

U. S. NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

REGION V

Report No. 50-361/82-32

Docket No. 50-361 License No. NPF-10 Safeguards Group _____

Licensee: Southern California Edison Company
P. O. Box 800
2244 Walnut Grove Avenue
Rosemead, California 91770

Facility Name: San Onofre Unit 2

Inspection at: San Onofre Site, San Clemente, California

Inspection conducted: October 25 through October 29, 1982

Inspectors: *M. M. Mendonca* 11/19/82
M. M. Mendonca, Reactor Inspector Date Signed

Date Signed

Approved by: *D. F. Kirsch* 11/19/82
D. F. Kirsch, Chief, Reactor Projects Section 3 Date Signed
Reactor Projects Branch No. 2

Summary:

Inspection from October 25 - October 29, 1982 (Report No. 50-361/82-32)

Areas Inspected: Routine unannounced inspection of the licensee's audit implementation, training, and requalification training program.

This inspection involved 25 hours on site by an NRC inspector.

Results: No items of noncompliance or deviations were identified.

DETAILS

1. Individuals Contacted

- *W. C. Moody, Deputy Station Manager
- *D. B. Schone, Project Quality Assurance Supervisor
- *H. Mathis, Manager Nuclear Training
- *P. A. Croy, Manager, Configuration Control and Compliance
- *P. R. King, Unit 2/3 Operations Quality Assurance Supervisor
- *J. Crawford, Unit 2/3 Operations Quality Assurance Engineer
- C. A. Kergis, Unit 2/3 Operations Quality Assurance Engineer
- *J. S. Iyer, Lead Compliance Engineer Unit 2
- *G. T. Gibson, Lead Compliance Engineer Unit 3
- *W. McRory, Unit 2/3 Operations Training Administrator
- J. Tate, Unit 2/3 Operations Supervisor
- V. Fisher, Unit 2/3 Supervisor, Plant Coordination
- D. Stonecipher, Construction Quality Assurance Supervisor

The inspector also interviewed other licensee employees during the course of the inspection. These included operations, instrumentation and control, quality assurance, quality control, and maintenance personnel.

*Denotes those individuals attending the exit interview on October 29, 1982.

2. Audit Implementation

The inspector reviewed a recently completed Quality Assurance (QA) audit report on training (SCES-19-82) and witnessed selected portions of a QA audit being conducted on housekeeping. The inspector verified that these audits were acceptably conducted per the requirements of Technical Specifications and the QA Topical Report. Specifically, the audits assured compliance with the licensee's QA Topical Report in those areas audited. The audits were conducted by qualified personnel in accordance with an established schedule. Followup action on findings were in progress and appeared acceptable.

No items of noncompliance or deviations were identified.

3. Training

The inspector reviewed the current general employee training handouts for site and protected area access, TP-5000-A, dated August 1, 1982, Rev. 4 and TH-5005-A, dated August 1982, Rev. 3. The inspector also interviewed a number of licensee personnel regarding general and job-related training. The inspector concluded that the licensee may need to identify all personnel that require notification of their rights and responsibilities under NRC Form 3 and assure proper training. This concern is addressed in NRC Inspection Report No. 50-361/82-33.

No items of noncompliance or deviations were identified.

4. Requalification Training

The inspector reviewed the licensee requalification program which was submitted to NRR by J. G. Haynes' letter, of January 22, 1982, to D. H. Beckham.

The licensee has prepared a number of lesson plans and conducted training, however, a number of lesson plans still remain to be prepared and training conducted. A detailed long-term training schedule has not been prepared and need not be until after the first annual requalification examination given by the licensee to identify deficient areas. The completion of all lesson plans for scheduled, required training will be verified during a subsequent inspection.

The inspector reviewed several of the completed lesson plans. The lesson plan on pressurized thermal shock (PTS) did not contain training on the applicable Emergency Operating Instructions or Plant Operating Procedures. The training department plans to include these items in the lesson plan and this will be verified during a subsequent inspection.

Interviews with licensed operators indicate that a written means for critique of requalification training is needed. The licensee's training department plans to implement such a program and this will be verified during a subsequent inspection.

The requalification program states that all licensed operators should attend all requalification lectures and such is the intent of the training and operations departments. The inspector found that this intent was not being fully implemented. This topic will be examined during a future inspection.

The inspector reviewed a number of licensed operator training records. Portions of the records were located apart from one another. The licensee has a program to consolidate these records and the completion of this program will be verified during a subsequent inspection. In addition, the inspection will verify that the records contain copies of the annual examination. (50-361/82-32-01)

The licensee plans to conduct the annual examination in March, 1983.

No items of noncompliance or deviations were identified.

5. Exit Interview

The inspector met with licensee representatives (denoted in paragraph 1) on October 29, 1982, to summarize the inspection scope and findings.