Southern California Edison Company 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Rosemead, California 91770 Docket Nos. 50-206, 50-361 License Nos. DPR-13, NPF-10

As a result of the inspection conducted on October 25-28, 1982, and in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy (10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C), 47 FR 9987 (March 9, 1982) one violation was identified. This violation of 10 CFR 19.11, "Posting of notices to workers", is significant because it represents a failure to respond and correct deficiencies identified and documented thru your quality assurance program. Specifically an August 6, 1982 an Individual Task Assignment (PJK 32) to assure compliance with the provisions of 10 CFR 19.11 was issued. On August 19, 1982 two Corrective Action Requests (CAR) were issued by Quality Assurance identifying noncompliance and requesting a response due date of September 20, 1982. On September 10, 1982 a memorandum to the Manager Compliance and Configuration clearly stated that you were in violation of 10 CFR 19.11 and suggested immediate action be taken to correct the posting deficiency. On September 18, 1982 the due date for the CARs was extended to October 20, 1982. As of October 20, 1982 no response to the CAR had been made. On October 25, 1982. Quality Assurance issued a notification of delinquent response. It appears you have been aware that this violation has existed since early August 1982 and have not yet corrected the condition.

A. 10 CFR 19.11(b) states that if posting of a document specified in 10 CFR 19.13(a) is not practicable, the licensee may post a notice which describes the document and states where it may be examined. Documents specified in 10 CFR 19.11(a) include: 10 CFR 19, 10 CFR 20; the license and license conditions.

Contrary to this requirement, on October 27, 1982 the notice dated January 5, 1978 posted at several locations at Unit 1 and 2 did not address the Unit 2 license, license conditions, or describe 10 CFR 19 and 20.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement IV).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, the Southern California Edison Company is hereby required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including: (1) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further items of noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

NOV 1 9 1982

dated

F. A. Wenslawski, Chief Reactor Radiation Protection Section

8212060161 71119 PDR ADOCK 75000206



UNITED STATES NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION REGION V

1450 MARIA LANE, SUITE 210 WALNUT CREEK, CALIFORNIA 94596

November 19, 1982

Docket Nos. 50-206, 50-361

Southern California Edison Company 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Rosemead, California 91770

Attention: Dr. L. T. Papay, Vice President

Advanced Engineering

Gentlemen:

Subject: NRC Inspection - San Onofre Units 1 and 2

This refers to the routine inspection conducted by Mr. G. P. Yuhas of this office on October 25-28, and subsequent telephone discussions on November 2, 1982, of activities authorized by NRC License Nos. DPR-13, NFF-10, and to the discussion of our findings held by Mr. Yuhas with Mr. H. B. Ray and other members of your staff at the conclusion of the inspection.

Areas examined during this inspection are described in the enclosed inspection report. Within these areas, the inspection consisted of selective examinations of procedures and representative records, interviews with personnel, and observations by the inspector.

Based on the results of this inspection, it appears that one of your activities was not conducted in full compliance with NRC requirements, as set forth in the Notice of Violation, enclosed herewith as Appendix A.

Your response to this notice is to be submitted in accordance with the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201 as stated in Appendix A, Notice of Violation.

In accordance with 10 CFR 2.790(a), a copy of this letter and the enclosures will be placed in the NRC Public Document Room unless you notify this office, by telephone, within ten days of the date of this letter and submit written application to withhold information contained therein within thirty days of the date of this letter. Such application must be consistent with the requirements of 2.790(b)(1).

Should you have any questions concerning this inspection, we will be glad to discuss them with you.

The responses directed by this letter and the accompanying Notice are not subject to the clearance procedures of the Office of Management and Budget as required by the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1980, PL 96-511.

Sincerely,

A. E. Book

H. E. Book, Chief Radiological Safety Branch

Enclosures:

A. Appendix A - Notice of Violation

B. Inspection Report No. 50-206/82-33 50-361/82-33

cc w/o enclosure B:
R. Dietch, Vice President
 Nuclear Engineering & Operations, SCE
H. B. Ray, SCE (San Clemente)

APPENDIX A

NOTICE OF VIOLATION

Southern California Edison Company 2244 Walnut Grove Avenue Rosemead, California 91770 Docket Nos. 50-206, 50-361 License Nos. DPR-13, NPF-10

As a result of the inspection conducted on October 25-28, 1982, and in accordance with the NRC Enforcement Policy (10 CFR Part 2, Appendix C), 47 FR 9987 (March 9, 1982) one violation was identified. This violation of 10 CFR 19.11, "Posting of notices to workers", is significant because it represents a failure to respond and correct deficiencies identified and documented thru your quality assurance program. Specifically an August 6, 1982 an Individual Task Assignment (PJK 32) to assure compliance with the provisions of 10 CFR 19.11 was issued. On August 19, 1982 two Corrective Action Requests (CAR) were issued by Quality Assurance identifying noncompliance and requesting a response due date of September 20, 1982. On September 10, 1982 a memorandum to the Manager Compliance and Configuration clearly stated that you were in violation of 10 CFR 19.11 and suggested immediate action be taken to correct the posting deficiency. On September 18, 1982 the due date for the CARs was extended to October 20, 1982. As of October 20, 1982 no response to the CAR had been made. On October 25, 1982, Quality Assurance issued a notification of delinquent response. It appears you have been aware that this violation has existed since early August 1982 and have not yet corrected the condition.

A. 10 CFR 19.11(b) states that if posting of a document specified in 10 CFR 19.11(a) is not practicable, the licensee may post a notice which describes the document and states where it may be examined. Documents specified in 10 CFR 19.11(a) include: 10 CFR 19, 10 CFR 20; the license and license conditions.

Contrary to this requirement, on October 27, 1982 the notice dated January 5, 1978 posted at several locations at Unit 1 and 2 did not address the Unit 2 license, license conditions, or describe 10 CFR 19 and 20.

This is a Severity Level V violation (Supplement IV).

Pursuant to the provisions of 10 CFR 2.201, the Southern California Edison Company is hereby required to submit to this office within thirty days of the date of this Notice, a written statement or explanation in reply, including: (1) the corrective steps which have been taken and the results achieved; (2) corrective steps which will be taken to avoid further items of noncompliance; and (3) the date when full compliance will be achieved. Consideration may be given to extending your response time for good cause shown.

dated November 19, 1982

. A. Wenslawski, Chief Reactor Radiation