Carolina Power & Light Company

Brunswick Nuclear Plant P.O. Box 10429 Southport, NC 28461-0429 MAY 2 3 1994

> SERIAL: BSEP-94-0188 10CFR50.73

> > JE32

U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Washington, D. C. 20555

BRUNSWICK NUCLEAR PLANT UNIT 2 DOCKET NO. 50-324/LICENSE NO. DRP-62 LICENSEE EVENT REPORT 2-94-006

Gentlemen:

In accordance with the Code of Federal Regulations, Title 10, Part 50.73, Carolina Power & Light Company submits the enclosed Licensee Event Report. This report fulfills the requirement for a written report within thirty (30) days of a reportable occurrence and is submitted in accordance with the format set forth in NUREG-1022, September 1983.

Please refer any questions regarding this submittal to Mr. M. A. Turkal at (910) 457-3066.

Very truly yours,

J. Cowan, Director-Site Operations Brunswick Nuclear Plant

SFT/

Enclosures

- 1. Licensee Event Report
- 2. Summary of Commitments

Mr. S. D. Ebneter, Regional Administrator, Region II
Mr. P. D. Milano, NRR Project Manager - Brunswick Units 1 and 2
Mr. R. L. Prevatte, Brunswick NRC Senior Resident Inspector

9405260229 940523 PDR ADDCK 05000324 S PDR

# Enclosure List of Regulatory Commitments

The following table identifies those actions committed to by Carolina Power & Light Company in this document. Any other actions discussed in the submittal represent intended or planned actions by Carolina Power & Light Company. They are described to the NRC for the NRC's information and are not regulatory commitments. Please notify the Manager-Regulatory Affairs at the Brunswick Nuclear Plant of any questions regarding this document or any associated regulatory commitments.

Commitment	Committed date or outage
1.NONE	

NRC FOI (5/92)	•	LICE	NSEE E	U.S. NU				YC	OMMISS	ION	INFO COM AND REG THE	ORMATIC MMENTS D RECOR SULATOR E PAPER	APPROVED ( EXPIR BURDEN PER DN COLLECTION REGARDING BUR DS MANAGEMENT Y COMMISSION, N WORK REDUCTION NT AND BUDGET,	RESP RESP REC DEN BRA NASH	5/31/95 ONSE TO DUEST: 50. ESTIMATE 1 NCH (MNBB HINGTON, DO ROJECT (31	COMPL 0 HRS 10 THE 7714). 20555 50-010	Y W INFO U.S. -000 4), 0	ORWARD RMATION NUCLEAR
FACILITY N Brui		Stea	m Elect	ric Plant	., U	nit :	2				DOG	CKET NUI	MBER (2) 0500032	24		P	AGE (	a) of 5
TITLE (4) PEN	ETRATI	ON LE	AKAGE I	N EXCESS	OF	TECHN	VICAL	SF	PECIFI	CATI	ON	ALLO	OWABLE LI	MIT	rs	eren Alberta		
EVE	NT DATE	(5)	1	LER NUMBER	(6)		R	EPC	ORT DATE	: (7)		[	OTHER FAC	CILIT	IES INVO	LVED	(8)	
MONTH	DAY	YEAR	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER		EVISION UMBER	MON	тн	DAY YEA		R	FACILITY NAME		_	DOCKET N			BER
04	21	94	94	- 06 -		00	05 23 94					FACILITY NAME			C	OCKET		BER
OPERA	TING		THIS REP	ORT IS SUBMIT	TED P	URSUAN	NT TO TH	E R	EQUIREME	NTS O	F 10	O CFR §	: (Check one or	more	e of the fol	lowing	)(11)	
MODE		4	20.4	02(b)			20.405(	;)		T		50.73	(a)(2)(iv)		73	.71(5)		
POW	ER		.20,4	05(a)(1)(i)			50.36(c)	(1)			X	50.73	(a)(2)(v)		73	.71(c)		
i.EVEL	(10)	0	20.4	05(a)(1)(ii)			50.36(c)	(2)				50.73	(a)(2)(vii)		01	OTHER		
			20.4	05(a)(1)(iii)			50.73(a)	(2)(i	)			50.73	(a)(2)(viii)(A)		(S)	pecify	in Ab	stract
20.405(a)(1)(iv) 20.405(a)(1)(v)			05(a)(1)(iv)		50.73(a)(2)(ii)					50.73(a)(2)(viii)(B)			and Text)					
			05(a)(1)(v)	50.73(a)(2)(iii)						50.73(a)(2)(x)								
					LICE	NSEE C	ONTAC	TF	OR THIS	LER (	12)			and the second				
NAME Steve	e F. T	abor,	Regula	tory Affa	irs	Spec	ialis	t				TELEPH	IONE NUMBER	))	457-21	78		
			COMPLETE	ONE LINE FO	REA	сн со	MPONEN	T	FAILURE	DESC	RIB	ED IN 1	THIS REPORT	(13)				
CAUSE	SYSTEM	COM	UPONENT	MANUFACTU	RER	REPORT TO NE	P.000		CAUSE	SYST	TEM	c	OMPONENT	м	ANUFACTU	RER		ORTAULE NPRDS
Х	JM		ISV	A391		Y	<u> </u>	-		_								
		1	n rini and stars acced									<u></u>		-	National Action in the Party of the Party	-		
			SUPPLEM	ENTAL REPOI	RTEX	PECTE	D (14)						EXPECTED SUBMISSION		MONTH	DA	Y	YEAR
	ES I yes, compl	ete EXPECT	ED SUBMISSIO	IN DATE	Х	NO							DATE (15)				1	
On Ap of th valve resul Conse the T The R Darli attri mecha two p	ril 21 e Reac s had ts, su quent1 echnic wCU su ng. T buted nism w ost ma	tor W been bsequ y, th cal Sp totion The fa to no vas pr inten	4, duri ater Cl perform ent tes ecifica line i ilure o n-unifo eviousl ance le	eanup (Ri ed. Alth ting ind: 11 test v tion for solation f the val rm contac y documen akage tes	NCU) NCU) Noug icat vas all val lves to nted	2 B2: syst h the ed th cons: owab ves a to r f the in h perfo	11R1 n tem su e init hat th idered le pr: are do minim: e valu LER 1- ormed	ref lict tia ima ima sul ize ve -92	fuel o tion 1 al LLR valve a fail ary co ole-di e leak upper 2-023. Both	utag ine T of s wo ure ntai sc g age and Th post	in in in nm wi l l i m	board he vi d not that ent thin eval thin ower valve	al leak r d and out alves obt t pressur t the lim leakage w lves manu the allo wedges. e wedges enance le lowable l	boa ain ize ere fac wal Th was	ard is ned ac e. s esta e exce ctured ble lin his fa re rep age te	olat cept blis eded by mits ilur lace	ior abl hed And is ed a	te i in thor and

The failure of both of the RWCU suction line isolation valves represents a potential loss of the primary containment isolation capability for that penetration had a design basis accident occurred. Previous similar events were reported in LERs 1-91-016 and 1-92-023.

The cause classification of this event per the criteria of NUREG-1022 is B, failures reasonably attributed to the manufacture of a component.

NRC FORM 366A (5/92) \*

#### U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### APPROVED OMB NO. 315J-C104 EXPIRES: 5/31/95

· LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MN8B 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COM ISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20565-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)		
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant	05000324	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	2 of 5
Unit 2		94	- 06 -	00	2 01 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

#### TITLE

PENETRATION LEAMAGE IN EXCESS OF TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION ALLOWABLE LIMITS

#### INITIAL CONDITIONS

On April 21, 1994, the Unit 2 reactor was shutdown in day 27 of refueling outage B211R1. Local leak rate testing (LLRT) of the Reactor Water Clean Up (RWCU) system suction line inboard and outboard isolation valves had been performed to satisfy Technical Specification primary containment leakage rate surveillance requirements. Technical Specification 3.6.1.2.b requires that the overall primary containment leakage rate be limited to less than or equal to 0.60  $L_a$  for primary containment isolation valves and associated penetrations when pressurized to  $P_a$ , 49 psig.

#### EVENT NARRATIVE

On April 20, 1994, leakage testing of the RWCU system suction line inboard and outboard isolation valves commenced. To ensure repeatability as committed in LER 1-92-023, the performance of two leakage tests on each of the valves was planned. The initial test data indicated that the associated penetration leakage rate was well within acceptable limits. The outboard valve passed an initial leak rate test with a measured leakage of 0.51 scfh demonstrating that the valve sealing surfaces were acceptable. The initial leak rate of the inboard valve was approximately 8 scfh indicating that no significant degradation of the valve's sealing surfaces existed.

A second leakage rate test was performed on each valve prior to the performance of maintenance on the valves. In each of the second tests the valves failed to pressurize. A third leakage test was performed on each of the valves. During the third tests the valves again failed to pressurize. The results of the second and third tests indicate that the valves ware not capable of repeatedly controlling primary containment leakage. This event is being reported in accordance with the requirements of 10CFR50.73 (a) (2) (v) in that the leakage of the RWCU suction line isolation valves in excess of the overall limits established by Technical Specification represents a condition that alone could have prevented the fulfillment of the primary containment isolation system to control the release of radioactive material. As discussed below, however, the safety significance of this event is considered minimal.

#### CAUSE OF EVENT

The failure of the RWCU suction line isolation valves to repeatedly control leakage within the allowable limits is attributed to non-uniform contact of the valves' upper and lower wedges. This failure mechanism was previously documented in LER 1-92-023.

# CORRECTIVE ACTIONS

The RWCU suction line isolation valves which failed to meet the LLRT requirements contained wedges with hand-ground mating surfaces. Matched wedges with machined mating surfaces were installed in both of the RWCU suction line isolation valves. Following replacement of the wedges two post maintenance leakage tests were performed. Both post maintenance leakage tests on each valve verified that leakage rates were well within the allowable limits.

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

## APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 5/31/95

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20565-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

	MANAGEME	NT AND BUDGET, WASH	HINGTON, DC 20	603.	
FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)		PAGE (3)		
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant	05000324	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	3 of 5
Unit 2	03000324	94	- 06 -	00	5 01 5

TEXI (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

TEXT CONTINUATION

#### SAFETY ASSESSMENT

NRC FORM 366A

(5/92)

The LLRT failure of the RWCU suction line isolation valves resulted in a leakage in excess of the overall limits established in the Technical Specification. An analysis was performed to determine the safety significance of this condition during the High Energy Line Break (HELB) and the Loss Of Coolant Accident (LOCA) scenarios. The following provides the results of this analysis:

#### HELB:

The RWCU suction line isolation values are designed to close in response to a sensed break in the downstream piping. Although the values exhibited significant leakage during LLRTs, it is expected that they would have closed and been capable of sealing in response to a line break. Subsequent value inspection indicates that the value seats were in acceptable condition and the high differential pressure expected during a HELB would have provided adequate seating force on the discs. The reasons for this conclusion are as follows:

## Seat Condition:

The outboard valve passed an initial leak rate test with a measured leakage of 0.51 scfh demonstrating that the valve sealing surfaces were acceptable. The initial leak rate of the inboard valve was approximately 8 scfh indicating that no significant degradation of the valve's sealing surfaces existed. When the valves were disassembled for repair the "as found" seat conditions were determined to be acceptable.

## Sealing Performance:

The RWCU suction line isolation valves are Anchor/Darling double disc gate valves. As documented in LER 1-92-023 there are concerns about the seating/sealing repeatability of these type valves. The concerns are attributed to variations in wedge position and seating force when the valves are closed under zero pressure conditions. Sealing problems are not expected when high differential pressure is applied across the valves because significant additional force is applied to the valve disc.

Based upon this, the leakage rate identified during LLRT of the RWCU suction line isolation valves is not considered safety-significant during a High Energy Line Break of the RWCU piping.

## LOCA:

During a LOCA, the RWCU isolation values may be required to close against low differential pressure. In this case, if both values had failed to seat, they would have been subject to a potential leak rate in excess of 1,000 scfh. A review of the potential leakage paths determined that RWCU flow is normally returned to the Reactor Vessel via the Feedwater System and may also be directed to the Main Condenser or the Radwaste System. The other potential leak path is through failures or component leaks in the RWCU system. Each potential path was evaluated to determine its safety significance.

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

#### APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 5/31/95

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714), U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3160-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER) TEXT CONTINUATION

FACILITY NAME (1)		PAGE (3)			
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant	05000324	YEAR	YEAR SEQUENTIAL REVISION NUMBER NUMBER		
Unit 2		94	- 06 -	00	1 01 5

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

#### Piping & Component Leaks

NRC FORM 366A

(5/92)

The RWCU equipment outboard of 2-G31-F004 is not safety-related. However, it normally operates at pressures in excess of 1,000 psig and is unlikely to fail when system pressure is reduced to 49 psig or less, assuming that the postulated LOCA does not occur concurrently with a seismic event. BNP is not required to be designed to withstand this combination of events, so the assumption is valid.

It should also be noted that, if both valves had failed to seat and post-accident leakage had been released through RWCU components into the secondary containment, flow would have been less than 1% of the Standby Gas Treatment System capacity. Therefore, component leakage or post-LOCA failures and subsequent leakage to the secondary containment are not considered to be significant safety concerns.

#### Normal Return Path

The primary RWCU return path is through the "B" Feedwater line to the Reactor Vessel. According to ISI test results, the outboard Feedwater isolation valve passed LLRT. Consequently, leakage to the Feedwater System via the RWCU System could not have exceeded allowable limits and is not a safety concern. However, because the RWCU reject to main condenser isolation valves are not subject to the requirements of Appendix J leak testing, a potential leak path to the environment existed. For primary containment isolation valve leakage to reach the condenser after an accident, the leakage would first have to displace the water in the RWCU system. Since the water leakage rate to the condenser is believed to be low at a system pressure of approximately 1100 psig, leakage at an accident pressure of 49 psig is expected to be much lower. Although not quantified, the time delay before containment leakage would have reached the condenser is believed to be significant. As such, the safety significance of this event is considered minimal.

#### Main Condenser & Radwaste Return Paths

During infrequent operations, RWCU can be lined up to reject water to the Main Condenser or the Radwaste System. Either of these flow paths, had they been open at the initiation of a LOCA, had the potential to release RWCU leakage outside the primary and secondary containments. The Main Condenser has been previously analyzed to be a known potential leakage path to the environment. The offsite dose effects of 1,128 scfh leakage to the condenser were evaluated by General Electric and documented in letter OG92-079-09, dated January 28, 1992. This document specified that leakage above 1,128 scfh could result in an offsite dose in excess of 10CFR100 limits. Since the potential leakage through the RWCU suction line isolation valves is estimated to have been in excess of 1,000 scfh, the potential existed to exceed 10CFR100 limits had both of the valves failed to properly seat while RWCU was operating in either the Condenser Blowdown or Radwaste Reject modes concurrent with a design basis LOCA. Although not quantified, the probability of occurrence of this sequence of events is believed to be extremely low. Therefore, a potential leak path in excess of the allowable offsite dose limits existed but due to the low frequency of operation in modes that could have permitted high post-LOCA leak rates, the safety significance of this event is considered minimal. NRC FORM 366A (5/92)

# U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

# LICENSEE EVENT REPORT (LER)

# TEXT CONTINUATION

# APPROVED OMB NO. 3150-0104 EXPIRES: 5/31/95

ESTIMATED BURDEN PER RESPONSE TO COMPLY WITH THIS INFORMATION COLLECTION REQUEST: 50.0 HRS. FORWARD COMMENTS REGARDING BURDEN ESTIMATE TO THE INFORMATION AND RECORDS MANAGEMENT BRANCH (MNBB 7714). U.S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION, WASHINGTON, DC 20555-0001, AND TO THE PAPERWORK REDUCTION PROJECT (3150-0104), OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET, WASHINGTON, DC 20503.

FACILITY NAME (1)	DOCKET NUMBER (2)	and a second	PAGE (3)		
Brunswick Steam Electric Plant	050(0324	YEAR	SEQUENTIAL NUMBER	REVISION NUMBER	5 of 5
Unit 2	05060324	94	- 06 -	00	

TEXT (If more space is required, use additional NRC Form 366A's) (17)

## PREVIOUS SIMILAR EVENTS

Previous similar events were reported in LERs 1-91-016 and 1-92-023.

# EIIS COMPONENT IDENTIFICATION

System/Component

# EIIS Code

JM ISV

4

Primary Containment Isolation System Isolation Valve