

Neil S. "Buzz" Carns . President and Chief Executive Officer February 16, 1994

WM 94-0027

U. S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission ATTN: Document Control Desk Mail Station P1-137 Washington, D. C. 20555

> Subject: Docket No. 50-482: Inservice Inspection Program Relief Requests

Gentlemen:

The purpose of this letter is to transmit requests for relief from ASME Section XI requirements for the Wolf Creek Generating Station Inservice Inspection (ISI) Program in accordance with 10 CFR 50.55a(g)(5)(iii). Provided in the attachment to this letter are the relief requests which apply to ISI Period 3. Relief Requests IIR-32 through IIR-37 concern incomplete examinations as a result of weld configurations, Relief Request IIR-38 concerns the visual examination of a single casting, and Relief Request IIR-39 concerns a permanently fixed box hanger that completely obstructs a weld from being examined.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, please contact me at (316) 364-8831, extension 4000, or Mr. Kevin J. Moles at extension 4565.

Very truly yours,

Deild. ana

Neil S. Carns President and Chief Executive Officer

NSC/jra

Attachment

	Le.		Callan (NRC), W/a	i:
		А.	Pick (NRC), w/a	
	W .	D.	Reckley (NRC), w/	a
	$\mathbf{L}_{i,v}$	Ā.,	Yandell (NRC), w/	a

9402250210 940216 PDR ADDCK 05000482

An Equal Opeortanity Employer M/F/HC/VET

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 1 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST I1R-32

Component:

- 1) BB-04-F001, BB-04-F014, BB-04-F015, EP-02-F010, EP-02-F021, EP-02-S003-G EP-02-S008-D and EP-02-S008-F
- 2) BB-09-V178-1, BG-23-F003A and BG-23-F004
- 3) EJ-04-F047

Category:

- 1) ASME Section XI 1980 Edition Winter 1981 Addenda, Table IWB-2500-1, Examination Category B-J, Item Number B9.11
- 2) Augmented examination for break exclusion piping (NUREG-0800), Examinations performed to ASME Section XI, 1980 Edition, Winter 1981 Addenda, Table IWC-2500-1, Examination Category C-F, Item Number C5.11
- 3) ASME Section XI, 1980 Edition, Winter 1981 Addenda, Table IWC-2500-1, Examination Category C-F, Item Number C5.21

Description:

- 1) Pressurizer Spray Line 4" pipe to 4" spray nozzle safe-end (BB-04-F001), Pressurizer Spray Line valve PCV-455C to 4" pipe (BB-04-F014), Pressurizer Spray Line 4" pipe to valve PCV-455C (BB-04-F015), Accumulator Safety Injection Line valve 8818B to 6" pipe (EP-02-F010), Accumulator Safety Injection Line valve 8818C to 6" pipe (EP-02-F021), Accumulator Safety Injection Line 10" X 10" X 6" tee to 6" pipe (EP-02-S003-G), Accumulator Safety Injection Line 10" X 10" X 6" tee to 10" pipe (EP-02-S008-D) and Accumulator Safety Injection Line 10" pipe to 10" X 10" X 6" tee (EP-02-S008-F)
- 2) Reactor Coolant Pump "C" Seal Water Injection Line valve V178 to 2" pup piece (BB-09-V178-1), Chemical and Volume Control System Charging Line valve 8381 to 3" pipe (BG-23-F003A) and Chemical and Volume Control System Charging Line 3" pipe to valve 8381 (BG-23-F004)
- 3) Residual Heat Removal Line valve HV-87(1A to 12" pipe (EJ-04-F047)

Code Requirement:

Volumetric Requirements:

 Requires the inner 1/3t of the weld plus 1/4" of the base metal beyond the weld toe to be scanned in two directions as specified by Appendix III, paragraph III-4400 and Figure IWB-2500-8. Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 2 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST 11R-32 (continued)

- 2) Requires the inner 1/3t of the weld plus 1/4" of the base metal beyond the weld toe to be scanned in two directions as specified by Appendix III, paragraph III-4400 and Figure IWC-2500-7.
- 3) Requires the inner 1/3t of the weld plus 1/4" of the base metal beyond the weld toe to be scanned in two directions as specified by Appendix III, paragraph III-4400 and Figure IWC-2500-7.

Surface Requirements:

- 1) 100% surface examination as defined by Article 6 of ASME Section V and Figure IWB-2500-8.
- 100% surface examination as defined by Article 6 of ASME Section V and Figure 1WC-2500-7.
- 100% surface examination as defined by Article 6 of ASME Section V and Figure IWC-2500-7.

Basis For Relief:

Complete ultrasonic examination of the subject welds was not feasible because of limitations in geometry and metallurgic properties. The weld configurations (valve bodies, pipe tees, etc.) do not provide an adequate examination surface to allow a complete examination from two directions. Alternative examination through the use of a full vee path technique from one side has been determined to not provide confidence that the weld coverage is being satisfactorily examined. This results from the dendritic properties of austenitic steel material which causes sound redirection and attenuation. Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 3'of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST I1R-32 (continued)

Weld ID	Req. Method	Extent Examined
BB-04-F001 (pipe to safe-end)	UT	58% in one beam direction 9% in two beam directions 33% of WRV not examined 100% Circ. Scan Reference Figure 1
	PT	100%
BB-04-F014 (pipe to valve)	UT	100%, pipe side only 100% Circ. Scan Reference Figure 2
	PT	100%
BB-04-F015 (pipe to valve)	UT	100%, pipe side only 100% Circ. Scan Reference Figure 2
	PT	100%
EP-02-F010 (valve to pipe)	UŤ	67% in one beam direction 33% in two beam direction 100% Circ. Scan
	PT	Reference Figure 3 100%
EP-02-F021 (valve to pipe)	UT	72% in one beam direction 100% Circ. Scan Reference Figure 4
	P.L.	1004
EP-02-S003-G (tee to pipe)	OT	100%, pipe side only 100% Circ. Scan Reference Figure 5
	PT	100%
EP-02-5000-D (tee to pipe)	UT	100%, pipe side only 100% Circ. Scan Reference Figure 5
	PT	100%
EP-02-S008-F (pipe to tee)	UT	100%, pipe side only 100% Circ. Scan Reference Figure 5
	PT	100%
BB-09-V178-1 (valve to pipe)	UT	93.5%, pipe side only 100% Circ. Scan Reference Figure 6
	PT	100%

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 4 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST I1R-32 (continued)

Weld ID	Reg. Method	Extent Examined
BG-23-F003A (valve to pipe)	UT	82% examined in one direction 9% examined in two directions 9% not examined 50% Circ. Scan Reference Figure 7
	PT	Not Required per Program Plan
BG-23-F004 (pipe to valve)	U.T.	82% examined in one direction 9% examined in two directions 9% not examined
	PT	Sos Circ. Scan Reference Figure 7 Not Required per Program Plan
EJ-04-F047 (valve to pipe)	UT.	62.5% examined in one direct. 37.5% examined in two direct. 100% Circ. Scan Reference Figure 8
	PT	100%

Alternate Examination:

None; because of geometry and attenuation variables, the required volumetric and surface examinations were completed to the maximum extent practical.

ASME Code Section III:

Components were accepted in accordance with the requirements of Section III, which included volumetric and surface examinations as well as pressure tests.

Evaluation of Plant Safety:

Strict ASME Section III quality controls were used when designing, fabricating and installing these welds. In addition, these welds were surface and volumetrically examined (Preservice Examination (PSI) as well as the current ISI) with no irregularities found. The probability of a flaw occurring and not being detected by the examination already performed is small. Future indications of significant size will be found by examination of the weld as it is now.

Based on the above, reasonable assurance of the continued inservice structural integrity of the subject welds is achieved without providing a complete examination. Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 5 of 29

Component: BB-04-F001



WRV Inspected in Two Directions --- 9%

WRV not Examined --- 33%

Figure 1

* Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 6 of 29

Component:	BB-	- ()4	-	F	0	1	4
	BB.	- 1)4	14	F	0	1	5



WRV Inspected in Direction Towards Valve

Figure 2



Figure 3

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 8 of 29

Component: EP-02-F021



Figure 4

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 9 of 29

Component:	E	P	*	0	2		S	0	0	3	-	G
1	E	P	-	0	2	-	S	0	0	8	-	D
	E	P	*	Ø	2	-	S	Ö	Ö	8		F



WRV Inspected in Direction Towards Tee

Figure 5

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 10 of 29

Component: BB-09-V178-1

	Transducer Exit Point	
Pipe Side		Valve Side

WRV Inspected in Direction Towards Valve ---- 93.5%

WRV not Examined ---6.5%

Figure 6

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 11 of 29

Component: BG-23-F003A BG-23-F004



Figure 7

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 12 of 29

Component:







Figure 8

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 13 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST I1R-33

Component:

BG-23-P005

Category:

Augmented examination for break exclusion piping (NUREG-0800), Examination performed to ASME Section XI, 1980 Edition, Winter 1981 Addenda, Table IWC-2500-1, Examination Category C-F, Item Number C5.11

Description:

Chemical Volume Control System Charging Line 3" pipe to 3" pipe

Code Requirement:

Requires the inner 1/3t of the weld plus 1/4" of the base metal beyond the weld toe to be scanned in two directions as specified by Appendix III, paragraph III-4400 and Figure IWC-2500-7.

Basis for Relief:

Complete ultrasonic examination of the weld was not feasible because of limitations in geometry and metallurgic properties. Geometric limitations resulted from weld shrinkage at the toe of the weld which causes loss of search unit contact due to lift off. Alternative examination through the use of a full wee path technique from one side has been determined to not provide confidence that the weld coverage is being satisfactorily examined. This results from the dendritic properties of austenitic steel material which causes sound redirection and attenuation. 37% of the weld required volume was not examined with two beam directions (reference Figure 9).

Alternate Examination:

None; because of geometry and attenuation variables, the required volumetric examination was completed to the maximum extent practical.

ASME Code Section III:

Components were accepted in accordance with the requirements of Section III, which included volumetric and surface examinations as well as pressure tests.

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 14 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST I1R-33 (continued)

Evaluation of Plant Safety:

Strict ASME Section III quality controls were used when designing, fabricating and installing the weld. In addition, the weld was volumetrically examined (PSI as well as the current ISI) with no irregularities found. The probability of a flaw occurring and not being detected by the examination already performed is small. Future indications of significant size will be found by examination of the weld as it is now.

Based on the above, reasonable assurance of the continued inservice structural integrity of the subject weld is achieved without providing a complete examination. Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 15 of 29

Component: BG-23-F005





WRV not Examined ---- 37%



Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 16 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST IIR-34

Component:

EBB01D-SEAM-1-W

Category:

ASME Section XI, 1980 Edition, Winter 1981 Addenda, Table IWB-2500-1, Examination Category B-B, Item Number B2.40

Description:

Steam Generator "D" bottom head to tubesheet weld

Code Requirements:

Requires the weld plus 1/2t of the base metal beyond the weld toe to be examined. The weld metal is to be examined with 0 degree and two beam angles in two directions. The adjacent base metal included in the examination volume need be examined with 0 degree and two beam angles from one direction. Reference Article 4, paragraph T-441.4 of ASME Section V and Figure IWB-2500-6 of ASME Section XI for volumetric requirements.

Basis for Relief:

The subject weld could not be fully Ultrasonically examined due to interferences from the steam generator support legs (4), support flange and the code data plate. The steam generator support leg interference resulted in 22.4% of the Weld Required Volume (WRV) not being examined. The 60 degree axial scan on the tubesheet side is 34% obstructed by the support flange. The obstruction results from insufficient base metal between the weld and flange to complete this angle beam examination. There is approximately 1% obstruction due to the code data plate. Reference Figure 10 for weld layout.

Alternate Examination:

None; the required volumetric examination was completed to the maximum extent possible.

ASME Code Section III:

Components were accepted in accordance with the requirements of Section III, which included volumetric and surface examinations as well as pressure tests.

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 17 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST I1R-34 (continued)

Evaluation of Plant Safety:

Strict ASME Section III quality controls were used when designing, fabricating and installing this weld. In addition, this weld was volumetrically examined (PSI as well as the current ISI) with no irregularities found. The probability of a flaw occurring and not being detected by the examination already performed is small. Future indications of significant size will be found by examination of the weld as it is now.

Based on the above, reasonable assurance of the continued inservice structural integrity of the subject weld is achieved without providing a complete examination. Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 18 of 29



Support Leg Locations 21" to 45" CCW from Datum N 61 1/4" to 81 1/4" CW from Datum N 38" to 64" CW from Datum No 43" to 67" CCW form Datum No

Figure 10

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 19 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST I1R-35

Component:

TBB03-10A-W

Category:

ASME Section XI, 1980 Edition, Winter 1981 Addenda, Table IWB-2500-1, Examination Category B-D, Item Number E3.110

Description:

Pressurizer surge nozzle to bottom head weld

Code Requirement:

Requires the weld plus 1/2t of the base metal beyond the weld toe to be examined. The weld metal is to be examined with 0 degree and two beam angles in two directions. The adjacent base metal included in the examination volume need be examined with 0 degree and two beam angles from one direction. Reference Article 4, paragraph T-441.4 of ASME Section V and Figure IWB-2500-7(b) of ASME Section XI for volumetric requirements.

Basis for Relief:

The subject weld could not be fully Ultrasonically examined due to the surge nozzle to shell configuration and the pressurizer heaters. This configuration resulted in 35% of the weld required volume not being examined with the 0 degree or with the parallel angle beam scan (reference Figure 11). In addition, the weld metal was only examined in one direction and the adjacent base metal was not fully examined in one direction with two beam angles (92% complete with 60 degree angle beam and 88.4% complete with 45 degree angle beam, reference Figure 12).

Alternate Examination:

None; the required volumetric examination was completed to the maximum extent possible.

ASME Code Section III:

Components were accepted in accordance with the requirements of Section III, which included volumetric and surface examinations as well as pressure tests.

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 20 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST IIR-35 (continued)

Evaluation of Plant Safety:

Strict ASME Section III quality controls were used when designing, fabricating and installing this weld. In addition, this weld was volumetrically examined (PSI as well as the current ISI) with no irregularities found. The probability of a flaw occurring and not being detected by the examination already performed is small. Future indications of significant size will be found by examination of the weld as it is now.

Based on the above, reasonable assurance of the continued inservice structural integrity of the subject weld is achieved suthout providing a complete examination.

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 21 of 29

Component:



or With Parallel Angle Beam _____ 35%

Figure 11

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 22 of 29

Component:





Volume not Examined by 45 Degree Angle Beam ----- 3.6%



Volume not Examined by 45 or 60 Degree Angle Beam ----- 8%

Figure 12

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 23 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST IIR-36

Component:

TBB03-10A-IR

Category:

ASME Section XI, 1980 Edition, Winter 1981 Addenda, Table IWB-2500-1, Examination Category B-D, Item Number B3.120

Description:

Pressurizer surge nozzle inner radius

Code Requirement:

Requires that the inside corner region of the nozzle shall be completely ultrasonic examined to a depth of 1/2t, measured from the inside surface of the nozzle. Reference Figure IWB-2500-7(b) of ASME Section XI for volumetric requirements.

Basis for Relief:

The subject nozzle inner radius could not be fully Ultrasonically examined due to interferences from the pressurizer heaters penetrations and the nozzle configuration. This resulted in 70% of the required examination volume not being inspected (reference Figure 13).

Alternate Examination:

None; the required volumetric examination was completed to the maximum extent possible.

ASME Code Section III:

Components were accepted in accordance with the requirements of Section III, which included volumetric and surface examinations as well as pressure tests.

Evaluation of Plant Safety:

Strict ASME Section III quality controls were used when designing, fabricating and installing this weld. In addition, this weld was volumetrically examined (PSI as well as the current ISI) with no irregularities found. The probability of a flaw occurring and not being detected by the examination already performed is small. Future indications of significant size will be found by examination of the weld as it is now.

Based on the above, reasonable assurance of the continued inservice structural integrity of the subject weld is achieved without providing a complete examination. Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 24 of 29

Component:

TBB03-10A-IR



Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 25 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST IIR-37

Component:

CH-103-101

Category:

ASME Section XI, 1980 Edition, Winter 1981 Addenda, Table IWB-2500-1, Examination Category B-A, Item Number B1.21

Description:

Reactor Pressure Vessel closure head upper circumferential weld

Code Requirement:

Requires the weld plus 1/2t of the base metal beyond the weld toe to be examined. The weld metal is to be examined with 0 degree and two beam angles in two directions. The adjacent base metal included in the examination volume need be examined with 0 degree and two beam angles from one direction. Reference Article 4, paragraph T-441.4 of ASME Section V and Figure IWB-2500-3 of ASME Section XI for volumetric requirements.

Basis for Relief:

The subject weld could not be fully Ultrasonically examined due to the cooling duct ring limiting the required scan path and the three lifting lugs obstructing the required inspection volume. The weld metal was 100% inspected in one direction by the 45 degree and 60 degree angle beam, but was limited in the other direction due to the cooling ring. This resulted in 16.8% of weld metal not being inspected by the 45 degree angle beam and 47.3% not being inspected with the 60 degree angle beam. The three lifting lugs resulted in 5.2% of the required inspection volume not to be examined.

Alternate Examination:

None; the required volumetric examination was completed to the maximum extent possible.

ASME Code Section III:

Components were accepted in accordance with the requirements of Section III, which included volumetric and surface examinations as well as pressure tests.

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 25 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST I1R-37 (continued)

Evaluation of Plant Safety:

Strict ASME Section III quality controls were used when designing, fabricating and installing this weld. In addition, this weld was volumetrically examined (PSI as well as the current ISI) with no irregularities found. The probability of a flaw occurring and not being detected by the examination already performed is small. Future indications of significant size will be found by examination of the weld as it is now.

Based on the above, reasonable assurance of the continued inservice structural integrity of the subject weld is achieved without providing a complete examination. Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 27 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST I1R-38

Component:

PBB01B-SURF

Category:

ASME Section XI, 1980 Edition, Winter 1981 Addenda, Table IWB-2500-1, Examination Category B-L-2, Item Number B12.20

Description:

Reactor Coolant Pump "B" internal pressure surface

Code Requirement:

Requires that a visual (VT-3) examination be performed on the pump internal pressure surface. This examination is to be performed each inspection interval.

Basis for Relief:

ASME Section XI, 1989 Edition, specifies that the visual examination (VT-3) of the pumps internal pressure surface need only be examined if the pump is disassembled for maintenance, repair or volumetric examination. Since the pumps are a single casting, volumetric examination is not applicable.

Alternate Examination:

The visual examination (VT-3) of the pumps internal pressure surface will be done when the pump is disassembled for maintenance or repair. The visual examination will only be done once per interval if disassembled more often. Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 28 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST I1R-39

Component :

BB-08-FW304

Category:

Augmented examination for break exclusion piping (NUREG-0800), Examinations performed to ASME Section XI, 1980 Edition, Winter 1981 Addenda, Table IWC-2500-1, Examination Category C-F, Item Number C5.11

Description:

Reactor Coolant System Reactor Coolant Pump "A" Seal Water Injection 2" pipe to 2" pipe

Code Requirement:

Volumetric Requirements:

Requires the inner 1/3t of the weld plus 1/4" of the base metal beyond the weld toe to be scanned in two directions as specified by Appendix III, paragraph III-4400 and Figure IWC-2500-7.

Surface Requirements:

100% surface examination as defined by Article 6 of ASME Section V and Figure IWC-2500-7.

Basis for Relief:

The surface and volumetric examination of the subject weld was not able to be performed due to a permanent box hanger located directly over the subject weld. The box hanger has four integral attachments welded to it which are in direct contact with the pipe. The integral attachments are located directly on the subject weld, making it impossible to get access to the weld for inspection purposes.

Alternate Examination:

None. There is not a replacement weld to inspect. All the welds that are within the scope of NUREG-0800 for this system have been selected.

ASME Code Section III:

Components were accepted in accordance with the requirements of Section III, which included volumetric and surface examinations as well as pressure tests.

Attachment to WM 94-0027 Page 29 of 29

ISI RELIEF REQUEST I1R-39 (continued)

Evaluation of Plant Safety:

Strict ASME Section III quality controls were used when designing, fabricating and installing this weld. The PSI (surface and volumetric) of the subject weld identified no irregularities. In addition, all the remaining welds within the scope of NUREG-0800 for this system will or have been inspected.

Based on the above, reasonable assurance of the continued inservice structural integrity of the system is achieved without examining the above mentioned weld.