

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT

CONTROL BLOCK: (PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE ALL REQUIRED INFORMATION)

01 | G A E I H 1 | 2 | 0 0 - 0 0 0 0 0 0 - 0 0 | 3 | 4 1 1 1 1 | 4 | | 5

CON'T
01 | L | 6 | 0 5 0 0 0 3 2 1 | 7 | 0 2 0 4 8 3 | 8 | 0 3 0 3 8 3 | 9

EVENT DESCRIPTION AND PROBABLE CONSEQUENCES 10

02 | On 2/4/83 while reviewing surveillance data, personnel found that "RWCU |
03 | SYSTEM DIFF. FLOW INSTRUMENT F.T.&C." surveillance had not been perform- |
04 | ed in September 1982 (Tech. Specs. Table 4.2-1, Item 8 requires that |
05 | this surveillance be performed monthly). Plant operation was not affect- |
06 | ed by this event. The health and safety of the public were not |
07 | affected by this non-repetitive event. |

08 | _____ | 80

09 | C G | 11 | Z | 12 | X | 13 | X X X X X X | 14 | Z | 15 | Z | 16

17 | 8 3 | 21 22 | - | 23 | 0 1 5 | 24 26 | / | 27 | 0 3 | 28 29 | L | 30 | - | 31 | 0 | 32

18 | H | 18 | X | 19 | Z | 20 | Z | 21 | 0 0 0 0 | 33 37 | Y | 23 | N | 24 | N | 25 | Z 9 9 9 | 26

CAUSE DESCRIPTION AND CORRECTIVE ACTIONS 27

10 | The cause of this event was attributed to personnel error. Personnel en- |
11 | tered surveillance data twice into computer for August requirements. The |
12 | computer accepted this data as surveillance requirements for August and |
13 | September, advancing next surveillance due date to October. Responsible |
14 | personnel were counseled and computer program is being modified. |

15 | G | 28 | 0 0 0 | 29 | NA | 30 | A | 31 | Personnel Observation | 32

16 | Z | 33 | Z | 34 | NA | 35 | NA | 36

17 | 0 0 0 | 37 | Z | 38 | NA | 39

18 | 0 0 0 | 40 | NA | 41

19 | Z | 42 | NA | 43

20 | N | 44 | NA | 45 | 8303160445 830303 PDR ADOCK 05000321 S PDR | NRC USE ONLY | (912) 367-7851

NAME OF PREPARER: S. B. Tipps

PHONE: (912) 367-7851

SPO 817-926

LER No.: 50-321/1983-015
Licensee: Georgia Power Company
Facility: Edwin I. Hatch
Docket #: 50-321

Narrative Report
for LER 50-321/1983-015

On February 4, 1983, during a review of surveillance completion dates, site personnel found that the "RWCU SYSTEM DIFF. INSTRUMENT F.T.&C." Procedure had not been performed in September, 1982 (Tech. Specs. Table 4.2-1, item 8 requires monthly functional test). Plant operation was not affected by this event. The health and safety of the public were not affected by this non-repetitive event.

The cause of this event was personnel error during entry of surveillance completion dates as detailed below:

1. The surveillance had a "due date" of August 11, 1982, and a "latest possible date" of August 18, 1982 ("Due Date plus 7-day grace period).
2. When it was noted that the procedure had not been performed by August 18, site personnel erroneously entered a "missed" date of August 18, 1982, into the surveillance program.
3. Following the entry of number 2, another entry was made. This entry stated that the surveillance procedure had been performed on August 19 (one day late as reported in LER 50-321/1982-079).
4. The computer accepted the first entry as the surveillance requirement for August (even though it was not a true performance date) and the second entry as the requirement for September (even though it was the correct, but late, date for August).
5. The computer then scheduled the next surveillance due date as October 11, 1982. Thus, the test was neither scheduled for or performed in September, 1982.

Immediate corrective action consisted of verifying the correct current status of the procedure and counseling of the responsible individual as to the importance of entering the correct performance dates into the computer.

Additionally, a change is being pursued for the computer program. This change would cause the program to flag the operator if the completion date input does not meet specified requirements before it will accept the date (For example, if the completion date is outside the allowed grace period - thus the entry made in number 3 would have been flagged because August 19 is outside of the ± 7 day grace period for September 11).