

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Washington Public Power Supply System
P. O. Box 1223
Elma, Washington 98541

Docket No. 50-508
Construction Permit No. CPPR-154

As a result of the inspection conducted on October 1-31, 1982, and in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy 47 FR 9987 (March 9, 1982), the following violation was identified:

10 CFR 50, Appendix B, Criterion 3, Design Control, states in part: "These measures shall include provisions to assure that appropriate quality standards are specified...and that deviation from such standards are controlled."

Among the implementing documents for this criterion is Ebasco Project Site Procedure PSP-RE-2-36 which states, in part, in Section 5.1.23: "Block 23 - Site QA Designee signature for QFPCPs affecting Safety Related (Nuclear) Specifications/Drawing Notes is required as defined below: (a) Applicable regulatory, code and design requirements...."

Contrary to this requirement, on October 4, 1982, it was found that tension ring welds of the "left-in-place" dome formwork were being made by an unqualified weld procedure. The use of this unqualified procedure had been authorized by QFPCP 35 Q 12489. This QFPCP had not been concurred in by the Ebasco (or Supply System) Quality Assurance organization. This QFPCP changed Ebasco Specification 884-WC-80, Section 3.2, which requires that welding procedure qualification tests be performed in accordance with either ASME Section IX or AWS D 1.1 codes.

This is a Severity Level V violation.

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, Washington Public Power Supply System is hereby required to submit to this office within thirty (30) days of the date of this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including: (1) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further items of noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Under the authority of Section 182 of the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended, this response shall be submitted under oath or affirmation. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

Dated: NOV 15 1982

R. T. Dodds
R. T. Dodds, Chief
Reactor Projects Section No. 1