OPERATING DATA REPORT

Notes

DOCKET NO.	50-368
DATE	2/15/83
COMPLETED BY	L.S.Bramlett
TELEPHONE	501-964-3145

OPERATING STATUS

Unit Name	Arkansas	Nuclear	r One -	Unit 2	

2. Reporting Period: _____ January 1-31, 1983

3. Licensed Thermal Power (MWt): ______2815 _____

4. Nameplate Rating (Gross MWe): 942.57

5. Design Electrical Rating (Net MWe): ____912

6. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Gross MWe): _____897

7. Maximum Dependable Capacity (Net MWe): _____858

8. If Changes Occur in Capacity Ratings (Items Number 3 Through 7) Since Last Report. Give Reasons:

9. Power Level To Which Restricted. If Any (Net MWe): _____NONE

10. Reasons For Restrictions. If Any: _

Yr.-to-Date Cumulative This Month 744.0 744.0 25,008.0 11. Hours In Reporting Period 198.5 198.5 16,354.7 12. Number Of Hours Reactor Was Critical 1,384.1 45.6 45.6 13. Reactor Reserve Shutdown Hours 141.6 141.6 15,710.3 14. Hours Generator On-Line 0.0 75.0 0.0 15. Unit Reserve Shutdown Hours 387,118.0 38,638,179.0 387,118.0 16. Gross Thermal Energy Generated (MWH) 124,146.0 12,499,450.0 124,146.0 17. Gross Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) 11.836.067. 118.323. 118.323. 18. Net Electrical Energy Generated (MWH) 62.8 19.0 19.0 19. Unit Service Factor 19.0 63.1 19.0 20. Unit Availability Factor 18.5 55.2 18.5 21. Unit Capacity Factor (Using MDC Net) 51.9 17.4 17.4 22. Unit Capacity Factor (Using DER Net) 23.0 81.0 81.0 23. Unit Forced Outage Rate

24. Shutdowns Scheduled Over Next 6 Months (Type, Date, and Duration of Each):

NONE

25. If Shut Down At End Of Report Period, Estimated Date of Startup:	February	2, 1983
26. Units In Test Status (Prior to Commercial Operation):	Forecast	Achieved
INITIAL CRITICALITY		
INITIAL ELECTRICITY	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
COMMERCIAL OPERATION		



AVERAGE DAILY UNIT POWER LEVEL

DOCKET NO. <u>50-368</u> UNIT <u>2</u> DATE <u>2/15/83</u> COMPLETED BY L.S. Bramlett TELEPHONE <u>501-964-3145</u>

MONTH January, 1983

AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)	DAY	AVERAGE DAILY POWER LEVEL (MWe-Net)
862	17	0
860	18	00
856	19	0
845	20	0
859	21	0
649	22	0
0	23	0
0	24	0
0	25	0
0	26	0
0	27	0
0	28	0
0	29	0
0	30	0
0	31	0
0		

INSTRUCTIONS

On this format, list the average daily unit power level in MWe-Net for each day in the reporting month. Compute to the nearest whole megawatt.

NRC MONTHLY OPERATING REPORT OPERATING SUMMARY - JANUARY 1983

UNIT 2

The unit began the month at 100% full power (FP). On January 3, power was reduced to 96% FP for moderator temperature coefficient (MTC) testing. The unit was returned to 100% FP on January 4 after completion of the MTC test. On January 6, steam generator chemistry went out of specification; this incident was caused by high sodium levels. Power was reduced and the unit was taken off line to complete condenser tube plugging. On January 9, while in Mode 5, a small leak was detected in the "A" Loop Shutdown Cooling Heat Exchanger. The unit remained shutdown through the end of the month in order to find and isolate the heat exchanger tube leaks.

UNIT SHUTDOWNS AND POWER REDUCTIONS

DOCKET UNIT N. COMPLETED

DOCKETNO	50-368
UNIT NAME	ANO-Unit 2
DATE	Feb. 3, 1983
MPLETED BY	L.S. Bramlett
TELEPHONE	501-964-3145

REPORT MONTH _January

		-			Y	and the state of t			
No.	Date	Type ¹	Duration (Hours)	Reason 2	Method of Shutting Down Reactor 3	Licensee Event Report #	System Code ⁴	Component Code ⁵	Cause & Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence
83-01	830106	F	56.9	F	1	NONE	ZZ	ZZZZZZ	The unit was shutdown because of high sodium levels in the steam generators, procedurally out of specification chemistry. Corrective action taken was to plug the con- denser tubes which were leaking.
	830109	F	545.5	В	N/A	83-003	SF	HTEXCH	The unit was again shutdown because of "A" loop shutdown cooler tube leaks. Corrective action was to plug leaking tubes.
F: Fe S: Sc (9/77)	orced hed uled	2 Reas A-Eq B-Ma C-Re D-Re E-Op F-Ad G-Op H-Ot	on: uipment Fa intenance o fueling gulatory Re perator Train ministrative perational E her (Explain	illure (E ri Test estrictio ning & I e rtor (Es n)	n License Exa cplain)	amination	Metho 1-Man 2-Man 3-Auto 4-Con 5-Lo 9-Ot	od: ual oual Scram. omatic Scram. itinuation ad Reducti her	4 Exhibit G - Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry Sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG- 0161) on 5 Exhibit I - Same Source

INSTRUCTIONS

This report should describe all plant shutdowns during the report period. In addition, it should be the source of explanation of significant dips in average power levels. Each significant reduction in power level (greater than 20% reduction in average daily power level for the preceding 24 hours) should be noted, even though the unit may not have been shut down completely¹. For such reductions in power level, the duration should be listed as zero, the method of reduction should be listed as 4 (Other), and the Cause and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence column should explain. The Cause and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence column should be used to provide any needed explanation to fully describe the circumstances of the outage or power reduction.

NUMBER. This column should indicate the sequential number assigned to each shutdown or significant reduction in power for that calendar year. When a shutdown or significant power reduction begins in one report period and ends in another. an entry should be made for both report periods to be sure all shutdowns or significant power reductions are reported. Until a unit has achieved its first power generation, no number should be assigned to each entry.

DATE. This column should indicate the date of the start of each shutdown or significant power reduction. Report as year, month, and day. August 14, 1977 would be reported as 770814. When a shutdown or significant power reduction begins in one report period and ends in another, an entry should be made for both report periods to be sure all shutdowns or significant power reductions are reported.

TYPE. Use "F" or "S" to indicate either "Forced" or "Scheduled," respectively, for each shutdown or significant power reduction. Forced shutdowns include those required to be initiated by no later than the weekend following discovery of an off-normal condition. It is recognized that some judgment is required in categorizing shutdowns in this way. In general, a forced shutdown is one that would not have been completed in the absence of the condition for which corrective action was taken.

DURATION. Self-explanatory. When a shutdown extends beyond the end of a report period, count only the time to the end of the report period and pick up the ensuing down time in the following report periods. Report duration of outages rounded to the nearest tenth of an hour to facilitate summation. The sum of the total outage hours plus the hours the generator was on line should equal the gross hours in the reporting period.

REASON. Categorize by letter designation in accordance with the table appearing on the report form. If category H must be used, supply brief comments.

METHOD OF SHUTTING DOWN THE REACTOR OR REDUCING POWER. Categorize by number designation

¹Note that this differs from the Edison Electric Institute (EEI) definitions of "Forced Partial Outage" and "Scheduled Partial Outage." For these terms. EEI uses a change of 30 MW as the break point. For larger power reactors. 30 MW is too small a change to warrant explanation. in accordance with the table appearing on the report form. If category 4 must be used, supply brief comments.

LICENSEE EVENT REPORT #. Reference the applicable reportable occurrence pertaining to the outage or power reduction. Enter the first four parts (event year, sequential report number, occurrence code and report type) of the five part designation as described in Item 17 of Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry Sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG-0161). This information may not be immediately evident for all such shutdowns, of course, since further investigation may be required to ascertain whether or not a reportable occurrence was involved.) If the outage or power reduction will not result in a reportable occurrence, the positive indication of this lack of correlation should be noted as not applicable (N/A).

SYSTEM CODE. The system in which the outage or power reduction originated should be noted by the two digit code of Exhibit G - Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry Sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG-0161).

Systems that do not fit any existing code should be designated XX. The code ZZ should be used for those events where a system is not applicable.

COMPONENT CODE. Select the most appropriate component from Exhibit I - Instructions for Preparation of Data Entry Sheets for Licensee Event Report (LER) File (NUREG-0161), using the following critieria:

- A. If a component failed, use the component directly involved.
- B. If not a component failure, use the related component e.g., wrong valve operated through error: list valve as component.
- C. If a chain of failures occurs, the first component to malfunction should be listed. The sequence of events, including the other components which fail, should be described under the Cause and Corrective Action to Prevent Recurrence column.

Components that do not fit any existing code should be designated XXXXXX. The code ZZZZZZ should be used for events where a component designation is not applicable.

CAUSE & CORRECTIVE ACTION TO PREVENT RECUR-RENCE. Use the column in a narrative fashion to amplify or explain the circumstances of the shutdown or power reduction. The column should include the specific cause for each shutdown or significant power reduction and the immediate and contemplated long term corrective action taken. if appropriate. This column should also be used for a description of the major safety-related corrective maintenance performed during the outage or power reduction including an identification of the critical path activity and a report of any single release of radioactivity or single radiation exposure specifically associated with the outage which accounts for more than 10 percent of the allowable annual values.

For long textual reports continue narrative on separate paper and reference the shutdown or power reduction for this narrative.

REFUELING INFORMATION

Scheduled date for next refueling shutdown. November 1, 1983 Scheduled date for restart following refueling. February 1, 1984 Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment? If answer is yes, what, in general, will these be? If answer is no, has the reload fuel design and core configuration been reviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to determine whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload (Ref. 10 CFR Section 50.59)?	Name o	of facility.	Ar	kansas N	uclear Un	e - Uni	τz	
Scheduled date for restart following refueling	Schedu	led date fo	r next r	efueling	shutdown	. Novem	ber 1,	1983
Will refueling or resumption of operation thereafter require a technical specification change or other license amendment? If answer is yes, what, in general, will these be? If answer is no, has the reload fuel design and core configuration been reviewed by your Plant Safety Review Committee to determine whether any unreviewed safety questions are associated with the core reload (Ref. 10 CFR Section 50.59)?	Schedu	led date fo	r restar	t follow	ing refue	ling	Februar	y 1, 1984
To be determined	Will r techni If ans If ans been r whethe core r	efueling or cal specifi wer is yes, wer is no, eviewed by r any unrev eload (Ref.	resumpt cation c what, i has the your Pla iewed sa 10 CFR	ion of o hange or n genera reload f nt Safet fety que Section	peration other li 1, will t uel desig y Review stions ar 50.59)?	thereaft cense am hese be? n and co Committe e associ	er requ endment re conf e to de ated wi	ire a ? iguration termine th the
Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information. November 1, if applicable Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures.	_		To be	determin	ed			
Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information. November 1, if applicable Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures.								
Scheduled date(s) for submitting proposed licensing action and supporting information. November 1, if applicable Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures.								
Important licensing considerations associated with refueling, e.g., new or different fuel design or supplier, unreviewed design or performance analysis methods, significant changes in fuel design, new operating procedures.	Schedu	led date(s) ting inform	for submation.	Novem	proposed ber 1, if	licensin applica	<mark>g actio</mark> ble	n and
The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool. a) 177 b) 112 The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies. present 485 increase size by 503 The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity. DATE: 1991	Importanew or	ant licensi different	ng consid	derations	s associat	ted with	refuel ed desi	ing, e.g., gn or
The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool. a) <u>177</u> b) <u>112</u> The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies. present <u>485</u> increase size by <u>503</u> The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity. DATE: <u>1991</u>	Importa new or perform new ope	ant licensi different mance analy erating pro	ng consid fuel des: sis metho cedures. N/A	deration ign cr s ods, sign	s associa upplier, s nificant o	ted with unreview changes	refuel ed desi in fuel	ing, e.g., gn or design,
The number of fuel assemblies (a) in the core and (b) in the spent fuel storage pool. a) 177 b) 112 The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies. present 485 increase size by 503 The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity.	Importanew or perform new oper	ant licensi different mance analy erating pro	ng consid fuel des: sis metho cedures. N/A	derations ign cr su ods, sign	s associat upplier, s nificant o	ted with unreview changes	refuel ed desi in fuel	ing, e.g., gn or design,
The present licensed spent fuel pool storage capacity and the size of any increase in licensed storage capacity that has been requested or is planned, in number of fuel assemblies. present	Importanew or perform new oper	ant licensi different mance analy erating pro	ng consid fuel des: sis metho cedures. N/A	derations ign cr su ods, sign	s associat upplier, s nificant o	ted with unreview changes	refuel ed desi in fuel	ing, e.g., gn or design,
present	Importanew or perform new oper form new form the num fuel states of	ant licensi different mance analy erating pro mber of fue torage pool	ng consid fuel des: sis metho cedures. N/A N/A	derations ign or su ods, sign lies (a) 177	s associat upplier, s nificant of in the co	ted with unreview changes ore and b)	refuel ed desi in fuel (b) in 112	ing, e.g., gn or design, the spent
The projected date of the last refueling that can be discharged to the spent fuel pool assuming the present licensed capacity.	Importanew or perform new oper form for the present of any or for the present form of any or for the present form the pr	ant licensi different mance analy erating pro mber of fue torage pool esent licens increase in planned, in	ng consid fuel des: sis metho cedures. N/A l assembl . a) sed spenton license number conservation	derations ign or su ods, sign lies (a) 177 t fuel po ed storag of fuel a	in the co	bre and b) cre capac	refuel ed desi in fuel (b) in 112 ity and has been	ing, e.g., gn or design, the spent the spent the size n requeste
DATE: 1991	Importanew or perform new operation of the num fuel state of any or is present	ant licensi different mance analy erating pro mber of fue torage pool esent licent increase in planned, in	ng consid fuel des: sis metho cedures. N/A N/A l assembl . a) sed spento n license number co 485	derations ign or su ods, sign ods, sign lies (a) 177 t fuel po ed storag of fuel a inc	in the co pol storage capacit assemblies	ore and b) ge capac ty that	refuel ed desi in fuel (b) in 112 ity and has been 503	ing, e.g., gn or design, the spent the spent the size n requeste
	Importanew or perform new operform new operform new operform new operform fuel states of any or is present. The present to the prototothe to the protothe protoche pr	ant licensi different mance analy erating pro mber of fue torage pool esent licen increase in planned, in t ojected date spent fuel	ng consid fuel des: sis metho cedures. N/A l assembl . a) sed spent n license number of 485 e of the pool ass	derations ign or su ods, sign lies (a) <u>177</u> t fuel po ed storag of fuel a ind last ref suming th	in the co pol storage capacit assemblies crease siz fueling the	ted with inreview changes ore and b) ge capac cy that is ce by at can b i license	refuel ed desi in fuel (b) in 112 ity and has been 503 be discled capa	ing, e.g., gn or design, the spent the spent the size n requeste harged city.

CHALLENGES TO THE SAFETY VALVES FAILURE FOR ANO-2

This annual report is being submitted in accordance with the requirement of NUREG-0737, Item II.K.3.3.

ANO-2 has experienced no challenges due to system conditions to the Primary System Code Safeties (PSCS) during the year 1982. The Unit does not have an Electromatic Relief Valve (ERV).