m

Docket No. 50-323

50.55(e) Report



(415) 543-1

TELEROANE (415)

TELECOPIER

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC

PGME

ROBERT OHLBACH

CHARLES T. VAN DEUSEN PHILIP A. CRANE. JR. HENRY J. L&PLANTE JOHN B. GIBSON ARTHUR L. HILLMAN, JR. CHARLES W. THISSELL DANIEL E. GIBSON JACK F. FÉLLIN, JR. JOSEPH I. KELLY HOWARD V. GOLUB GLENN WEST, JR. ASUSTANT GENERAL COUNSEL 77 BEALE STREET, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94106 P. O. BOX 7442, SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94120

> GIGBERT L. НАЯВІСК DAN GRAYSON LUBROCK JANES C. LOGBOON ROBERT. LBOROON PETER W. НАМВСНЕЙ ВССИЛО Г. SOCKE WILLIAM M. EDWARDS U. MICHAEL REIORNBACH IVOR E. SAMBON SHIRLY A. WOO PATRICK G. GLODEN RICHARD M. MOSS

EUWARD J. MCGAANET BERNARD J. DTLLB BATTA JOBHTA BARLEV JOSETH S. ENDLET, JR. DOJOLAS A. DOLEBRY J. PETER BAUMGARTNER JOHN N. FRVE MODELER, NICKST ROJELER, NICKST RUCKARD, MEDICA BRUCC R. WORTHINDTON RUCKARD, MEDICE SENIDE COMMENT

CHAIG M. BUCHERADE LIVER, CARENDO AUDEVA, DANNER DONALO D. KRICKKOM. DONALO D. KRICKKOM. DANO C. DILEREN RICHARD C. JOHES MICHARD C. JOHES MICHARD C. JOHES MICHARD K. LONG JOHN R. LOW MORENT A. NCLENNAN ROBENT A. NCLENNAN ROBENT A. NCLENNAN ROBENT A. NCLENNAN LATHARN T. ANNAND BTLEVEN P. BUNKE PAHELA EINAPPELL OLARY P. LNOISAN DAVID H. FLEININ AL R. JARESU AL R. JARESU AL R. JARESU AL R. JARON M. JAND MERSEN AL R. JARON M. JAND M. R. JANDAR KENNETH O. ELLESON SWIEJEY A. SANDERBER KENNETH YANG

November 8, 1982

Mr. R. H. Engelken, Regional Administrator U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Region V 1450 Maria Lane, Suite 210 Walnut Creek, CA 94596-5368

> Re: Docket No. 50-323 Diablo Canyon Unit 2 10 CFR 50.55(e) Report -Water Hammer Phenomenon in ASW System

Dear Mr. Engelken:

8212060078 821108 PDR ADOCK 05000323

PDR

On October 8, 1982, Mr. D. F. Kirsch of your office was notified by telephone of a water hammer phenomenon in the Auxiliary Saltwater (ASW) System of Diablo Canyon Unit 1. Mr. J. D. Shiffer's letter of the same date to Mr. R. H. Engelken confirmed our telephone notification and was submitted pursuant to Technical Specification 6.9.1.12.i for Unit 1 and 10 CFR 50.55(e) for Unit 2. This submittal represents the final report on the subject for Unit 2.

The ASW System design is essentially identical for both Units 1 and 2; therefore the same water hammer phenomenon is expected in Unit 2. Testing performed on the ASW system for Unit 1 has shown that the system is susceptible to water hammer effects during anticipated operational transients. These transients include pump trip and restart sequences such as would occur following a loss of offsite power. While the peak pressure observed during this testing exceeded the system design pressure of 100 psig specified in the FSAR, no system failure or degradation was observed which would have prevented the system from performing its intended safety function.

IE27

A test to definitely establish the cause of the water hammer was performed. An isolatable vacuum breaker was added to the ASW system as a method of eliminating the water hammer effect in the system. The results of the test indicated that 1) without the vacuum breaker installed, water column separation-recombination caused a detectable water hammer, and 2) with the vacuum breaker installed, no water hammer or excessive pressure transients were observed.

A determination was made that installation of the vacuum breaker would eliminate the water hammer effect from the ASW System. The addition of the vacuum breaker will not degrade the safety function of the system. A design change is being prepared to install the vacuum breaker on the ASW system piping. This is expected to be implemented prior to Unit 2 fuel loading.

Very truly yours,

Philip A. Crane, Jr.

cc:

Mr. George W. Knighton, Chief Licensing Branch No. 3 Division of Licensing Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission Washington, DC 20555

Service List