



Southern Nuclear Operating Company

the southern electric system

Dave Morey
Vice President
Farley Project

February 15, 1994

10 CFR 50.90

Docket Nos.: 50-348
50-364

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission
ATTN: Document Control Desk
Washington, D. C. 20555

Joseph M. Farley Nuclear Plant
Relocation of Reactor Trip and Engineered Safety Feature
Actuation System Response Time Limits

Gentlemen:

By letter dated November 24, 1993, Southern Nuclear Operating Company (SNC) proposed to amend the Farley Unit 1 and Unit 2 Technical Specifications to relocate the response time limits for the Reactor Trip System (RTS) and Engineered Safety Feature Actuation System (ESFAS) from the Technical Specifications to the Final Safety Analysis Report (FSAR). The proposed amendment was based on NRC draft generic communications (Federal Register 58FR18118; April 7, 1993) and included changes to Sections 3/4.3.1 and 3/4.3.2 and the associated Bases. The NRC subsequently issued Generic Letter 93-08, "Relocation of Technical Specifications Tables of Instrument Response Time Limits," in its final form on December 29, 1993. The implementation guidance found in the Generic Letter requests that each licensee: 1) confirm response time limits are included in applicable plant surveillance procedure acceptance criteria; and 2) commit to include the RTS and ESFAS response time limit tables in the next FSAR update. As such, the following information is provided to supplement our November 24, 1993 submittal. SNC reviewed the Farley time response surveillance procedures and verified the acceptance criteria for each RTS and ESFAS protection function is identical to the response time limits found in the Farley Technical Specifications. SNC plans to incorporate the changes associated with the relocation of the RTS and ESFAS response time tables in the 1994 Farley FSAR update. These FSAR changes are shown in Enclosure 5 of the referenced SNC letter.

In addition, SNC noted that the proposed change to Bases Section 3/4.3 must be: 1) revised to correct a conflict between the November 24, 1993 submittal and the Technical Specifications changes proposed by SNC letter dated October 14, 1993; and 2) updated to reflect Unit 1 Amendment No. 100 and Unit 2 Amendment No. 92. Therefore, a new marked-up page B 3/4 3-2 and a new typed page B 3/4 3-2 for both Unit 1 and Unit 2 are attached. A revised page change instruction sheet, which deletes the instructions pertaining to page B 3/4 3-1, is also attached.


Accol
1/1

In that the above information supplements our November 24, 1993 submittal (as requested by Generic Letter 93-08) and the revised Bases text is identical to the referenced submittal, the conclusions of the significant hazards evaluation remain valid and no changes are considered necessary.

If there are any questions, please advise.

Respectfully submitted,

SOUTHERN NUCLEAR OPERATING COMPANY


Dave Morey

SWORN TO AND SUBSCRIBED BEFORE ME

THIS 15th DAY OF February, 1994


NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires Nov. 1, 1997

MGE.maf FEBTSREV.DOC

Attachments

cc: Mr. S. D. Ebnetter
Mr. B. L. Siegel
Mr. T. M. Ross
Dr. D. E. Williamson