DOCKET NUMBER 71 30,40,50,70+12 PROPOSED RULE 71 30,40,50,70+12 (58FFR 3515)



WHITEHEAD INSTITUTE

'94 FEB -7 P1 50

Dr. Gerald R. Fink Director

John Pratt Associate Director 617/258-5104

February 2, 1994

Secretary U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Dear Sir:

This letter offers comment on the proposed revision to 10 CFR Part 30 regarding self-guarantee for financial assurance for costs of decommissioning licensed facilities that supplements comments previously forwarded on December 6, 1993 (copy attached).

I have heard that the NRC is currently reconsidering the regulations as they pertain to a non-profit providing a self-guarantee and that the allowance of such a self-guarantee might be conditional upon the institution having a satisfactory bond rating as determined by such organizations as Moody's or Standard & Poor.

It is recommended that having a bond rating not be a necessary condition. There are many non-profit institutions with considerable unrestricted invested capital that have no bond rating because they have never had a need to access the bond market. Rather, the NRC should look to an amount of unrestricted invested capital of a defined amount in excess of the amount of the guarantee as evidenced by the annual submission of audited financial statements. Gaining a rating from Moody's or Standard & Poor usually costs upwards from \$15,000. An Institution with a strong balance sheet ought to be able to provide a selfguarantee without having to get a bond rating just for the sake of a selfguarantee. The NRC might consider a high threshold for unrestricted capital (such as ten times the amount of the assurance) without a bond rating and a lower level with a bond rating.

Sincerely, John Bantt

0510

9402250016 940202 PDR PR 30 58FR3515 PDR