

TRW

I 64
f- ^{Upjohn} WG Proctor
Issue papers

April 9, 1976

Mr. L. J. Evans, Jr.
Program Development
 safeguards Division
Nuclear Regulatory Commission
Washington, D.C. 20555

Dear Mr. Evans:

Enclosed please find our expanded chart showing the applicability of the Interim and Companion Rules to the MOX fuel cycle and to RD&D activities. This chart raises important issues regarding the scope of the Interim Rule and the definition of Category III plutonium. These issues are discussed on the following page.

Sincerely,

David L. Bodde

DLB:ae
Enclosure

8212080081 821025
PDR FOIA
WEISS82-441 PDR

Interim Rule Coverage. Suppose less than 2Kg of SGP per day flows through a given portion of a plant, say the analytical lab. Although a "significant amount" of elemental plutonium may never be present at any one time, the total throughput over a year's operation would nevertheless be quite large. Should a time dimension be included in the Interim Rules to control this situation?

Definition of Category III Plutonium. The separation of Pu into categories was based on the ratio $\frac{\text{Pu}}{^{238}\text{U}}$. When this ratio is below .04, the material is considered Category III under the definitions used in the attached chart. This ratio was selected because the critical mass of such a material increases asymptotically at about 4% Pu. At issue is whether the definition of Category III material should be increased to, say, 5% Pu.

- Factors which favor such an increase.
 - An economic incentive apparently exists to increase MOX Pu concentrations to the 5% range. This is because the buildup of neutron-absorbers in reload cores makes higher reactivity in the fuel desirable. However, we are not aware of any analysis which quantifies this marginal benefit.
 - Even at 5%, the concentration of Pu is too low to make the material directly usable in a fission bomb.¹⁾
- Factors weighing against such an increase.
 - At 4% or below, there is absolute assurance that a bomb would be unworkable.

1) M. Willrich and T.B. Taylor Nuclear Theft: Risks and Safeguards 1974, p. 15.

					TRANSPORTATION	(2) FUEL ASSEMBLY PLANT	
MOX BLENDING	FUEL PELLET & ROD FABRICATION	FUEL ROD STORAGE	GEN PROCESS TRASH & SCRAP STORAGE	ANALYTICAL LAB		RECEIVING & STORAGE	ASSEMBLY
[Shaded]			E + IR (?)	E + IR (?)	[Shaded]		
[Shaded]							
E + C (?)	E + C (?)	E + C (?)	E + C (?)	E + C (?)	E + C (?)	E + C (?)	E + C (?)
[Shaded]							
E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E
E	E	E	E	E	E	E	E

REPROCESSING FACILITY *

TRANSPORTATION

(5) CONVERSION *

UNIT FUEL
REIVING
STORAGE
AREA

SEPARATIONS

Pu NITRATE
STORAGE

GEN PROCESS
TRASH & SCRAP
STORAGE

ANALYTICAL
LAB

Pu NITRATE
TO OXIDE
CONVERS.

Pu OXIDE
STORAGE

GEN PRO
TRASH &
STOR

E + IR (?)

E + IR (?)

E + IR (?)

E + IR (?)

E + IR

E + IR

E + IR

E + IR

E + C (?)

E + C (?)

E

E

E

E

E

E

E

E

E

E

4/9/76

REGION *		TRANSPORTATION	(6) PLUTONIUM STORAGE		RDS&
Pu OXIDE STORAGE	GEN PROCESS TRASH/SCRAP STORAGE		INTERIM PuO ₂ STORAGE	TRANSPORT	DEFINED AS IN IR & CFR 70.4 (j) INCLUDES LWBR, HTGR, LMFBR
E + IR	E + IR (?)	E + IR	E + IR or C (?)	E + IR	E + C
					E + C
					E + C
					E + C (?)
					**E + C (?)
E	E	E	E	E	E
					E