1E HQ FILE COPY

DEC 7 1982

Docket No. 50-266 Ponket No. 50-301

Wisconsin Electric Power Company ATTN: Mr. Sol Burstein Executive Vice President Power Flants 231 W. Michigan Avenue Milwaukee, WI 53201

Gentlemen:

Thank you for your latters dated October 15 and November 29, 1982, informing us of the steps you have taken to correct the noncompliance which we brought to your attention in Inspection Reports No. 50-266/82-12(DETP) and 50-301/82-12(DETP) forwarded by our letter dated September 15, 1982.

Although your corrective actions appear adequate, we do not agree with your conclusion that the operator's actions were the sole cause of the incident. We agree that the operator did not display expected alertness to plant conditions; however, controls should be such that wor ers are prevented from mknowingly entering a high radiation area, even if they are not totally alert.

With regard to the specific comments supporting the conclusions contained in your October 15, 1982 letter, we fail to understand the application of items, 1, 3, and 6 to your contention that the operator's actions were the predominate cause of the incident. The fact that the door to Unit 1 containment was locked and the door to Unit 2 containment open was unknown to the operator and therefore could not be expected to have precluded inadvertent entry. Similarly, the knowledge that Unit 1 was at power and that the application of procedure OP-4D to an operating unit was inappropriate is not pertinent, because the operator mistook Unit 1 for Unit 2. Lastly, the operator's communication with the control room before his containment entry appears to have no bearing on the operator's actions since the control room personmal said nothing, of which we are aware, which would have prevented the operator's mistaking Unit 1 for Unit 2.

DMB: TEOB 8212100221 821207 PDR ADOCK 05000266 PDR RIII RIII ovendale/.11 Davis Gregar Miller 12 12/6/82 2/3/82 NRC FORM 318 (10-80) NRCM 0240 OFFICIAL RECORD COPY

Wisconsin Electric Power Company -2-

Items 2 and 5 are applicable to your contention and were considered in arriving at our decision to issue a notice of violation. Item 4 was considered, but in our view, has little bearing on who was at fault for the entry. On the one hand, it demonstrates that the operator committed numerous errors during this incident; on the other hand, it raises questions regarding the adequacy of your training and auditing programs for ensuring procedural adherence.

In summary, we disagree with your conclusion that the evidence clearly demonstrates the sole cause of this incident to be the inattentiveness of an individual. We believe the operator's lack of attentiveness contributed to the incident, but that weaknesses in Wisconsin Electric Power Company's high radiation area controls also contributed.

With regard to your request to withdraw violation 2.c, we have reviewed your request and conclude that the citation is valid. Procedure HP 8.2 states: "Area surveys shall be completed in potentially hazardous areas prior to personnel entry." We recognize that this is a generalized requirement which may be modified in special situations. However, as stated in your letter dated October 15, 1982, the conditions specified in Procedure HP 2.7 which would allow modification of the general survey requirement were not met. Therefore, no modification of the general survey requirement of Procedure HP 8.2 was applicable.

No response to this letter is necessary. Your cooperation with us is appreciated.

Sincerely, Original signed by A. Bert Davis

James G. Keppler Regional Administrator

cc: J. J. Zach, Plant Manager

cc w/ltrs dtd 10/15/82 and 11/29/82: DMB/Document Control Desk (RIDS) Reaident Inspector, RIII John J. Duffy, Chief Boiler Section Peter Anderson, Wisconsin's Environmental Decade Stanley York, Chairman

Public Service Commission

