

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION
REGION III

Report No. 50-440/91002(DRSS)

Docket No. 50-440

License No. NPF-58

Licensee: Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
10 Center Road
Perry, OH 44081

Facility Name: Perry Nuclear Power Plant

Inspection At: Perry Plant

Inspection Conducted: January 14-18, 1991

Inspector: *G. M. Christoffer*
G. M. Christoffer
Physical Security Inspector

1/29/91
Date Signed

Approved By: *James R. Creed*
James R. Creed, Chief
Safeguards Section

1/29/91
Date Signed

Inspection Summary

Inspection on January 14-18, 1991 (Report No. 50-440/91002(DRSS))

Areas Inspected: Routine, unannounced physical security inspection involving:
Alarm Stations; Communications; Testing and Maintenance; Compensatory Measures;
Security Training and Qualification.

Results: The licensee was found to be in compliance with NRC requirements
in the areas examined. The licensee performance meets regulatory requirements
and the security program is being implemented adequately.

DETAILS

1. Key Persons Contacted

In addition to the key members of the licensee's staff listed below, the inspector interviewed other licensee employees and members of the security organization. The asterisk (*) denotes those present at the Exit Interview conducted on January 18, 1991.

- *F. Stead, Director, Nuclear Support Department, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (CEI)
- T. Mahon, Manager, Site Protection, CEI
- *A. Slezak, General Supervisor, CEI
- *R. Rose, Supervisor, Security/Fire Systems, CEI
- *M. Benedict, Supervisor, Access Authorization Unit, CEI
- *D. Craine, Security Shift Supervisor, CEI
- *K. Novak, Supervisor, Security Training Unit, CEI
- *F. Riley, Director, Perry Nuclear Assurance Department, CEI
- *J. Bahleda, Quality Engineer, CEI
- *N. Lehman, Licensing Engineer, CEI
- *G. Garrett, I&C Supervisor, CEI

P. Hiland, Senior Resident Inspector, USNRC
G. O'Dwyer, Resident Inspector, USNRC

2. Entrance and Exit Interviews (IP 30703):

- a. At the beginning of the inspection, Mr. T. Mahon, of the licensee's staff was informed of the purpose of this visit and the functional areas to be examined.
- b. The inspector met with the licensee representatives denoted in Section 1 at the conclusion of the inspection on January 18, 1991. A general description of the scope of the inspection was provided. Briefly listed below are the findings discussed during the exit interview. The details of each finding discussed are referenced, as noted, in this report. Included below is a statement provided by or describing licensee management's response to each finding.

(1) Personnel present were advised that there were no violations identified in the areas inspected.

3. Program Areas Inspected

Listed below are the areas which were examined by the inspector within the scope of these inspection activities in which no violations, deviations, unresolved or open items were identified. These areas were reviewed and evaluated as deemed necessary by the inspector to meet the specified "Inspection Requirements" (Section 02) of the applicable NRC Inspection Procedure (IP) and the licensee's approved security plan. Only those areas in which findings were identified are discussed in

subsequent report sections. Sampling reviews included interviews, observations, testing of equipment and document reviews that provide independent verification of your ability to meet security commitments. The depth and scope of activities were conducted as deemed appropriate and necessary for the program area and operational status of the security system.

Number Program Area and Inspection Requirements Reviewed

81700 Physical Security Program for Power Reactors

- a. Alarm Stations and Communications: (04a) Alarm Stations Adequately Equipped with Alarm, Surveillance, and Communications; Continuously Manned and Independent Functioning Capability; (04b) No CAS Interfering Operational Activities; (04c) Alarm Stations Have Continuous Communication Capability with Guards and LLEA.
- b. Testing, Maintenance and Compensatory Measures: (06a) Adequate Installation, Testing and Maintenance of Security Equipment; (06b) Compensatory Measures Implemented and Effective.
- c. Training and Qualification: (07a) Officers Trained, Equipped, and Qualified; (07b) Officers Possess Adequate Knowledge and Ability to Perform Duties; (07c) Responses are Consistent with Plans and Procedure Requirements; Safeguards Capabilities in SCP are Available, Effective, and Functional; (07d) Required Armed Response and Supervisor(s) Available; (07e) Nonsecurity Personnel are Trained, Equipped, and Qualified for Assigned Security Tasks (i.e., escort duties, etc.).