AUG 2 1 1979

DISTRIBUITON: Central File NRR Rdg. FIle -M. Groff DSS:MEB RDg. FIel

3

MEMORANDUM FOR: P. T. Kuo, Group, Leader, Seismic Review Group, DOR

22

FROM: P. Y. Chen, Seismic Review Group, DOR

SUBJECT: DRESDEN-2 SEISMIC EVALUATION REPORT

Reference: Memo from P. Y. Chen to Charles Hofmayer "Comments on Chapter 6 of the Dresden-2 Seismic Emaluation Report" dated July 5, 1979

In response to your request, I have breefly summarized a few items of interest from the telephone conversation between Drs. Newmark and Sall and NRC personnel on August 2, 1979.

Participants in the telephone couversation were:

NRC - C. Hofmayer, Howard Levin, T. Cheng, K. Jabbour, P. Y. Chen

Consultants - N. M. Newmark, W. J. Hall

- Dr. Newmark opened the conversation by adknowledging receipt of comments from P. Y. Chen (above reference) and from other NRC personnel.
- 2. Dr. Newmark stated that the original idea on the SEP program was to have SSRT going through the plant, examining structures, and equipment, and then write a 5 to 6 page SER to assess the plant seismic adequacy. Therefore it was not intended to review every aspect of the structures and equipment.
- 3. With respect to my comment No. 5 of the above reference on the subject, Drs. Newmark and Hall decided to add the attached Section 4 to the end of the Chapter 1 Section entitited "Concluding Evaluation and Assessment". In the added statement DRs. Newmark and Hall pointed out that the functional reliability of electrical equipment and, to a lesser degree, that of mechanical equipment are among the most difficult items to evaluate. However, the SSRT group believe that the equipment will fismain functional undderthe design hazard. They further stated that this conclusion is predicated upon the considerations that there are degrees of redundancy in safety systems to avoid dependence on any one system, and on the premise that a comprehensive equipment maintenance program is carried out.

7909280656 XA

2,2

P. T. Kuo

I feel the above conclusion was that of SSRT Group's. The question of whether the staff should do more work in the future or not, needs to be discussed further.

- 2 -

France States

4. With regard to my comment No. 3 of the above reference on the use of 7 percent damping for <u>all</u> mechanical and electrical equipment. Dr. Newmark felt that the equipment in the Dresden-2 facility is adequate to resist an earthquake with an SSE values of 0.20 g. However, he agreed to review the use of 7 percent damping for the future SEP plants.

I still feel the use of 7 percent damping for <u>all</u> mechanical and electrical equipment evaluation is questionable. The question of whether we are going to continue to see this number for future SEP plants needs to be discussed further.

> P. Y. Chen Seismic Review Group Division of Operating Reactors

cc: D. Elsenhut

- L. Shao
- R. Vollmer
- D. Crutchfield
- V. Noonan
- C. Hofmayer
- T. Chang
- H. Levin
- K. Jabbour

H. Lee ATTACHMENT

OFFICE	DOR:SEPB	1		
	PYChen: jb			and the second second second second
DATE	79/ إد/8			

NRC FORM 318 (9-76) NRCM 0240

TO US &. GOVERNMENT PRINTING OFFICE: 1976 - 626-624