September 28, 1989 Docket Nos. 030-04951, 030-04971 License Nos. 22-00057-06, £2-00057-32G Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company (3M) ATTN: Mr. Robert G. Wissink Chairman, Isotope Committee 3M Center 220-2E-02 St. Paul Minnesota 55101-1000 Gentlemen: SUBJECT: TELEPHONE CONVERSATION ON SEPTEMBER 22, 1989 This is to confirm our discussions during the September 22, 1989 telephone conversation. Dr. John Glenn, Mrs. Patricia Vacca, and Mr. Richard Hoefling from Headquarters and Mr. James Lynch and I from Region III represented the Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) during the telephone call. You and Messrs. Duane Hall and Fred Entwistle represented 3M. Our conversation was prompted by your August 14 and September 15, 1989 requests for relief from the requirements of a previous NRC Order requiring submission of monthly reports and our desire to receive a comprehensive report that would include the information shown in the enclosed document. Upon receipt of such a report, we would plan to send you a letter (1) indicating which provisions of the various NRC Orders have been satisfied by 3M and which remain in effect, and (2) providing relief with respect to the frequency of reports, currently required by certain Orders. We recognize that you have provided much of the requested information in the past in one form or another, but we would like to have up-to-date information in a single document in the requested format in order to close out, to the extent possible, regulatory matters associated with these Orders. Based on our conversation, we understand that you should be able to provide most, if not all, of the requested information, but that you will need to check with other 341 staff to determine how long it will take to assemble the information. Please inform us, in writing, whether you can provide the requested information and give us your schedule for submitting it to NRC Region 141. We will notify you in writing as to the acceptability of your proposal and its impact on the requirements of NRC's Orders. 9102130334 901212 PDR FDIA MCCARTH90-380 PDR

Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing - 2 - September 28, 1989 Company

If you have any questions about this letter, please contact Mr. Lynch at (312)790-5669.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Roy J. Caniano for

Bruce S. Mallett, Ph.D., Chief Nuclear Materials Safety and Safeguards Branch

Enclosure: As stated

cc w/enclosure:
DCD/DCD (RIDS)

NRC File Center NMSS R/F IMAB R/F IMAB R/F JEGlenne telecon RHoeflinger. MALapastra PCVaggac by 9/25

RILI RIII RIII

Lynch/jr Nallett Borelius
88/26/89 09/26/89 09/21/89

OUTLINE OF INFORMATION REQUESTED OF 3M AS PART OF A COMPREHENSIVE REPORT Statistics on ALL Po-210 rtatic eliminator. O Total number of all devices in the field as of January 25, 1988 O Total number of all devices NOT returned (including those "lost") O Total number of all devices returned and tested for leakage O Total number of all returned devices that were tested and showed no detectable removable contamination O Total number of all returned devices that were tested and showed LESS than 0,005 uci of removable contamination O Total number of all returned devices that were tested and showed MORE than 0.005 uti of removable contamination 2. Statistics on those Po-210 static eliminators used in the food, beverage, cosmetic and pharmaceutical (FBCP) industries O Total number of devices used in FBCP industries that were in the field as of January 25, 1988 O Total number of devices used in FBCP industries that were NOT returned (including those "lost") O Total number of devices used in FBCP industries that were returned and tested for leakage O Total number of devices returned from FBCP industries that were tested and showed no detectable removable contamination O Total number of devices returned from FBCP industries that were tested and showed LESS than 0.005 uCi of removable contamination O Total number of devices returned from FBCP industries that were tested and showed MORE than 0.005 uC1 of removable contamination For each device NOT returned and not considered as "lost," provide a 3. listing, grouped by State, of: customer's name; address; contact person's name and telephone number; information available to 3M regarding reason device was not returned and ultimate disposition of device. For each derice that showed measurable removable contamination, provide a listing, grouped by state, of: customer's name; address; contact person's name and telephone number; whether the customer was notified of potential for contamination of his facility and the date of notification; whether the appropriate regulatory agency was notified, the identity of the agency that was notified and the date of notification; the status of the facility with respect to initial survey, decontamination and confirmatory survey.

- 5. For each device determined by 3M to be "lost," provide a listing, grouped by state, of: customer's name; address; contact person's name and telephone number; and status using the categories developed by 3M (i.e., lost per cust./ltr. sent or lost/ltr. sent; POD (proof of delivery) recd; cust claims retrn).
- 6. A concise statement summarizing 3M's view of the cause(s) of the failure of Po-210 static eliminators. (3M's response to the Show Cause Order on Revocation indicated certain factors that contributed to the failure but did not provide a clear indication of the cause(s).)
- A written statement describing 3M's intentions with respect to requesting authorization to discribute Po-210 static eliminators to specific licensees, general licensees, or both.