Docket No. 50-346

License No. NPF-3

Serial No. 1-310

December 9, 1982



RICHARD P. CROUSE Vice President Nuclear (419) 259-5221

Mr. John F. Streeter, Chief Projects Branch No. 2 U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Region III 799 Roosevelt Road Glen Ellyn, Illinois 60137

Dear Mr. Streeter:

Toledo Edison acknowledges receipt of your November 3, 1982 letter (Log 1-701) and enclosures, Appendix, Notice of Violation and Report No. 50-346/82-29 referencing an apparent violation on the Davis-Besse Nuclear Power Station Unit No. 1.

This response is being submitted late due to an administrative error in properly tracking the progress of the compilation of material. We have discussed the late response with the NRC Resident Inspector and have instituted additional administrative controls to further ensure recurrence is prevented.

Following an examination of the item of concern, Toledo Edison herein offers information in regard to this item.

Violation:

Technical Specification 6.8.1 requires that the procedures recommended in Appendix "A" of Regulatory Guide 1.33, November 1972 shall be implemented. Appendix "A" of Regulatory Guide 1.33, November 1972, includes Administrative Procedures. Administrative Procedure AD 1828.15, "Requalification", requires that if training consultants are utilized for any written examinations, the Requalification Instructor and/or the Nuclear Operations Training Supervisor will review and approve all aspects of the examination process.

Contrary to the above:

(1) A Reactor Theory examination was prepared, approved and administered in August, 1982, by a General Physics instructor with no approval by the Operations Training Supervisor.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement 1).

Docket No. 50-346 License No. NPF-3 Serial No. 1-310 December 9, 1982 Page 2

Response:

(1) Corrective action taken and the results achieved.

The Reactor Theory Requalification Examination was reviewed by the appropriate Toledo Edison personnel, per the Administrative Procedure AD 1828.15. A memorandum describing the review was then placed in the file to document corrective action was taken.

(2) Corrective action to be taken to avoid further noncompliance.

The Administrative Procedure AD 1828.15, was determined to be adequate to address the requirements as it stands so no modifications were deemed necessary. The responsible individuals were counseled and have reviewed the procedure to ensure future compliance.

(3) The date when full compliance is achieved.

Compliance based upon appropriate review of the consultant supplied written examination was achieved on October 6, 1982.

Very truly yours,

Mohour

RPC: JHS: lah

cc: DB-1 NRC Resident Inspector