactive materials are used. The RPO requires a weekly survey in laboratories using radioactive materials daily and a survey at the end of each procedure involving millicurie amounts of radionuclides. Contrary to the above, prior to November 11, 1991, the licensee did not perform nor record radiation surveys at least once a month in areas where radioactive materials are used. Specifically, between March 1989 and November 1991, surveys of laboratories where RAM was used were not performed on a monthly basis as required."

REASON: Oversight on the part of the Deborah Research Institute to adequately perform wipe tests and complete monthly surveys in laboratories where radioactive materials were used between March 1989 and November 1991.

CORRECTIVE ACTION: Laboratory surveys, including wipe tests, are performed on a monthly or weekly basis as indicated by radioactive materials usage. This program is enforced and maintained by the RPO and on file in his office and in each individual laboratory.

COMPLIANCE DATE: On or about January 1992.

We hope that our actions and responses satisfy and abate the violations noted by your inspection. We greatly appreciate the time and information Mr. Mann and Ms. Dolce afforded us. Their comments and concerns have made it possible for us to better our radioisotope program and ensure the safety of our employees and our community. We take our involvement with radioactive materials and our responsibility of holding an NRC license very seriously. A Radiation Consultant, Diana Stockdale, M.S., is on an annual retainer with our facility to help guide us through the requirements and regulations.

Once again, thank you. If there are any questions regarding the above please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

Joseph R. Manni Administrator

cc: Jiang Gu, M.D., Ph.D., Radiation Protection Officer Deborah Research Institute

> U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, DC 20555

Enclosures

強性には

P6635 P6556 P659 P6639 P6657 P6655	10/22	S35 S35 RIK- RIK-	DATP DATP 9103	250 WC 1 250 WC 1
			190	il

ho valle isotope was punchered between March 1989 to October 1991 exopt have amount (1.5 u Ci) of 125 I como with the RIA Kit and have amount of 14C.

P.D.	Date	Item .	Vendor.	Qty.	Dept.
		DATP 535			400
6744	_ VID !	ATP 932			
b824	2/24	DATP S35		11	11
6864	2/25	DATP S35			
6796	1/29	RIK 9103 II	25	2×1.5 ucl	300
6748	1/13	RIK 9103_ II	25	1x1,5 uci	300
6917_	417	DATP S35	Amersham	250uci	400
6974	4/8	DATP S35		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
6974	4/8	ATP 1932	10	11	
7101_	5/14	ATP P32	- 11	250uci	
7101	5/19	RPA.509 I 12	5	}.003 mei	200 Tan
7101	5/19	RPA. 525 I 125	j	J 1005 III.E.	200 Tan_
7120	5/27	DATP S35		250 ucl	400
7235	7/15	DATP S35	0	250 uc1	n
1 7 344	8/27	ATP P32		250 ucl	A
7463	10/8	DATP_S35		250uzi	
7463	10/8	ATP_P32		250 uci	1)
7485	1915	ATP P32		250 uci	
7514	1d27	ATP_P32		500 uci	M
7533	11/4	Carbon 14 L-Pro	line 11	250 uci	300
7.663	12/11	YATP P32	11	250 ucl	400
7687	12/14.	DATP 8-35) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1	250 uci	400
7945	2/19/9	3 DATE S-35	lt	250 uci	400
- 799L	3/4/4	3 DCTP_P-32	h	250.ucl	400
8072	3/25/9	3 NEK-CO1 3-35	NED	5.me/	200 marius
8182	4/39/9.	3. PB10218 P-32	_ Amersham	250 Wel	400
8191	4/20/93	\$51304 S-35		250 uci	400
8166	463/63	PB10207 P32		250 uci	Goldenthal
8099	100	PB10218 P32	,	250uci	400
8099	45A3	ST1304 S35	1)	250 uci	400

	P.O.	Date :	í.	1.		7
	1.0	JUIC .	Item.	Vendor	Qty	Dept.
		12/11/42 P		Hinersham	250 uci	400
-			32 ATP	1	25 UCI	400
				Assay "	y loo nei	200 Tan
-			\$35		250 uci	400
			335	fromega Biolec	10 uci No	sotope in Kitoo
-			32 DCTP	Amersham	250 uci	400
-			35 DATP	Amersham	250 W1	400
1			32 ATP9		20Suci	500 marius
-			335 DATP	(1	250 uci	400
1			32 DCTP	Jr	250 uci	400
-			32 DCTP		250 uei	400
1	8672 9	110/43 P3	32 DCTP		250 401	400
1			2 DCTP	li .	250 uci	400
-			2 ATP	0	250 uci	500 marine
-			a DCTP	h	250 uci	400
1			5 DATP		250 uci	400
	\$350 10/2	25/43 P3	2 DCTP	11	250 uci	400
-	P 8904 11,	1943 P3	a DCTP.	h	250uci	500
		11/93 53	35 DATP	11	250 uci	400
-	8989 12	41/13 P3	2 ATP		250 uci	500
	9008 12	18/93 S3	5 DATP	6	250 uci	400
-	9015 12	10/93 32	P DCTP	4	250 uc;	400
	9077 121	18/93 S3	5 DATP	54	250 uci	400
	7091_12/2				250 uc	400
			2 ATP		250aci	500 Marine
1	9186 1/19	94 CK	4 Chloramp	renicol "	50 uci	400
1	9200_1/20	194 P32	ATP	Λ	250 wc1	500 minus
(9220 1/27	194 P32	ATP		250 ucl	500 Maries
	9280 V14	14. P32	ATP	T)	250 uci	500 mains
-						

1994

9327 2/24 9327 2/24 9360 3/4 9442 3/23 9448 3/24 9459 3/25 " "}	P32 P32 P32 P32 P32 ATP } P32 DOTP}	Vendor Amersham	250 uci 250 uci 250 uci 250 uci 250 uci	500 " 400 Judy 400 " 500 main 500 main
	17x MIT		250uei	500 "

Deborah Research Center Inventory of Radioactive Waste Freezer

Date: September 14, 1993

Container Number	Radionuclide	Activity	Date Placed in Storage	Total Activity In Container
Α	Urinal Acetate	5%		Unknown
В	H-3	25 uCi 10 uCi		35 uCi
C	C-14	10 uCi 12 uCi 10 uCi 10 uCi 10 uCi 10 uCi 20 uCi	7/29/93 10/18/92 11/18/92 11/13/92 6/15/92 7/27/92 9/14/92	82 uCi
D	S-35	500 uCi 500 uCi	2/18/92 11/21/91	1000 uCi
E	S-35	1 uCi 10 uCi 350 uCi 500 uCi	10/5/92 7/12/90 4/24/92 9/14/92	861 uCi
F	C-14	50 uCi	4/26/93	50 uCi
G	P-32	300 uCi 150 uCi 250 uCi 300 uCi 300 uCi	6/30/93 11/21/92 4/24/92 9/14/93	1300 uCi
Н	I-125	35 uCi	4/24/93	35 uCi

DEBORAH RESEARCH INSTITUTE Browns Mills, N.J. 08015-1799

MEMORANDUM

TO:

Candyce I. Smith, Ph.D., Research Associate

FROM:

Jiang Gu, M.D., Ph.D., Chairman, Scientific Affairs

DATE:

February 23, 1994

SUBJECT: One on One Radiation Safety Inservice

This is to summarize the one on one radiation safety inservice that we had this morning. During the inservice, we went through our radiation safety protocol and discussed different steps to carry out the program relevant to Molecular Biology. We had a question and answer session and a number of points have been clarified.

I sent you a memo on January 26, 1994, indicating that the GM counter in your lab needed to be repaired or replaced immediately. You changed the battery of the counter but this meter was still not working properly. This was pointed out as a concern by the NRC inspectors during their inspection. I have removed the geiger counter from your lab and am making arrangements to buy a new one. Meanwhile, you may borrow the one in Biochemistry but they will have priority in using it. The plastic container that you used to collect the liquid waste from your gel apparatus was not secured. This was another concern of the NRC inspectors. You should change that to a carboy or something that is much more stable and secure so that there is no chance it will be knocked over accidentally.

We also went over waste disposal procedures, record keeping, wearing of ring badge, estimation of radioactivity in waste, etc. You indicated that all the procedures described in our radiation safety protocol were clear to you and you had no further questions. You have been stopped from using radioisotopes until the requirement for securing the collecting container is met. Because you missed the Radiation Safety Inservice given by Ms. Diana Stockdale in 1993, this morning's session will be regarded as a makeup session for that safety inservice. I would be happy to discuss any questions or concerns that you may have in the future concerning radiation safety at DRI. Your signature on this memo will indicate that you attended this radiation safety inservice and agree with the content of this memo. Thank you for your cooperation.

Candyce I. Smith, Ph.D

DEBORAH RESEARCH INSTITUTE GENERAL LAB MEETING Attendance List

March 23, 1994: Radiation Safety Inservice, Diana Stockdale, M.S. (Manditory for all Staff) and Richard E. Klabunde, Ph.D., Associate Director, Meeting Report - IBC's 3rd Symposium on Nitric Oxide. Advances in Therapeutic Application & Commercial Development

	Commercial Development
	Present: (please sign)
	HIVOCKET CARD
	(Rehall Alek de
	Sicul Colly
	Dryle England
	GReddei
	There Kara
	Canago duto
	Heere transmal
	Long Ketter
	Aleces Stewart
	CD10)00
	The luper
	'Al Mora
	Tamathy Janus,
	Signik Marrist
	I maggie Feeld
ì	Custind () Xena : is
	sured thereo.
	Gorage Jacobson
4	The street Karhien
	OXDEMAN, TORAZOHA
100	Harm Hance
*	Marin Lock
	the file with the total the second
4	
*	
-	
-	
-	
-	