

## UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20665-0001

February 8, 1994

MEMORANDUM FOR: The Chairman

Commissioner Rogers Commissioner Remick Commissioner de Planque

FROM: James M. Taylor

Executive Director for Operations

SUBJECT: REPORT ISSUED ON NEW YORK CITY RADIATION

CONTROL PROGRAM

I have attached for your information (Attachment 1), a report prepared and issued by the New York City Office of the Comptroller in December 1993. The report presents findings and recommendations on several areas of the New York City Department of Health, Bureau of Radiological Health radiation control program. Of particular interest to NRC is the report's findings and recommendations relating to portions of the Bureau's program of licensing and inspection of teletherapy units and strontium-90 beta eye applicators. (See Executive Summary, Findings and Recommendations on pages ES-1-3 and Part 2 of the report on pages 11-16.)

Following receipt and review of the report, NRC staff contacted the Director, Bureau of Radiological Health on January 26, 1994, to discuss how the report would be handled by the Bureau and any specific actions planned by the Bureau for response to the report's findings and recommendations. The Director indicated that the Bureau had not yet formally responded, but would be briefing the New York City Commissioner of Health with the Bureau's position before the end of February. He indicated that he generally did not agree with the report's findings. Subsequently, NRC staff contacted the State and recommended that a written evaluation of the report should be prepared and that a copy of that evaluation should be provided to NRC.

NRC staff plans, upon receipt of the Bureau's response to the report, to assess the response and any actions being taken or proposed to be taken by the Bureau. NRC staff will also evaluate whether additional specific NRC action is warranted. Such action could include a follow-up meeting with Bureau staff to discuss the Bureau's response or a special NRC program review to independently assess the validity of the report's findings and recommendations. SPET TO THE SPET OF THE SPET O

Contact: Paul Lohaus

504-2326

9402250029 940208 PDR DRC NE ED PDR NRC staff notes that based on the previous NRC review of the Bureau's agreement materials program, NRC found that the Bureau has carried out a program adequate to protect the public health and safety. (A copy of the last program review letter is attached for your information as Attachment 2.) As a result of review of inspection reports (see Attachment 2, Enclosure 2, Comment 3 on page 6 of the New York City Department of Health's Summary of Assessments and Comments), the reviewer found that docket files were missing essential information (i.e. inspection reports, licensee responses or acknowledgement letters). The reviewer also found there was a lack of follow-up in areas of possible escalated enforcement actions in at least two situations and there appeared to be a lack of follow-up in several other situations where there were long delays in issuing an enforcement letter or lack of licensee response.

James M. Taylor Executive Director for Operations

YES \

Attachments: As stated

OPA

CC: SECY OGC OCA

Distribution: w/o Attachments

DIR RF
EDO RL
JMTaylor, EDO

HLThompson, DEDS FCameron, OGC RLBangart

SSchwartz PLohaus WKane, RI

CGordon, RI CMaupin

NY City DOH File

Document Control Desk (DCD) SP01 w/attachments

\*See previous concurrence. OSP RI RI OSP:D NME PLohaus:kk CGordon WKane FCameron RLBangart DTE 2/3/94\* 2/3/94\* 2/3/94\* 2/3/94\* 2/03/94\* OFC DEDS EDO NME JMTaylor HLThompson DTE 2/ /94 G:NYCRPT

PDR

240001

NRC staff notes that based on the previous NRC review of the Bureau's agreement materials program, NRC found that the Bureau has carried out a program adequate to protect the public health and safety. (A copy of the last program review letter is attached for your information as Attachment 2.) As a result of review of inspection reports (see Comment 3 on page 6 of the New York City Department of Health's Summary of Assessments and Comments), the reviewer found that docket files were missing essential information (i.e. inspection reports, licensee responses or acknowledgement letters). The reviewer also found there was a lack of follow-up in areas of possible escalated enforcement actions in at least two situations and there appeared to be a lack of follow-up in several other situations where there were long delays in issuing an enforcement letter or lack of licensee response.

> James M. Taylor Executive Director for Operations

Attachments: As stated

cc: SECY OGC OCA

OPA

Distribution: DIR RF EDO RL		PDR	YES	NO
JMTaylor, EDO HLThompson, DEDS				
FCameron, OGC RLBangart				
SSchwartz PLohaus				
WKane, RI CGordon, RI				
CMaupin NY City DOH File				
Document Control	Desk (DCD)			

OFC	OSPPA	RIPAL	RIPAL	ogc A	OSP:D/4
NME	PLohaus:kk	CGordon	WKane Policin	FCameron	RLBangart
DTE	2/3/94	2/3/94	2/3/94	2/3/94	2/03/94
OFC	DEDS	EDO			
NME	HLThompson	JMTaylor			
DTE	2/ /94	2/ /94			

G:NYCRPT