



RESPONSE TO FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT (FOIA) REQUEST

NRC FOIA REQUEST NUMBER(S)

FOIA — 90-269

RESPONSE TYPE

FINAL

PARTIAL

DATE

DEC 18 1990

DOCKET NUMBER(S) (if applicable)

REQUESTER

John C. Person

PART I. — AGENCY RECORDS RELEASED OR NOT LOCATED (See checked boxes)

No agency records subject to the request have been located.

No additional agency records subject to the request have been located.

Requested records are available through another public distribution program. See Comments Section.

Agency records subject to the request that are identified on Appendix(es) I are already available for public inspection and copying in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC 20555.

Agency records subject to the request that are identified on Appendix(es) J are being made available for public inspection and copying in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC, in a folder under this FOIA number and requester name.

The non-proprietary version of the proposal(s) that you agreed to accept in a telephone conversation with a member of my staff is now being made available for public inspection and copying at the NRC Public Document Room 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC, in a folder under this FOIA number and requester name.

Agency records subject to the request that are identified on Appendix(es) _____ may be inspected and copied at the NRC Local Public Document Room identified in the Comments Section.

Enclosed is information on how you may obtain access to and the charges for copying records placed in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC.

Agency records subject to the request are enclosed.

Records subject to the request have been referred to another Federal agency(ies) for review and direct response to you.

You will be billed by the NRC for fees totaling \$ _____.

In view of NRC's response to this request, no further action is being taken on appeal letter dated _____, No. _____.

PART II. A — INFORMATION WITHHELD FROM PUBLIC DISCLOSURE

Certain information in the requested records is being withheld from public disclosure pursuant to the exemptions described in and for the reasons stated in Part II, sections B, C, and D. Any released portions of the documents for which only part of the record is being withheld are being made available for public inspection and copying in the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, N.W., Washington, DC, in a folder under this FOIA number and requester name.

COMMENTS

The combined actual fees for processing your two FOIA requests, FOIA-90-269 and FOIA-90-270, are as follows:

Search: \$ 94.24 [8 hrs clerical @ 11.78 pr hr]
 1009.55 [40 hrs, 35 mins professional @ 24.83 pr hr]
 89.99 [2 hrs, 15 mins. SES @ 39.97 pr hr]

Review: 58.90 [5 hrs clerical @ 11.78 pr hr]
 1073.94 [43 hrs, 10 mins professional @ 24.88 pr hr]
 \$2326.62 TOTAL

\$6078.64 [combined estimated fees paid 7/17/90]
 -2326.62 [combined actual fees]
 \$3752.02 REFUND

You will receive a refund from the NRC Division of Accounting in the amount of \$3752.02.

SIGNATURE, DIRECTOR, DIVISION OF FREEDOM OF INFORMATION AND PUBLICATIONS SERVICES

Donna H. Dunsley

9102080289 901213
 PDR FOIA
 PERSON90-269 PDR

PART II. B - APPLICABLE EXEMPTIONS

Records subject to the request that are described on the enclosed Appendix(es) K are being withheld in their entirety or in part under the Exemptions and for the reasons set forth below pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 552(b) and 10 CFR 9.17(e) of NRC Regulations.

- 1. The withheld information is properly classified pursuant to Executive Order (EXEMPTION 1)
- 2. The withheld information relates solely to the internal personnel rules and procedures of NRC. (EXEMPTION 2)
- 3. The withheld information is specifically exempted from public disclosure by statute indicated: (EXEMPTION 3)
 - Sections 141-145 of the Atomic Energy Act which prohibits the disclosure of Restricted Data or Formerly Restricted Data (42 U.S.C. 2161-2165).
 - Section 147 of the Atomic Energy Act which prohibits the disclosure of Unclassified Safeguards Information (42 U.S.C. 2167).
- 4. The withheld information is a trade secret or commercial or financial information that is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated: (EXEMPTION 4)
 - The information is considered to be confidential business (proprietary) information.
 - The information is considered to be proprietary information pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790(d)(1).
 - The information was submitted and received in confidence pursuant to 10 CFR 2.790(d)(2).
- 5. The withheld information consists of (a) agency or intraagency records that are not available through discovery during litigation. (EXEMPTION 5). Applicable Privilege
 - Deliberative Process: Disclosure of predecisional information would tend to inhibit the open and frank exchange of ideas essential to the deliberative process. Where records are withheld in their entirety, the facts are inextricably intertwined with the predecisional information. There also are no reasonably segregable factual portions because the release of the facts would permit an indirect inquiry into the predecisional process of the agency.
 - Attorney work-product privilege. (Documents prepared by an attorney in contemplation of litigation.)
 - Attorney-client privilege. (Confidential communications between an attorney and his/her client.)
- 6. The withheld information is exempted from public disclosure because its disclosure would result in a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. (EXEMPTION 6)
- 7. The withheld information consists of records compiled for law enforcement purposes and is being withheld for the reason(s) indicated: (EXEMPTION 7)
 - Disclosure could reasonably be expected to interfere with an enforcement proceeding because it could reveal the scope, direction, and focus of enforcement efforts, and thus could possibly allow them to take action to shield potential wrongdoing or a violation of NRC requirements from investigators. (EXEMPTION 7 (A))
 - Disclosure would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy (EXEMPTION 7(C))
 - The information consists of names of individuals and other information the disclosure of which could reasonably be expected to reveal identities of confidential sources (EXEMPTION 7 (D))
- OTHER

PART II. C - DENYING OFFICIALS

Pursuant to 10 CFR 9.25(b) and/or 9.25 (c) of the U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission regulations, it has been determined that the information withheld is exempt from production or disclosure, and that its production or disclosure is contrary to the public interest. The persons responsible for the denial are those officials identified below as denying officials and the Director, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration and Resources Management, for any denials that may be appealed to the Executive Director for Operations (EDO).

DENYING OFFICIAL	TITLE/OFFICE	RECORDS DENIED	APPELLATE OFFICIAL	
			SECRETARY	EDO
Thomas E. Murley	Director, NRC	K/1, K/3, K/7, K/8		X
Thomas T. Martin	Regional Administrator, Reg. I	K/2, K/4, K/6		X
John C. Hoyle	Assistant Secretary of the Commission	K/5	X	

PART II. D - APPEAL RIGHTS

The denial by each denying official identified in Part II.C may be appealed to the Appellate Official identified in that section. Any such appeal must be in writing and must be made within 30 days of receipt of this response. Appeals must be addressed as appropriate to the Executive Director for Operations or to the Secretary of the Commission, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, and should clearly state on the envelope and in the letter that it is an "Appeal from an Initial FOIA Decision."

Re: FOIA-90-269

APPENDIX I
DOCUMENTS ALREADY AVAILABLE IN THE PDR

NUMBER	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1.	3/13/89	Letter from William T. Russell to Lawrence Burkhardt, subject: Notice of Violation (NRC Inspection Report No. 50-410/88-21). PDR Accession No. 8903230219
2.	3/13/89	Notice of Violation (EA 89-04). PDR Accession No. 8903230221

APPENDIX J
DOCUMENTS BEING PLACED IN THE PDR

NUMBER	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1.	10/4/83	Memo from J. Taylor to T. Murley, subject: Construction Appraisal Inspection - Nine Mile Point Unit 2 - Docket No. 50-410. (5 pages)
2.	3/12/84	Memo from J. Nelson Grace to Richard Starostecki, subject: Nine Mile Point Unit 2 CAT Inspection. (5 pages)
3.	2/5/86	Memo from G. McCorkle to J. Joyner, subject: SALP Input - Nine Mile Point Unit 2 (February 1, 1985 - January 31, 1986). (2 pages)
4.	10/9/86	Meeting Summary for September 24, 1986, Meeting on Main Steam Isolation Valves - Nine Mile Point, Unit 2. (3 pages)
5.	Undated	Handwritten notes of 10/15/86 meeting on Nine Mile Point, Unit 2, attaching various view-graphs and diagrams. (40 pages)

APPENDIX K
DOCUMENTS BEING RELEASED IN PART

NUMBER	DATE	DESCRIPTION
1.	10/28/83	Memo from Mary Haughey to Darrell Eisenhut, subject: NRR Input to SALP - Nine Mile Point 2. (3 pages) Portions withheld pursuant to Exemption 5
2.	1/15/86	Letter from Thomas T. Martin to Joseph Sunser, subject: Inspection No. 50-410/85-38. (14 pages) Portions withheld pursuant to Exemptions 3 and 4.
3.	2/23/86	Memo from Mary Haughey to Richard Starostecki, subject: NRR SALP Input -Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 2. (12 pages) Portions withheld pursuant to Exemption 5.
4.	2/5/88	Letter from Thomas Martin to Joseph P Beratta, subject: Combined Inspection No. 50-220/87-14 and 50-410/87-30. (6 pages) Portions withheld pursuant to Exemptions 3 and 4.
5.	5/20/88	SECY-88-137 - Proposed Letter to Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (NMPC) Based on the Submittal of Incomplete and Misleading Information to the NRC Concerning An Internal Investigation Performed by the Company at Nine Mile Point, Unit 2. (5 pages released) Portions withheld pursuant to Exemption 5.
6.	2/21/89	Memo from William T. Russell to James Lieberman, subject: Proposed Enforcement Action - Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation (Nine Mile Point, Unit 2). (1 page released) Portions withheld pursuant to Exemption 5.

APPENDIX K
DOCUMENTS BEING RELEASED IN PART
(Continued)

NUMBER	DATE	DESCRIPTION
7.	2/28/89	Memo from Steven A. Varga to James Lieberman, subject: Proposed Civil Penalty - Nine Mile Point 2. (1 page released) Portions withheld pursuant to Exemption 5.
8.	6/11/85	Memo from G. McCorkle to Cecil Thomas, subject: Niagara Mohawk Power Corporation Nine Mile Point Nuclear Station Unit 2 Safety Evaluation Report. (4 pages) Portions withheld pursuant to Exemption 3.