UNITED STATES OF AMERICA NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

ATOMIC SAFETY AND LICENSING BOARD

Before Administrative Judges:

Morton B. Margulies, Chairman Dr. George A. Ferguson Dr. Jerry R. Kline

In the Matter of

Long Island Lighting Co., Shoreham Nuclear Power Station; Confirmatory Order Modifying License (Effective Immediately) (55 Fed. Reg. 12758, April 5, 1990) Docket No. 50-322-0LA ASLBP No. 91-621-01-0LA

## AFFIDAVIT OF ANDREW P. HULL

An Yew P. Hull, being duly sworn, says as follows:

- 1. I, Andrew P. Hull, reside at 2 Harvard Road, Shoreham, New York 11786 which is just over one mile from the Shoreham Nuclear Power Station ("Shoreham Plant"). I have owned this property for twenty-eight years. Thus, I live within the fifty mile geographical zone utilized by the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ("NRC") to determine whether a party is sufficiently threatened by the radiological hazard and other environmental impacts of the proposal to establish the requisite interest and standing for intervention 2.2 of right.
- I have been employed for the past twenty-eight years at Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11786, located about six miles from the Shoreham Plant. I am a Health Physicist

and work as a Group Leader in the Emergency Planning and 9102120113 910204 PDR ADOCK 05000322 Radiological Assistance Program. I have an interest in, and have published papers concerning, the comparative risks of alternative energy sources. As a Health Physicist, I am familiar with both the benefits and risks of nuclear power plants. I strongly support the use of nuclear power to meet our nation's energy needs in a safe, economical, and environmentally benign manner.

3. I have been a member of Scientists and Engineers for Secure Energy, Inc. ("SE2") since 1985. I authorize SE2 to represent my interests, as described herein, in any proceedings to be held in connection with the Immediately Effective Confirmatory Order, issued by the NRC on March 29, 1990, prohibiting the Long Island Lighting Company ("LILCO"), licensee of the Shoreham plant from placing fuel into the reactor vessel without prior NRC approval.

4. I am concerned that the Confirmatory Order constitutes another step in the decommissioning process presently underway at

another step in the decommissioning process presently underway at shoreham in violation of my rights under the National Environmental Policy Act ("NEPA"). The Order reaffirms the previous NRC decisions allowing LILCO to reduce staffing and maintenance to a level clearly inconsistent with the terms of the full power operating license and several NRC regulations. These very actions which the NRC explicitly allowed are now being advanced as presenting a health and safety threat of such a magnitude that an immediately effective Order was issued to effectively prohibit operation. SE<sub>2</sub> submitted a Section 2.205 request in conjunction with the Shoreham-Wading River Central

m 2 m

School District in July of 1989 when the destaffing and plant disassembly activities had only just been announced and were yet to be implemented. The Request asserted that these actions should not be allowed to go forward before publication of a Final Environmental Impact Statement ("FEIS") pursuant to the dictates of NAPA and because they were inimical to the public health and safety due to their inconsistecy with LTLCO's license obligations as a full-power licensee. Despite the fact that the Commission denied the request for immediate relief and continues to ignore the issues raised in the original Section 2.206 request and the supplements thereto, it now relies on the results of the challenged actions to justify issuance of the immediately effective Order without ordering remedial measures or proposing fines.

Shoreham Plant's decommissioning should be implemented until a FEIS evaluating the impacts of, and alternatives to, the entire decommissioning proposal has been completed in compliance with the terms of NEPA and the NRC's own regulations in a single proceeding. If the NRC allows steps which are clearly in furtherance of decommissioning, and have no necessary independent utility, to be implemented at the Shoreham Plant prior to the necessary NEPA review, my rights, and the rights of those similarly situated, to have an opportunity for meaningful comment on the environmental consideration of the decommissioning proposal will be prejudiced, if not completely denied. Besides

- 3 -

reaffirming past actions fixed at removing the Shoreham Plant from service and, therefore, in furtherance of decommissioning, the Confirmatory Order also sets the stage for yet other actions in furtherance of decommissioning. LTLCO has applied for a license amendment to recognize the defueled state of the plant which will in turn facilitate the transfer of the plant to the Long Island Power Authority. The Confirmatory Order, which prohibits operation of the plant, is a first link in a chain of actions directed towards the issuance of a license amendment authorizing "possession and use, but not operation." The issuance of a possession only license would be, in turn, a further step in removing the plant from service which is the first part of "decommissioning" as defined by the NRC regulations.

6. The Confirmatory Order also represents a threat to my personal radiological health and safety and to my real and personal property in violation of my rights under the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended. In direct violation of its own stated enforcement policy, the NRC has failed, in that Order, to require LTLCO to undertake remedial actions to bring the Shoreham Plant into compliance with the terms of its full-power operating license. Thus, should a determination later be made to operate the Shoreham Plant, deterioration allowed by LTLCO and by that incomplete Order will at the least move operation further away in time, and at the worst, increase the likelihood and risk of a radiological accident.

also interested in the availability of ric generation to meet ommunity as a whole. at the full capacity of supply this area and for the growing , either the Shoreham perating facilities will natural gas supplies plants will inevitably be reby increasing our 3 the security of our e plants, in turn, will he region and rain. These effects of 1 have detrimental of the natural This calls for serious commissioning. eeding is narrowed to its ternatives for th, safety and by any actions ("SAFSTOR").

erstand that SE, has been joined by the Shorehamstral School District ("School District") in wene in a hearing to be held not only on the er, but also in hearings to consider the LILCO's license amendment requests affecting both / and Offsite Emergency Preparedness. I also the issues raised by all of these actions rlap due to the fact that each of the actions r step in the decommissioning process underway I would favor the consolidation of these lant. to consider the issues raised by the School Consolidation would be the most efficient and proceed for all concerned. I also submit that is demanded by NEPA because all of these s and actions are, in fact, part of a single latively significant, and have no utility decommissioning proposal.

Andrew P. Hull

N BEFORE ME, on this 31 day of Jermany

Notary Public

My Commission expires:

8/31/91

SUBANT CANADA

SUSAN Y. CARLSEN Notary Public Buffolk County, N.Y. 4564226 August 31, 16.

I understand that SE, has been joined by the Shoreham-Wading River Central School District ("School District") in seeking to intervene in a hearing to be held not only on the Confirmatory Order, but also in hearings to consider the implications of LILCO's license amendment requests affecting both Physical Security and Offsite Emergency Preparedness. I also understand that the issues raised by all of these actions significantly overlap due to the fact that each of the actions constitute another step in the decommissioning process underway at the Shoreham Plant. I would favor the consolidation of these three proceedings to consider the issues raised by the School District and SE,. Consolidation would be the most efficient and expeditious way to proceed for all concerned. I also submit that such consolidation is demanded by NEPA because all of these segmented proposals and actions are, in fact, part of a single proposal, are cumulatively significant, and have no utility independent of the decommissioning proposal.

Andrew P. Hull

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME, on this 31 day of Jennamy

Notary Public

My Commission expires:

BUBANT CLP

August 31, 16

BUSAN Y. CARLESH HORBRY Public Buffolk County, N.Y.