

000

JAN 30 1991

Docket No. 50-440

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company

ATTN: Mr. Michael D. Lyster
Vice President
Nuclear - Perry

10 Center Road
Perry, OH 44081

Gentlemen:

This refers to the routine safeguards inspection conducted by Ms. G. M. Christoffer of this office on January 14-18, 1991, of activities at Perry Nuclear Power Plant, Unit 1, authorized by NRC Operating License No. NPF-58 and to the discussion of our findings with Mr. F. Stead and other members of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

The enclosed copy of our inspection report identifies areas examined during the inspection. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of a selective examination of procedures and representative records, observations, and interviews with personnel.

No violations of NRC requirements were identified during the course of this inspection.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790 of the Commission's regulations, a copy of this letter and the enclosed inspection report will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room.

We will gladly discuss any questions you have concerning this inspection.

Sincerely,

L. Robert Greger, Chief
Reactor Programs Branch

Enclosure: Inspection Report
No. 50-440/91002(DRSS)

See Attached Distribution

R1114
Christoffer/jp
01/30/91

R111
Creed

R111
Lanksbury
1/30/91

R111
Greger
1/30/91

120086

9102130060 XA

record copy 910219

IE04

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company 2 JAN 30 1991

Distribution:

cc w/enclosure:

F. R. Stead, Director, Nuclear
Support Department
R. A. Stratman, General Manager,
Perry Nuclear Power Plant
R. A. Newkirk, Manager,
Licensing and Compliance Section
S. F. Kensicki, Director, Perry
Nuclear Engineering Dept.
H. Ray Caldwell, General
Superintendent Nuclear Operations
DCD/DCB (RIDS)
Licensing Fee & Debt Collection
Branch
Resident Inspector, RIII
Terry J. Lodge, Esq.
James W. Harris, State of Ohio
Robert E. Owen, Ohio
Department Health
A. Grandjean, State of Ohio,
Public Utilities Commission
Clinton SR1

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION III

Report No. 50-440/91002(DRSS)

Docket No. 50-440

License No. NPF-58

Licensee: Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company
10 Center Road
Perry, OH 44081

Facility Name: Perry Nuclear Power Plant

Inspection At: Perry Plant

Inspection Conducted: January 14-18, 1991

Inspector: *G. M. Christoffer*
G. M. Christoffer
Physical Security Inspector

1/29/91
Date Signed

Approved By: *James R. Creed*
James R. Creed, Chief
Safeguards Section

1/29/91
Date Signed

Inspection Summary

Inspection on January 14-18, 1991 (Report No. 50-440/91002(DRSS))

Areas Inspected: Routine, unannounced physical security inspection involving:
Alarm Stations; Communications; Testing and Maintenance; Compensatory Measures;
Security Training and Qualification.

Results: The licensee was found to be in compliance with NRC requirements
in the areas examined. The licensee performance meets regulatory requirements
and the security program is being implemented adequately.

9102130070 - 3pp.

DETAILS

1. Key Persons Contacted

In addition to the key members of the licensee's staff listed below, the inspector interviewed other licensee employees and members of the security organization. The asterisk (*) denotes those present at the Exit Interview conducted on January 18, 1991.

*F. Stead, Director, Nuclear Support Department, Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (CEI)

T. Mahon, Manager, Site Protection, CEI

*A. Slezak, General Supervisor, CEI

*R. Rose, Supervisor, Security/Fire Systems, CEI

*M. Benedict, Supervisor, Access Authorization Unit, CEI

*D. Craine, Security Shift Supervisor, CEI

*K. Novak, Supervisor, Security Training Unit, CEI

*F. Riley, Director, Perry Nuclear Assurance Department, CEI

*J. Bahleda, Quality Engineer, CEI

*N. Lehman, Licensing Engineer, CEI

*G. Garrett, I&C Supervisor, CEI

P. Hiland, Senior Resident Inspector, USNRC

G. O'Dwyer, Resident Inspector, USNRC

2. Entrance and Exit Interviews (IP 30703):

a. At the beginning of the inspection, Mr. T. Mahon, of the licensee's staff was informed of the purpose of this visit and the functional areas to be examined.

b. The inspector met with the licensee representatives denoted in Section 1 at the conclusion of the inspection on January 18, 1991. A general description of the scope of the inspection was provided. Briefly listed below are the findings discussed during the exit interview. The details of each finding discussed are referenced, as noted, in this report. Included below is a statement provided by or describing licensee management's response to each finding.

(1) Personnel present were advised that there were no violations identified in the areas inspected.

3. Program Areas Inspected

Listed below are the areas which were examined by the inspector within the scope of these inspection activities in which no violations, deviations, unresolved or open items were identified. These areas were reviewed and evaluated as deemed necessary by the inspector to meet the specified "Inspection Requirements" (Section 02) of the applicable NRC Inspection Procedure (IP) and the licensee's approved security plan. Only those areas in which findings were identified are discussed in

subsequent report sections. Sampling reviews included interviews, observations, testing of equipment and document reviews that provide independent verification of your ability to meet security commitments. The depth and scope of activities were conducted as deemed appropriate and necessary for the program area and operational status of the security system.

Number Program Area and Inspection Requirements Reviewed

81700 Physical Security Program for Power Reactors

- a. Alarm Stations and Communications: (04a) Alarm Stations Adequately Equipped with Alarm, Surveillance, and Communications; Continuously Manned and Independent Functioning Capability; (04b) No CAS Interfering Operational Activities; (04c) Alarm Stations have Continuous Communication Capability with Guards and LLEA.

- b. Testing, Maintenance and Compensatory Measures:
(05a) Adequate Installation, Testing and Maintenance of Security Equipment; (06b) Compensatory Measures Implemented and Effective.

- c. Training and Qualification: (07a) Officers Trained, Equipped, and Qualified; (07b) Officers Possess Adequate Knowledge and Ability to Perform Duties; (07c) Responses are Consistent with Plans and Procedure Requirements; Safeguards Capabilities in SCP are Available, Effective, and Functional; (07d) Required Armed Response and Supervisor(s) Available; (07e) Nonsecurity Personnel are Trained, Equipped, and Qualified for Assigned Security Tasks (i.e., escort duties, etc.).